Tribute Rondeau Partnership Limited

Cobourg Trails External Servicing Functional Servicing Report

Monday, January 31, 2022 C14-0454

CIMA+

415 Baseline Road West, 2nd Floor Bowmanville, ON L1C 5M2 T 905 697 4464 F 905 697 0443 cima.ca

Contact

Paul Turner paul.turner@cima.ca T 905 697-4464, 6902



Engineering for people

Tribute Rondeau Limited Partnership

Cobourg Trails External Servicing Functional Servicing Report

Project no C14-0454

PREPARED BY:

Mike Cai, P.Eng.

C. Mallis-breth

Claire Wallis-South, E.I.T.

VERIFIED BY:

Dan Campbell

Paul Turner, P.Eng.

CIMA* | C14-0454

Table of Contents

	Table	of Contents	ii
	List of	Tables	iv
	List of	Figures	vi
	List of	Appendices	.vii
1	Intro	oduction	1
	1.1	Background	1
	1.1.1	Report Purpose	2
	1.1.2	Overview of Wastewater Servicing	3
	1.1.3	Overview of Water Servicing	3
	1.2	Study Area	3
	1.2.1	Existing Road Network	6
	1.2.2	Existing Wastewater Infrastructure	6
	1.2.3	Existing Water Infrastructure	10
	1.2.4	Cobourg Trails Community	13
	1.2.5	Cobourg East Community Secondary Plan Area	17
	1.3	Prior Planning	18
	1.3.1 Consu	Cobourg East Community Municipal Servicing Summary Report, KMK Iltants Limited	18
	1.3.2 Limite	Trunk Sanitary Sewer Servicing Alternatives Brief, D.G. Biddle & Associates d 19	5
	1.3.3	Cobourg Drinking Water System Master Plan, CIMA+	20
	1.3.4 Subdiv	Water Servicing Analysis for the Villages of Central Park Residential vision, CIMA+	21
2	Was	stewater Servicing	22
	2.1	Applicable Design Criteria and Standards	22
	2.2	Wastewater Flow Estimates	23
	2.2.1	Cobourg East Community - Future Development Land	23
	2.2.2	Sub-Catchments and Forecasted Wastewater Flows	28

	2.2.3	Existing Service Area	32
	2.3	Sewer Sizing	33
	2.4	Alignment and Profile Design	34
	2.5	Preliminary Design	37
	2.5.1	Elgin Street East – Cobourg Trails Phase 1 to Brook Road North	37
	2.5.2	Brook Road North – Elgin Street East to Cobourg Trails Phase 2	40
	2.5.3	Cobourg Trails Phase 2 Area	41
	2.5.4 Cross	Brook Road North – Cobourg Trails Phase 2 to Brook Creek East Tributary sing	50
	2.5.5 Deve	Brook Road North – Brook Creek East Tributary Crossing to Mistral Land	52
	2.5.6 Cross	Mistral Land Developments Inc. – Brook Road North to CN/CP Rail Corridor	53
	2.5.7	CN/CP Rail Corridor Crossing	58
	2.5.8	Unopened Willmott Street Right-of-Way – CN/CP Rail Corridor to King Stree	ət
	2.5.9	Willmott Street – King Street to Thompson Street	63
	2.6	Future Sub-Trunk Sewers	64
	2.6.1	Railway Sub-Trunk	65
	2.6.2	Massey Creek Sub-Trunk	65
	2.6.3	Future Kerr Street Sub-Trunk	66
	2.6.4	Brook Creek East Tributary South Sub-Trunk	67
	2.6.5	Industrial Lands Sub-Trunk	67
	2.6.6	Brook Creek East Tributary North Sub-Trunk	68
	2.6.7	Elgin Street East Sub-Trunk	69
	2.6.8	Cobourg Trails Sub-Trunk	69
3	Wa	ter Servicing	70
	3.1	Applicable Design Criteria Standards	72
	3.2	Required Pumping and Water Distribution System Upgrades	72
	3.2.1	Zone 2 Pumping Requirements	72

3.2.2	Zone 3 Pumping Requirements74
3.2.3	Water Distribution Infrastructure75
3.3	Watermain Sizing77
3.3.1	Scenario 1 Modelling Results 80
3.3.2	Scenario 2a Modelling Results82
3.3.3	Scenario 2b Modelling Results85
3.3.4	Scenario 3 Modelling Results 89
3.3.5	Pressure Zone 3 Considerations93
3.4	Watermain Material
3.5	Operating Pressures
3.6	Alignment and Profile Design
3.6.1 to Co	Densmore Road/Danforth Road Sub-trunk Watermain – Parkview Hills Drive bourg Trails Future Street L
3.6.2 Roac	Elgin Street East Trunk Watermain – Cobourg Trails Future Street A to Brook North
3.6.3	Elgin Street East Trunk Watermain – Brook Road North to ± 450 m East 98
3.6.4 Subs	Brook Road North Trunk Watermain – Elgin Street East to LUSI Electrical tation Property
3.6.5	Zone 2 BPS Trunk Watermain – New Zone 2 BPS to Brook Road North 100
4 Su	pporting Studies101
4.1	Municipal Class Environmental Assessment101
4.2	Environmental Impact Study102
4.3	Sub Surface Utility Engineering Investigation
4.4	Geotechnical Investigation
4.5	Archeological Investigations105
5 Co	st Estimate105

List of Tables

Table 1.1: Cobourg East Secondary Plan Area Land Use Breakdown (2005)	. 17
Table 2.1: Cobourg East Land Use Breakdown Considered for Flow Estimates	24

Table 2.2: Town of Cobourg Design Guidelines - Unit Density and Unit Mix	. 24
Table 2.3: Residential Density	. 25
Table 2.4: Town of Cobourg Design Guidelines – Wastewater Flow Rates	. 25
Table 2.5: Town of Cobourg Design Guidelines – Non-Residential Wastewater Flow Rates	. 26
Table 2.6: Summary of Sub-Catchments and Associated Land Use Areas	. 30
Table 2.7: Scope of Work for Proposed Trunk Sanitary Sewer	. 34
Table 2.8: Preliminary Screening of Options	. 42
Table 2.9: Estimated Construction Cost for Alternative 1	. 45
Table 2.10: Estimated Construction Cost for Alternative 2	. 48
Table 2.11: Flows to Thompson Street Sewer from Existing Serviced Area	. 64
Table 3.1: Scope of Work for Proposed Trunk and Sub-Trunk Watermain	. 70
Table 3.2: Summary of Additional Modelling Scenarios	. 78
Table 3.3: Scenario 1 Internal Pressures	. 81
Table 3.4: Scenario 1 Available Fire Flows	. 81
Table 3.5: Scenario 1 External Watermain Velocities under Maximum Day Demand p Fire Flow (15,000 L/min)	lus . 82
Table 3.6: Scenario 2a Internal Pressures	. 84
Table 3.7: Scenario 2a Available Fire Flows	. 84
Table 3.8: Scenario 2a External Watermain Velocities under Maximum Day Demand (MDD) plus Fire Flow (18,000 L/min)	. 85
Table 3.9: Scenario 2b Internal Pressures	. 87
Table 3.10: Scenario 2b Available Fire Flows	. 88
Table 3.11: Scenario 2b External Watermain Velocities under Maximum Day Demand (MDD) plus Fire Flow (24,000 L/min)	t . 89
Table 3.12: Scenario 3 Internal Pressures	. 91
Table 3.13: Scenario 3 Available Fire Flows	. 92
Table 3.14: Scenario 3 External Watermain Velocities under Maximum Day Demandplus Fire Flow (13,500 L/min)	. 93
Table 5.1: Preliminary Cost Estimate for External Trunk Servicing Work	105

List of Figures

Figure 1.1: Cobourg Trails Location within Larger Community1
Figure 1.2: Overview of Proposed External Servicing5
Figure 1.3: Overall Plan of Existing Wastewater Collection System
Figure 1.4: Overall Plan of Existing Water Distribution System
Figure 1.5: Cobourg Trails Phasing Plan14
Figure 2.1: Environmental Protection Areas Contributing Wet Weather Flow
Figure 2.2: Future Cobourg East Sanitary Sewer Servicing Scheme
Figure 2.3: Alignment of External Trunk Sanitary Sewer
Figure 2.4: Elgin Street East Culvert Replacement Concept
Figure 2.5: Schematic Profile of Brook Road North41
Figure 2.6: Cobourg Trails Phase 2 Area Alternative Sewer Alignments
Figure 2.7: Schematic Illustration of Tunneling through Drumlin 45
Figure 2.8: Trunk Sewer Routing Through Markham Metals Property
Figure 2.9: Preliminary Shaft Locations for Brook Creek East Tributary Crossing 51
Figure 2.10: Preliminary Profile of the Brook Creek East Tributary Crossing on Brook Road North
Figure 2.11: Mistral Land Developments Inc. East Village North Development Concept (Annotated)
Figure 2.12: Preliminary Sewer Alignment East of Future Grade Separation56
Figure 2.13: Typical Cross-Section of Sanitary Sewer Parallel to CN/CP Rail Corridor 57
Figure 2.14: Preliminary Trunk Sewer Alignment at CN/CP Rail Corridor Crossing 59
Figure 2.15: Preliminary Profile of Railway Crossing60
Figure 2.16: Preliminary Trunk Sewer Alignment Willmott Street Unopened ROW 62
Figure 3.1: Watermain Trunk and Sub-Trunk Preliminary Alignment
Figure 3.2: Master Plan Pumping Strategy73
Figure 3.3: Master Plan Preferred Site for Co-Located Zone 2 BPS and Zone 1 ET 74
Figure 3.4: Scenario 1 New Watermain Infrastructure80
Figure 3.5: Scenario 2a New Watermain Infrastructure

Figure 3.6: Scenario 2b New Watermain Infrastructure	86
Figure 3.7: Scenario 3 New Watermain Infrastructure	90

List of Appendices

Appendix A: Cobourg Trails External Servicing Preliminary Design Drawings, CIMA+

Appendix B: Conceptual Design of Sub-Trunk Sewers, CIMA+

Appendix C: Summary of Design Criteria for Preliminary Design, CIMA+

Appendix D: Cobourg Trails External Servicing Sanitary Sewer Design Sheet, CIMA+

Appendix E: Cobourg Trails Phase 1 General Servicing Plan and Ultimate Condition Design Sheet, D.G. Biddle

Appendix F: Cobourg Trails Phase 2 Draft Plan, The Planning Partnership

Appendix G: Brook Creek Tributary Realignment Planform and Profile, Geo Morphix Ltd.

Appendix H: GRCA Contingencies for Sanitary Sewer Alignment, GHD Correspondence

Appendix I: Environmental Impact Study – Cobourg Trails External Servicing, Cobourg, Northumberland County, Ontario, Cambium Inc.

Appendix J: Draft EA Opinion Letter, P. Becker Consulting

Appendix K: East Village North Block Plan, Monument Geomatics

Appendix L: External Servicing Preliminary Cost Estimate, CIMA+

Appendix M: Cobourg Trails Development - EIS Addendum, GHD

1 Introduction

1.1 Background

CIMA+ Canada Inc. (CIMA) was engaged by Tribute Rondeau Limited Partnership (Tribute) to undertake the design of external water and wastewater servicing required to support planned development of the Cobourg Trails community on lands owned by Tribute Rondeau Partnership Limited (Tribute) in the Town of Cobourg (the Town). This infrastructure will also support future development of other lands in the Cobourg East Community Secondary Plan Area (Cobourg East). In this regard, it has been designed to support the full build-out needs of Cobourg East in conjunction with other projects that will further extend water and wastewater systems.

As outlined in the 2018 Planning Justification Report and Urban Design Report prepared for Rondeau (Cobourg) Ltd. by the Planning Partnership (TPP) in April 2018, the Cobourg Trails community (the subject lands) consists of 107.3 Ha of land expected to yield 1,604 to 1,926 residential units (depending on the ultimate unit mix). **Figure 1.1**, excerpted from TPP's April 2018 report, indicates the location of the subject lands relative to the larger existing community. As noted above, these lands are now commonly referred to as Cobourg Trails.





These lands are further anticipated to support a population of approximately 5,200 people. The development is planned to occur over seven (7) phases which have been under review in various forms for a number of years. Detailed engineering design for Phase 1 of the development is currently in progress by D.G. Biddle & Associates Limited (Biddle).

It is expected that Phase 1 of the planned development will require servicing in 2022 (internal and external designed by Biddle), followed by Phase 2 and Phase 3 in 2024 and 2025, respectively. Currently, the Town's water and wastewater networks do not have the reach to service all phases of the planned development and must be extended (as discussed herein) to provide connectivity to the appropriate treatment facilities. In general, it is understood that:

- While current Town of Cobourg studies have indicated that reserve capacity is available to service all Phases of Cobourg Trails, Water Pollution Control Plant #2 will have to be expanded in a timely manner to allow for the uninterrupted build-out of the entire Cobourg East Community.
- There is sufficient water treatment capacity available at the Cobourg Water Treatment Plant (WTP) to meet the long-term build-out needs of the community based on the 2021 Cobourg Water Master Plan.
- That there will be Pressure Zone 2 pumping upgrades required to eventually service all Phases of the Cobourg Trails development.

1.1.1 Report Purpose

The purpose of this report is to consolidate background, design calculations and design details to support the following objectives:

- Advance initial conceptual designs prepared by Biddle in 2020 (see Section 1.3.2) to develop a functional design for the provision of a trunk sanitary sewer external to Tribute's Cobourg Trails lands that will service those lands by providing a connection to Water Pollution Control Plant #2 (WPCP #2).
- Identify for the Town of Cobourg the extent to which such an external trunk sewer traversing most of the Cobourg East Community at considerable depth (i.e., 7 to 10 m) can potentially service other developable lands in the secondary plan area (see Section 1.2.5) conveying flows to WPCP #2 consistent with the concept originally put forward by KMK Consultants Limited (KMK) in 2004 (see Section 1.3.1).
- 3. Advance the design of several trunk and sub-trunk watermain projects identified in the 2021 Cobourg Waster Master Plan (see **Section 1.3.3**) from a concept

level of detail to a functional design level of detail and confirm that the watermain network as proposed is adequate.

1.1.2 Overview of Wastewater Servicing

To allow development to progress, an interim solution to accommodate wastewater flows from Phase 1 has been approved by the Town. This interim solution involves extending a sanitary sewer westerly from future Street A in Phase 1 of the Cobourg Trails community to connect to the existing sanitary sewers on Elgin Street East at Conger Avenue. Under this interim solution these flows will be conveyed to Cobourg Water Pollution Control Plant #1 (WPCP #1). It is understood that WPCP #1 does not have sufficient capacity to support all seven (7) phases of the Cobourg Trails development. As such, Tribute must design and construct a trunk sanitary sewer sufficient in size and depth to service the remaining phases of their development as well as additional undeveloped external land in Cobourg East that can logically be accommodated.

The ultimate servicing approach, as indicated by the Town, is to direct all wastewater flows from the subject lands and additional external development in Cobourg East, southeasterly via a network of sub-trunk and trunk sanitary sewer systems to Cobourg WPCP #2 located in the Lucas Point Industrial Park.

1.1.3 Overview of Water Servicing

To provide water infrastructure to service the Cobourg Trails Community, Tribute is required to design and construct a portion of the trunk watermain network for Cobourg East. This trunk watermain network has been planned and conceptually designed by Lakefront Utility Services Inc. (LUSI) through the Cobourg Drinking Water System Master Plan completed in 2021.

1.2 Study Area

The Study Area is located in the northeast quadrant of the Town of Cobourg and in general encompasses the entirety of Cobourg East as defined by the Cobourg East Community Secondary Plan Area Community Framework Plan (see **Section 1.2.5**), published by the Town of Cobourg in 2004 with boundaries as follows:

- North: Highway 401
- South: Canadian National (CN)/Canadian Pacific (CP) Railway Corridor
- East: Stanton Road North
- West: 400m West of Brook Road North

CIAX | C14-0454

The Study Area also extends:

- South of the CN/CP rail corridor along the Willmott Street right-of-way to facilitate the connection of proposed trunk sewer to existing trunk sewer infrastructure in the southeast quadrant of the Town of Cobourg and evaluate the capacity of the existing trunk sewer downstream of the connection point.
- West of the Cobourg East Community Secondary Plan Area along Densmore Road to Parkview Hills Drive to facilitate a sub-trunk watermain connection.
- West of the Cobourg East Community Secondary Plan Area along the south side of the existing soccer pitches that are located east of the Cobourg Community Centre to facilitate a trunk watermain connection.

The Cobourg East Community Secondary Plan Area, the Cobourg Trails community development lands, and extensions of the Study Area are shown on **Figure 1.2.** This figure also provides an overview of the anticipated external trunk servicing infrastructure and the location of the seven (7) planned phases of Cobourg Trails development relative to the rest of the external servicing area.

Development in the eastern portion of the Town of Cobourg is split by the CN/CP rail corridor that passes through the Town approximately 1,450 m south of Elgin Street East at Brook Road North. North of the rail corridor and within the Study Area, lands are for the most part undeveloped. In addition to lands owned by Tribute in the northwest portion of the Study Area, the land immediately north of the rail corridor (along the east side of Brook Road) is actively being planned for development by Mistral Land Developments Inc.

Future development of the remaining lands within the Study Area are expected to be as shown in the Town of Cobourg's Land Use Schedule X1: Cobourg East Schedule Land Use Plan and in accordance with policies as outlined in the Town of Cobourg's, Cobourg East Community Secondary Plan. Details with respect to the Cobourg East Community Secondary Plan and the expectations set out by the Plan for future development in Cobourg East are included in **Section 1.2.5**.



1.2.1 Existing Road Network

The Study Area includes a network of existing local and arterial roads that connect Cobourg East to developed areas within the Town. Brook Road North is under the jurisdiction of Northumberland County (the County) and runs north-south in the western portion of the Study Area, connecting the future Cobourg Trails Community to the southeastern portion of the Town.

Between Elgin Street East and the southern limit of Cobourg East, Brook Road North provides entrance to seven (7) residential properties and two (2) industrial properties and is made up of a number of rolling hills, some of which are quite steep. Brook Road North is generally bound by agricultural fields and densely vegetated areas. Approximately 1,050 m south of Elgin Street East, the East tributary of Brook Creek crosses Brook Road North through an approximately 1.8 m high, 4.3 m wide, 27 m long concrete box culvert.

Elgin Street East (west of Brook Road North) is also under the jurisdiction of the County as County Road 20 and runs east-west in the northern portion of the Study Area, connecting the Cobourg Trails community to the central-northern portion of the Town. Existing development on Elgin Street East is fairly limited within the Study Area and is mainly gathered around intersections east of Brook Road North. Approximately 215 m west of Brook Road North, the West tributary of Brook Creek crosses Elgin Street East through a 1.0 m diameter CSP culvert. The Brook Creek East tributary also crosses Elgin Street East in the area of Workman Road. Future Street A, one of the main entrances to the Cobourg Trails community will be located on Elgin Street East between Conger Avenue and the Brook Creek West tributary crossing.

North of Tribute's planned development, Densmore/Danforth Road runs east-west just south of Highway 401. The road is currently a rural, two-lane route that services a small number of residential and industrial properties as well as provides a link for properties in the northern portion of Cobourg East to the Highway 401 interchange at Division Street. Densmore/Danforth Road is expected in time to be urbanized and provide an entrance to the Cobourg Trails community and an east-west link to the future Nagle Road Highway 401 interchange.

1.2.2 Existing Wastewater Infrastructure

The Town of Cobourg is currently serviced by two (2) wastewater treatment facilities, Water Pollution Control Plant (WPCP) #1 which mainly services the western and northern portions of the Town and WPCP #2 which mainly services the eastern and southern portions of the Town. The entirety of the Study Area, as defined in **Section 1.2** herein, is contained within the WPCP #2 catchment. This is in-line with the Town's previously stated preferred wastewater servicing strategy which involves draining the entirety of wastewater flows from Cobourg East to WPCP #2. WPCP #2 is located in the east end of Cobourg within the Lucas Point industrial park at the intersection of Thompson Street and Normar Road. Under existing conditions, WPCP #2 receives flows from two (2) sewer systems:

- Willmott Street local sewer which services existing industrial and institutional lands on the east side of Willmott Street as shown in **Figure 2.2**; and
- 1,200 mm dia. Thompson Street sewer which drains Cooper Court and Willmott Street west side residential properties as well as captures and conveys flows from a forcemain that services the Brook Road Sewage Pumping Station (SPS) and McGill Street SPS located further west in the Town of Cobourg.

Prior planning completed for WPCP #2 had identified the need to ultimately expand the treatment facility to double its rated capacity to meet long-term wastewater treatment needs of development within the WPCP #2 catchment area. The Town is aware of the need to expand the capacity of WPCP #2 to be able to fulfill the preferred servicing strategy for Cobourg East.

Figure 1.3 provides an annotated version of the existing Town of Cobourg wastewater collection (sanitary sewer) system in the context of the Study Area described above. The following sections outline key elements of the existing wastewater system relative to the Study Area for this report.



1.2.2.1 North of the CN / CP Rail Corridor

Within the Cobourg East Community Secondary Plan Area portion of the Study Area, there is limited existing wastewater infrastructure. The Town's existing sanitary sewer system extends into or near the Secondary Plan area as follows:

- On Brook Road North a 375 mm dia. Sanitary sewer extends under the CN/CP rail corridor to a maintenance hole located just north of the CN tracks. This sanitary sewer is considered to have limited capacity to support future development as it ultimately flows to the Brook Road Sewage Pumping Station, which experiences operational issues during wet weather events and has some future development areas south of the CN/CP rail corridor in its catchment area.
- An existing sewer flows west towards D'Arcy Street from the north-end of Cottsmore Avenue (i.e., just south of the Legion Fields baseball fields). It is understood that some wastewater from the existing industrial development at 701 Brook Road North flows through private sewers to connect at this location. Given that this sewer ultimately flows to Cobourg WPCP #1 it is not considered to have capacity to support future development at flow rate in excess of what it currently receives from the existing development.
- Just west of the Secondary Plan area, an existing sanitary sewer extends east along Elgin Street to Conger Avenue where a local sewer extends along Denton Drive. The existing sewer on Elgin Street East will be extended easterly to future Street A to provide an interim solution for conveying flows from Phase 1 of the Cobourg Trails development to WPCP #1. Ultimately, the flows from Phase 1 must be redirected to flow to towards Cobourg WPCP #2.

1.2.2.2 South of the CN / CP Rail Corridor

Given the foregoing and the Town's prior planning for wastewater flows from all lands within the Cobourg East Community Secondary Plan Area to ultimately drain to WPCP #2, additional trunk sewers are required to convey flows from the Study Area in a southeasterly direction towards the CN/CP rail corridor. As outlined in **Section 1.1.1** and further discussed in **Section 1.3.2**, the general arrangement of such a trunk sewer flowing southeasterly and crossing the Brook Creek West Tributary, Brook Creek East Tributary watersheds and entering the Massey Creek watershed was previously explored by Biddle in 2020.

A trunk sewer of this nature is expected to connect to the existing municipal infrastructure in the southeast quadrant of the Town via the unopened Willmott Street right-of-way, where an opportunity exists to cross the CN/CP rail corridor.

South of King Street East, Willmott Street development is a mix of residential, industrial, and commercial. Willmott Street is a two-lane urban collector road with water, wastewater, and stormwater infrastructure as well as private utilities such as underground gas and communications infrastructure, and overhead hydro. There is an

existing 375 mm dia. Local sanitary sewer along the east side of Willmott Street that flows south connecting to an existing 1,200 mm dia. Trunk sanitary sewer on Thompson Street.

The existing 1,200 mm dia. Trunk sanitary sewer on Thompson Street flows east along Thompson Street for approximately 700 m where it outlets to Cobourg WPCP #2. In addition to flows from the existing Willmott Street developments, the existing Thompson Street trunk sewer also receives all flows from areas further west in the WPCP #2 catchment area. This includes:

- Flows pumped from the existing Brook Road SPS and the McGill Street SPS that discharge separately at the same location on Hamilton Court and flow east via trunk sewers on Hamilton Court/Avenue, an easement between Hamilton Avenue and Cooper Court and then along Cooper Court towards Thompson Street.
- Flows from existing and future residential development in the area west of Willmott Street that flow southerly towards the above noted trunk sewer on Hamilton Avenue and Cooper Court and then east towards Thompson Street.

1.2.3 Existing Water Infrastructure

Figure 1.4 provides an overall illustration of the Cobourg Drinking Water System. Relevant components of the Cobourg Drinking Water System are described in the following sections.

1.2.3.1 Cobourg Drinking Water System Overview

Water is supplied to the Cobourg water distribution system by the Cobourg Water Treatment Plant (WTP), which draws raw water from Lake Ontario. Treated water is discharged from the Cobourg WTP into Pressure Zone 1 (Zone 1) of the water distribution system. Zone 1 services the southern portion of the Town including lands up to an elevation of ±98 m. In the Cobourg East area, the northern boundary of Zone 1 roughly equates to the CN/CP rail corridor. Currently, treated water storage in Zone 1 is provided by the Victoria Street Elevated Tank (ET), which has a total volume of 1,360 m³ and a top water level of 132.0 m. LUSI has plans to replace the existing storage facility with a larger ET (5,000m³ capacity) in the next 1-3 years.

Most of the lands in the Coburg East area are located within Pressure Zone 2, which services lands up to an elevation of ± 120 m. This includes the lands within Phases 1, 2, 3, 4 and 7 of the Cobourg Trails development, which are illustrated in the following sections.

Water is supplied to Zone 2 from Zone 1 by the Ewart Street Booster Pumping Station (BPS) located near the intersection of Ewart Street and Division Street. The Ewart Street BPS is equipped with three (3) pumps each rated for 76 L/s at 48.8 m of Total

Dynamic Head (TDH) and a firm rated pumping capacity of 152 L/s with two (2) pumps in service. Treated water storage in Zone 2 is provided by the Strathy Road Elevated Tank (ET), which has a total volume of 3,734 m³ and a top water level of 158.40 m.

Lands in the very northeastern portion of the Cobourg East area are located at elevations above ± 120 m. As a result, the servicing of these lands from a water supply perspective will require the creation of a new pressure zone (Zone 3) to be serviced by a new BPS. The lands within Phases 5 and 6 of the Cobourg Trails development are located within Zone 3 at elevations ranging between ± 120 m and ± 135 m.

1.2.3.2 Existing Watermains

Water distribution infrastructure within the Cobourg East area is limited. A single 300 mm dia. (Zone 1) watermain that extends east from D'Arcy Street along Kent Street to service the private watermains located at 701 Brook Road North is the only existing watermain within the Cobourg East area. Given that the site at 701 Brook Road North is partially at elevations above 98 m, it would be more appropriately serviced from Zone 2 in the future. As such, the exiting Zone 1 watermains servicing that site are of limited value to supporting future development in Cobourg East.

To the west of Cobourg East, several existing Zone 2 watermains are available to facilitate extension of the Cobourg water distribution system into the Cobourg East Community Secondary Plan Area. These include the following trunk and sub-trunk watermains:

- A 400 mm dia. trunk watermain on Elgin Street East that currently terminates at Conger Avenue and is planned to be extended to future Street A of the Cobourg Trails development in the near term.
- A 300 mm dia. sub-trunk watermain on Densmore Road that currently terminates at Parkview Hills Drive.

Both of these watermains are identified for easterly extensions in the Cobourg Drinking Water System Master Plan.



1.2.4 Cobourg Trails Community

As mentioned in **Section 1.2**, the Cobourg Trails community development lands owned by Tribute are located in the northwest portion of the Study Area, northeast of existing developed areas within the Town, and encompass approximately 21% of the land area in Cobourg East that may ultimately contribute to the proposed trunk sanitary sewer.

The site of the Cobourg Trails community is currently characterized by steep slopes and high elevations (due to its location within a drumlinized sand plain), major woodlots and agricultural fields. Tributaries of two (2) creeks in the Town of Cobourg pass through the development which are, the west tributary of Brook Creek through the center of the subject lands and a tributary of Midtown Creek through the northwestern portion of the subject lands.

Development of the Cobourg Trails community lands has been organized into seven (7) phases as illustrated in **Figure 1.5** and described below:

- Phase 1 is located east of Denton Drive and north of Elgin Street East. A part of Phase 1 exists south of Elgin Street East surrounding the Phase 2 lands; development is not planned for this area as a portion of it is environmentally protected and the remainder is committed to parkland.
- Phases 3 7 are located east of Phase 1, generally being north of Elgin Street East and east of the north projection of the Brook Road North right-of-way.
- Phase 2 is not contiguous with the other six (6) Phases and is located approximately 400 m south of Elgin Street East on the west side of Brook Road North.



Figure 1.5: Cobourg Trails Phasing Plan

The following Sections provide further detail on the current understanding of planned development within each of the proposed construction Phases.

1.2.4.1 Proposed Phase 1 Development

As proposed, development Phase 1 encompasses 44.50 Ha of the Cobourg Trails land area (inclusive of major woodlots and environmental protection lands within the overall development). Approximately 17.57 Ha of this land will be developed into a mix of single-family and semi-detached dwellings and townhomes; of 181 units planned for construction, 149 will be single-family homes and 32 will be townhouses. Using the Town's design criteria, it is estimated that at completion, the development in Phase 1 will have a population of approximately 570 people.

Phase 1 development is also planned to include an elementary school located to the east of the north projection of Brook Road North on a 2.63 Ha site, a 0.58 Ha Village Square located between Denton Drive and future Street A, a 1.33 Ha neighbourhood park, and a 1.10 Ha stormwater management facility block at the northeast corner of Elgin Street East and future Street A. Planned development within Phase 1 is illustrated on Biddle's Phase 1 General Servicing Plan included in **Appendix E**.

Phase 1 will be connected to the rest of Cobourg East through an extension of Denton Drive and a proposed intersection of future Street A with Elgin Street East between Conger Avenue and Brook Road North.

Future Street A is considered an internal collector ring road that will ultimately service all development Phases north of Elgin Street East (Phases 3 – 7) and connect to arterial collector roads that surround the neighbourhood either directly (Elgin Street East) or by side streets (Danforth Road East and Greer Road). Future Street A is planned to be designed with a 24.5m right-of-way that will allow for the inclusion of bicycle paths and sidewalk on both sides of the street. Other internal roads (future streets B, C and E) are proposed as crescents connecting to the above noted local roads.

Tribute's consultant, Biddle, is completing the detailed design of water, storm and sanitary infrastructure internal to the development as well as external connections to existing Town of Cobourg water, storm and sanitary (temporary) infrastructure to service Phase 1.

1.2.4.2 Proposed Phase 2 Development

As currently proposed, Phase 2 is located in the southern portion of the development lands to the west of Brook Road North centered approximately 400 m south of Elgin Street East. Phase 2 encompasses 17.13 Ha of the Cobourg Trails community, 5.30 Ha of which is planned for development.

At this time, it is expected that development in Phase 2 will be a mix of single detached homes and townhouses totaling 99 units spread over eleven (11) blocks. Assuming a

population per unit of 3.23 and 2.68 persons for single-family dwellings and townhouses respectively, Phase 2 is expected to house approximately 300 people.

In addition to the proposed residential development, Phase 2 will contain a 1.14 Ha stormwater management facility block at the northwest limit of the site. The southern portion of Phase 2 development is bound to the rear by land planned to be developed into an extension of outdoor recreational facilities belonging to the Cobourg Community Centre.

Proposed Street BB will connect Phase 2 to the existing road network at an intersection with Brook Road North at the north end of the site. Other internal roads (future streets CC and DD) are proposed as crescents connecting to future Street BB.

Planned development within Phase 2 is illustrated in the Draft Plan drawing prepared by The Planning Partnership and included in **Appendix F**.

1.2.4.3 Development Phases 3-7

For Phases 3 – 7 of the development, preliminary alignment of internal roads has been established however, the exact number and arrangement of residential units has not yet been confirmed. It is currently estimated that Phases 3 – 7 will generate a residential population of approximately 4,010 people and include residential units, village squares, stormwater management facilities, and a site set-aside for water treatment infrastructure. The detailed planning for Phase 3 is more advanced than other phases.

As per the Planning Justification and Urban Design Report prepared for the area by TPP in April 2018, Phase 7 will be developed into a mixed-use center providing residential, institutional and commercial uses to support the Cobourg Trails community. Phase 7 is strategically located to be within a reasonable walking distance of surrounding neighbourhoods developed through Phases 1 – 6 and adjacent the intersection of Brook Road North and Elgin Street East, which is considered to be the community's gateway.

It is currently estimated that the entirety of the Cobourg Trails development area will provide 1,604 – 1,926 units of combined residential and mixed-use development over the seven (7) phases. The exact number of units and number of people that the Cobourg Trails development will ultimately service is dependent on the unit mix that is decided on for each Phase. Tribute's development is following a Block Plan approach which allows for flexibility in determining the location and quantity of housing types which is intended to allow for appropriate response to changes in market demand, consumer preference and economic condition.

1.2.5 Cobourg East Community Secondary Plan Area

In May 2005, the Town of Cobourg published the Cobourg East Community Secondary Plan Area (Cobourg East) Community Framework Plan with the intent of establishing a basis for development in the Cobourg East Community. The Secondary Plan established policies that would act as a baseline for use when considering development applications for areas within Cobourg East, ultimately with the purpose of developing a diverse community in a way that would have the greatest positive impact on the quality of life in Cobourg. The proposed Cobourg Trails community is located entirely within the boundary of what is considered Cobourg East.

Policies developed under the Secondary Plan gave particular focus to ensuring a balanced mix of development types would exist within the Cobourg East area. The following table provides a breakdown of land use distribution set out for Cobourg East in the Secondary Plan.

LAND USE	HECTARES	PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL
Residential	241	42.2
Employment	96	16.81
Service Commercial	5	0.88
Mixed Use	4	0.7
Elementary Schools	18	3.15
High School	5	0.88
Places of Worship	5	0.88
Environmental	159	27.85
Protection		
Parkland	38	6.65
TOTAL:	571	100

Table 1.1: Cobourg East Secondary Plan Area Land Use Breakdown (2005)

Excerpted from Secondary Plan

In developing the land use breakdown, it was assumed that given the attractiveness of the area, Cobourg East would likely become increasingly more appealing in terms of a place to live as the Greater Toronto Area continued to expand.

As shown in **Table 1.1**, residential development was estimated to encompass approximately 42% of the Cobourg East area. The Secondary Plan specified a 65% low density / 35% medium density split of the residential area. Residential populations were estimated in the Secondary Plan for Cobourg East based on set unit densities and household sizes for low and medium density residential units as follows:

- Low Density 20 25 units per hectare and 2.93 people per unit
- Medium Density 40 units per hectare and 1.83 people per unit

As such, the Secondary Plan estimated the residential population of Cobourg East at full build-out to be approximately 16,500 people housed in approximately 6,500 dwellings. This number could be slightly inflated to a population in excess of 17,000 people if limited high density residential development occurred in some areas.

Additionally, policies within the Secondary Plan were developed with the understanding that the ability of Cobourg East to attract employment would be a key driver to success of the area as a whole. As shown in **Table 1.1** it was estimated that employment development in Cobourg East would encompass 96 Ha of land. At an estimated employment density of 37.5 employees per hectare, the Secondary Plan area could support a working population of approximately 3,600 employees.

The Secondary Plan excluded approximately 159 Ha of land in Cobourg East from development due to environmental constraints and/or the lands importance from a natural heritage perspective. Cobourg East contains an abundance of natural heritage features and a significant portion of environmentally protected land. The Secondary Plan aimed to establish a land use framework that would link natural heritage features and create an open-space system that would provide the greatest benefit to community residents.

1.3 Prior Planning

In addition to planning reports developed to set a framework for unit mix and density, road networks, parkland distribution, etc. for the Cobourg Trails community, Municipal servicing reports have been written to preliminarily establish infrastructure requirements for servicing Cobourg East and evaluate the feasibility of phasing the construction of infrastructure to achieve those requirements.

1.3.1 Cobourg East Community Municipal Servicing Summary Report, KMK Consultants Limited

In November 2004 KMK Consultants Limited (KMK), as part of a team hired by the Town of Cobourg to develop the Secondary Plan for the Cobourg East Community, was tasked with analyzing water, wastewater and stormwater servicing requirements for Cobourg East.

The report evaluated existing distribution and collection systems, Town of Cobourg design criteria, and estimated future demands to develop servicing alternatives that would provide sufficient capacities to service the Cobourg East area at full build-out.

The preferred water servicing strategy for treatment and distribution was to construct a new reservoir and pumping facility within Zone 3 that would provide pumped storage for

Zones 2 and 3 and address storage deficits in Zone 1, and construction of an elevated water tank to service Zone 3.

The preferred wastewater servicing strategy was to direct wastewater flows from the entirety of Cobourg East southeasterly toward Water Pollution Control Plant (WPCP) #2 in the Town of Cobourg with a connection to the existing sewer network south of the CN/CP rail corridor (or the southern limit of Cobourg East) at Wilmott Street and County Road 2 (King Street East).

1.3.2 Trunk Sanitary Sewer Servicing Alternatives Brief, D.G. Biddle & Associates Limited

In December 2020 Biddle was engaged by Tribute to further investigate alternatives for the conveyance of wastewater flows from Tribute's proposed development in the northern portion of Cobourg East to WPCP #2. As presented in **Section 1.3.1**, the direction of wastewater flows from the Cobourg East area toward WPCP #2 was identified as the preferred solution in KMK's Municipal Servicing Summary Report.

Common to all investigated alternative alignments in Biddle's report was the alignment of sewer north of the south end of Tribute's planned Phase 2 development, which included draining Phases 1, 3-7 through a common internal sub-trunk that would outlet the development lands at Elgin Street East, flow east by gravity to Brook Road North, and south on Brook Road North. Phase 2 would be drained by an internal sub-trunk tied into the trunk sewer at the south end of the development on Brook Road North.

Three (3) servicing alternatives were evaluated for trunk sanitary sewer south of Phase 2. Option 2 and 3, as presented in Biddle's Trunk Sanitary Sewer Servicing Alternatives Design Brief, are summarized below:

Option 2: Gravity sewer south from Phase 2 on Brook Road North, crossing under the CN/CP rail corridor in the Brook Road North right-of-way to King Street East, east by gravity on King Street East to Willmott Street and continued south on Willmott Street to the existing 1,200mm dia. trunk sanitary sewer on Thompson Street.

Option 3: Gravity sewer south from Phase 2 on Brook Road North to a Brook Road North pumping station at the CN/CP rail corridor, pumped sewage under the CN/CP tracks to King Street East and along King Street East via forcemain to a point where gravity sewer was again feasible (approximately Willmott Street) and continued south on Willmott Street to the existing 1,200mm dia. trunk sanitary sewer on Thompson Street. The Town of Cobourg's preferred trunk sanitary sewer alternative was Option 1 which services the area using only gravity sewer (no pumping station is required).

Option 1: Gravity sewer south from Phase 2 on Brook Road North to the CN/CP tracks, east on the north side of the CN/CP tracks to the unopened Willmott Street right-of-way, tunneled under the rail tracks southerly within the Willmott Street right-of-way and continued south to the existing 1,200mm dia. trunk sanitary sewer on Thompson Street.

The design prepared for external wastewater servicing of Cobourg East by CIMA and presented herein was developed following the Option 1 alignment and gravity sewer concept.

1.3.3 Cobourg Drinking Water System Master Plan, CIMA+

In July 2021 CIMA, on behalf of LUSI, concluded the Cobourg Drinking Water System Master Plan process and published a series of five (5) technical memoranda and one (1) supplemental technical memorandum evaluating the Town of Cobourg drinking water system (DWS) demands; current and future, and infrastructure; existing and required. While all conclusions and recommendations identified through the Master Plan process are important to the functionality of the Cobourg DWS long-term, the following conclusions/recommendations have affected the design for servicing of the Cobourg Trails development.

Technical Memorandum #2 & 3 – Treated Water Storage Requirements, identified major deficiencies and operational constraints in treated water storage and pumping infrastructure within the Cobourg DWS and identified upgrading requirements and a preliminary preferred solution to address long-term treated water pumping and storage needs for the Town of Cobourg. The preliminary preferred alternative for satisfying treated water pumping and storage requirements in the Town of Cobourg, and the alternative that LUSI has chosen to proceed with following public consultation completed as part of the Master Plan process, includes:

- A new Zone 1 Elevated Tank and co-located Zone 2 Booster Pumping Station to address treated water storage and pumping needs;
- New Zone 2 twin, at-grade tanks to accommodate future Zone 2 growth; and
- New Zone 3 Booster Pumping Station to service growth in Zone 3.

Technical Memorandum #2 & 3B – Evaluation of Potential Storage and Pumping Facility Site Locations, identified a preferred site for a combined Zone 1 Elevated Tank and Zone 2 Booster Pumping Station, determined in TM 2 & 3 to be the preferred alternative for satisfying short-term treated water pumping and storage needs. The preferred site for a combined Zone 1 Elevated Tank and Zone 2 Booster Pumping Station is at the rear of Buildings 18 and 19 of the Northam Industrial Park on property owned by the Town of Cobourg, \pm 560 m north of the existing rail corridor and \pm 230 m east of D'Arcy Street. LUSI is currently in the process of moving forward with preliminary investigations and assessment processes to confirm the suitability of the site for construction.

Technical Memorandum #5 – Water Distribution System Assessment, provided a general description of the existing distribution system and identified system expansion requirements to support future growth. Conceptual alignments for future trunk and sub-trunk watermains in Cobourg East were identified through the Master Plan process. These alignments have been used as a basis for preliminary trunk watermain design included as part of this Functional Servicing Report (FSR).

1.3.4 Water Servicing Analysis for the Villages of Central Park Residential Subdivision, CIMA+

In January 2021, CIMA was retained by LUSI to complete an evaluation of required watermain distribution infrastructure for servicing Phase 1 of the Cobourg Trails (formerly Villages of Central Park) development. The report studied the current capacity of the Cobourg Drinking Water System, in terms of treatment, storage, and pumping, as well as the development's demands and provided conclusions with regard to pumping, storage and distribution infrastructure requirements.

The general servicing plan prepared by Biddle on behalf of Tribute for Phase 1 included for:

- Replacement of 200 mm dia. watermain on Elgin Street East between Conger Avenue and the most easterly access to the Northumberland Community Housing development with 400 mm dia. watermain, extended along Elgin Street East to just east of the proposed entrance to the site;
- 300 mm dia. sub-trunk watermain internal to the Phase 1 development; and
- 200 mm dia. watermain on local streets internal to the Phase 1 development.

The report concluded that while existing Zone 2 pumping capacity was sufficient to support proposed development Phase 1, additional Zone 2 pumping capacity would be required to support subsequent phases of development (2 - 7). The ability to support the earlier phases (i.e., Phase 2) in advance of the provision of additional Zone 2 pumping capacity can potentially be determined based on the following with consideration for the timeline of the new Zone 2 BPS entering service:

• LUSI's comfort in operating the Ewart Street BPS at levels exceeding 80% of capacity; and

• The progress of other developments in Zone 2 (in addition to Tribute's) and the actual resultant increase in Zone 2 water demand.

Additionally, the report concluded that regardless of Tribute's planned development there was already an existing treated water storage shortfall in the Town of Cobourg that LUSI must address. LUSI currently plans to address the shortfall with construction of a Zone 1 Elevated Tank and is in the process of moving forward with preliminary investigations and assessment processes to confirm the suitability of the preferred site for tank construction.

Biddle's proposed watermain network would provide system pressures within the acceptable range of pressures as specified by the MOE (MECP). The report recommended that properties near the south end of the Phase 1 development, in the area of future Street A and Elgin Street East, be considered as candidates for pressure reducing valves (PRVs) as nodes within the distribution network in the area measured pressures in excess of 80 psi (potentially damaging high pressure in occupied spaces).

2 Wastewater Servicing

2.1 Applicable Design Criteria and Standards

The following resources were used to progress the preferred wastewater servicing alternative from a conceptual design stage (as developed by Biddle and presented in **Section 1.3.2**) to a preliminary functional design stage:

- The latest revision of Town of Cobourg Design Guidelines, revised April 2015;
- The Town of Cobourg Official Plan, Schedule X1: Cobourg East Schedule Land Use Plan
- Engineering design standards for rail crossings as published by the Canadian National Railway (CN) and Canadian Pacific Railway (CP):
 - CN Sanitary Pipeline Crossing Application (September 2007) and accompanying guidelines
 - Canadian Pacific Utility Specification and Application Process (No Date)
 - Canadian Pacific Geotechnical Protocol for Pipeline and Utility Crossing(s) under Railway Tracks (February 25, 2020)
 - Canadian Pacific Railway (CPR) Specification No. SP-TS-2.39: Pipeline and Utility Installations Buried within Canadian Pacific Railway Right-of-Way in Canada (April 23, 2007)

• Design Guidelines for Sewage Works as published by the Ministry of the Environment (MOE), revised 2008.

2.2 Wastewater Flow Estimates

As defined by the selected preferred alternative for wastewater servicing of Cobourg East, the proposed trunk sanitary sewer is expected to drain wastewater by gravity from the entirety of the Cobourg East development area toward WPCP #2 at the east end of the Town of Cobourg. As such, the overall catchment area has been defined by a combination of three (3) features:

- The north, south, east, and west limits of the Cobourg East area as defined in Section 1.2.5;
- The natural topography of Cobourg East; and
- The limits and extents of Environmental Protection areas.

The total flow contributing to the external trunk sanitary sewer system can be generally described as a combination of the following:

- 1. Flow from future development land in Cobourg East
- 2. Flow from existing serviced areas in the southeastern portion of the Town

The following sections provide a summary of assumptions and estimates made to determine flows contributing to the system.

2.2.1 Cobourg East Community - Future Development Land

Based on the Town's latest Official Plan, Schedule X1: Land Use Plan Cobourg East Community, the area in hectares (Ha) associated with each land use type was determined for the purposes of calculating contributing wastewater flows. Areas for each land use type were determined by overlaying a GIS layer of the land use shown on Schedule X1 with the sub-catchments for the proposed trunk sanitary sewer. **Table 2.1** below provides a summary of the outputs.

It should be noted that land use within the Cobourg Trails community Phases 1, 3-7 are reflective of more detailed planning work for this area which has been integrated into sanitary sewer design calculations provided by Biddle as part of their ultimate sanitary sewer condition design sheet used to determine sizing of internal sewers servicing the development, which has been included in **Appendix E**. It is agreed that Biddle has an understanding of the proposed development internal to Cobourg Trails at a greater level of detail than what is provided in the Town's land use plan and therefore would have a more accurate estimate of land use types and population associated with the area.

Similarly, land use within the Mistral Land Developments Inc. property is reflective of planning work completed by Monument Geomatics for the area to a conceptual level.

Table 2.1: Cobourg East Land Use Breakdown Considered for Flow Estimate	S
---	---

Land Use Type	Land Area (Ha)		
Residential and Institutional	289		
Commercial	6		
Employment (Industrial)	77		
Environmental Protection	123		

The outputs provided in the Table above were generated based on a defined overall wastewater catchment area which excludes 71 Ha of environmental protection lands within Cobourg East that are not contributing to the trunk/sub-trunk drainage area. The lands excluded from consideration are lands that will not be developed based on their proximity to the east Tributary of Brook Creek and location within areas designated Environmental Protection per the Town of Cobourg's Official Plan, Schedule X1.

2.2.1.1 Forecasted Wastewater Flow Criteria

Residential Flows

To estimate residential wastewater flows for external lands contributing to the proposed trunk sanitary sewer system from planned future development in Cobourg East the following parameters for unit density and unit mix were applied in accordance with Town of Cobourg Design Guidelines:

	Population Per Unit (PPU)	Units Per Hectare
Single-Family Dwelling (SFD) and Semi-Detached	3.23	20
Townhouse	2.68	50
Apartment (Medium Density)	1.62	100

Table 2.2: Town of Cobourg Design Guidelines - Unit Density and Unit Mix

A 65% / 35% mix of low density / medium density residential development in accordance with the range of housing specified in the Secondary Plan (as discussed in **Section 1.2.5**) was assumed for the purposes of evaluating future estimated flows. The 35% medium density portion of residential development is assumed to be comprised of 30% townhomes and 5% apartments based on the current understanding of residential development historically in the Town of Cobourg. As such, where areas have been designated Residential Use under the Town's Official Plan Schedule X1, the associated population per hectare is estimated to be 90.29 persons/hectare as shown in **Table 2.3** below.

Residential Unit Mix	ζ.	PPU	Units/Ha	Ppl/Ha
SFD	65%	3.23	20	41.99
Towns	30%	2.68	50	40.2
Apartments (Med. Density)	5%	1.62	100	8.1
		1	Total	90.29

Table 2.3: Residential Density

Dry weather and wet weather flows associated with residential land use were assumed in accordance with Town of Cobourg Design Guidelines.

Table 2.4: Town of Cobourg Design Guidelines – Wastewater Flow Rates

Land Use Type	Flow
Residential	364 L/person/day
Infiltration	22.5 m³/gross ha/day

At 364 L/person/day, the flow per hectare contributing to the trunk sanitary sewer system from residential developments is estimated to be 32.87 m³/ha/day.

While it is recognized that unit densities/population densities for the Cobourg East area were set out in the Secondary Plan to estimate a population for the Town of Cobourg at full build-out (see **Section 1.2.5**), the unit densities from the Town of Cobourg Design Guidelines have been applied for the purposes of conservatively estimating wastewater flow rates herein. The application of these criteria yields a larger overall population for Cobourg East of 24,800 people compared to the 17,000 included in the Secondary Plan.

Peaking of average flows based on population estimates was accounted for using the Harmon peaking factor in accordance with Town of Cobourg Design Guidelines.

Non-Residential Flows

To estimate non-residential wastewater flows for external lands contributing to the proposed trunk sanitary sewer system from planned future development in Cobourg East, the following flow rates based on land use type were applied in accordance with the Town of Cobourg Design Guidelines:

Table 2.5: Town of Cobourg Design Guidelines – Non-Residential Wastewater Flow Rates

Land Use Type	Flow	Floor Space Index
Commercial	180 m³/gross ha/day ¹	50%
Industrial (Trunk) ²	180 m³/gross ha/day ¹	100%

Notes:

- 1. As specified in the Town of Cobourg Design Guidelines, flow rates as listed in **Table 2.5** are inclusive of infiltration and peaking effect.
- 2. The Town's Design Guidelines provide for the reduction of industrial flow rates for the design of trunk sewers to 90m³/gross ha/day at the Town's discretion. This approach has <u>not</u> been applied to the estimation of ultimate wastewater flows for the proposed trunk sewer based on preliminary discussions with the Town and concerns that the potential conversion of employment lands to residential uses could result in flow rates that would significantly exceed the reduced industrial flow rate.

Environmental Protection Area Flows

It was assumed, in accordance with Town of Cobourg Design Guidelines and as mentioned above, that all residential areas would contribute infiltration flow of 22.5 m³/gross ha/day. Infiltration contributing to the trunk sanitary sewer system from environmental protection areas was considered as well. Consideration was given to the natural topography of environmental protection areas and where contours depicted surface flows in opposing directions to sub-trunk or trunk sewer systems, these areas were excluded from infiltration estimates. Alternatively, where current contour mapping showed a condition where surface drainage within environmental protection areas flowed towards sub-trunk or trunk sewers, an infiltration flow attributable to those areas was included. The infiltration flow contributing to the proposed trunk sewer from environmental areas was estimated assuming a flow rate of 22.5 m³/gross ha/day (0.26 L/s/ha). **Figure 2.1** depicts the areas used to estimate environmental infiltration flows in the design sheet.



2.2.2 Sub-Catchments and Forecasted Wastewater Flows

The overall catchment area is broken into sub-catchments based on the natural topography (as understood by available contour mapping at 2 m intervals derived from the Northumberland Digital Elevation Model (NDEM)) and land use for future development in Cobourg East. It is anticipated that these sub-catchments will drain via future sub-trunk sewers toward the proposed trunk sanitary sewer. The conceptual alignment of sub-trunk sewers within the sub-catchments have been established based on the natural topography of the areas and more specifically aligned through the low points of the areas. Additionally, when establishing a conceptual alignment for sub-trunk sewers some consideration was given to future road alignments as represented in the Town of Cobourg Official Plan, Schedule X1.

Sub-catchments are as shown in **Figure 2.2**. Catchment IDs used to label the land area contributing to each sub-trunk in **Figure 2.2** are listed in **Table 2.6** with the corresponding sub-catchment details. **Table 2.6** provides a summary of the gross area (Ha) contributing to the trunk sanitary sewer through each sub-catchment. **Figure 2.2** shows the overall sanitary drainage area and sub-catchments for the proposed trunk sewer.

Detailed evaluation of the sub-catchment sewer networks in terms of their exact pipe size, alignment, and profiles (i.e., beyond confirming from available contour mapping and Town of Cobourg design criteria that the trunk sanitary sewer is set at a depth suitable to service the sub-catchment) is beyond the scope of this external servicing assignment. Further discussion of the conceptual sub-trunk sewers for sub-catchments not connecting directly to the proposed trunk sewer is provided in **Section 2.6**.


ID	Description	Gross Area (Ha)	Residential Area (Ha)	Non-Residential Area (Ha)	Environmental Protection Area / Greenspace (Ha)
A	Midtown Creek SPS Through Cobourg Trails Ph 1, 3-7 Sub- Trunk	33.60	4.66	8.91	20.03
В	East External Through Cobourg Trails Ph 1, 3-7 Sub- Trunk	11.66	11.66	0	0
С	Northeast External Through Cobourg Trails Ph 1, 3-7 Sub- Trunk	19.21	0	19.21	0
D	North External Through Cobourg Trails Ph 1, 3-7 Sub- Trunk	12.11	2.52	9.59	0
E	South External Through Cobourg Trails Ph 1, 3-7 Sub- Trunk	2.28	2.27	0.01	0
G	West External Through Cobourg Trails Ph 1, 3-7 Sub- Trunk	1.54	1.54	0	0
F1	Cobourg Trails Internal Sub-Trunk (Ph 1, 3-6)	80.45	52.78	3.58	24.09
F2	Cobourg Trails Phase 7 Sub-Trunk	8.37	6.98	0	1.39
Н	Brook Road East Side Direct to Trunk	6.65	6.55	0	0.10
I	Elgin Street Sub- Trunk	8.15	5.13	0	3.02
J	Cobourg Trails Phase 2 Direct to Trunk	17.13	5.30	0	11.83

Table 2.6: Summary of Sub-Catchments and Associated Land Use Areas

ID	Description	Gross Area (Ha)	Residential Area (Ha)	Non-Residential Area (Ha)	Environmental Protection Area / Greenspace (Ha)
К	Brook Creek East Tributary North Sub- Trunk	72.00	47.60	8.66	15.74
L1	Brook Creek East Tributary South Sub-Trunk	66.44	50.19	0	22.02
L2	East Village North – Northern Portion	16.06	16.06	0	0
M1	East Village North – Southern Portion	24.86	19.71	5.15	0
M2	Allowance for Potential Non- Residential Flows from Sub- Catchment M1 / M2	1	0	1	0
0	Future Kerr Street Sub-Trunk	6.03	0.04	5.33	0.67
N	Brook Road West Side Sub-Trunk	18.92	0	16.97	1.96
P	Railway Sub-Trunk	21.13	9.78	10.59	0.76
Q	Massey Creek Sub- Trunk	60.54	38.88	1.63	20.03

The majority of sub-catchments as listed in the table above contribute to the proposed trunk sewer via a single future sub-trunk connection that services the internal sub-catchment lands and ties into the trunk sewer at a point throughout its length. There are however five (5) sub-catchments that are expected to be serviced by the Cobourg Trails Ph 1, 3-6 internal sanitary sewer network prior to joining with the proposed external trunk sewer. Based on the topography in the northern portion of Cobourg East, it is expected that the following sub-catchment areas will ultimately convey flows to the trunk sanitary sewer at the upper-end of the system via the internal Phase 1, 3 - 6 sub-trunk sanitary sewer:

- Midtown Creek SPS A
- East External Through Tribute B
- Northeast External Through Tribute C
- North External Through Tribute D

- South External Through Tribute E
- West External Through Tribute G

Biddle has been engaged by Tribute to complete the design of internal servicing for all phases of Cobourg Trails. Biddle has therefore evaluated with a greater level of certainty the land use within Cobourg Trails Ph 1, 3-7 (F) and the area's contributing wastewater flows as well as external areas that contribute to the proposed trunk sanitary sewer through the Cobourg Trails development (sub-catchments A, B, C, D, E, G). As such, with agreeance that Biddle has an understanding of the proposed development internal to Cobourg Trails at a greater level of detail than what is provided in the Town's land use plan, the residential and non-residential area included in **Table 2.6** for Cobourg Trails Phase 1, 3-7 is based on the ultimate sanitary condition design sheet completed by Biddle for sizing of the Phase 1, 3-6 internal sub-trunk sewers. Similarly, land use within the Mistral Land Developments Inc. property is reflective of planning work completed by Monument Geomatics for the area to a conceptual level. The remainder of sub-catchment land use areas are generated based on Schedule X1 of the Town of Cobourg's Official Plan as they remain in a planning stage.

2.2.3 Existing Service Area

South of the CN/CP rail corridor, existing industrial developments east of Willmott Street are serviced by a 375 mm dia. sanitary sewer flowing south on Willmott Street between King Street East and Thompson Street. At Thompson Street, the 375 mm dia. sewer connects to a 1,200 mm dia. trunk sanitary sewer flowing easterly along Thompson Street to outlet to WCPC #2. The existing trunk sewer on Thompson Street also conveys flows from existing residential developments west of Willmott Street and pumped wastewater flows from the Town's McGill Street Sewage Pumping Station (SPS) and Brook Road SPS via forcemains that discharge to the upstream end of the existing trunk at Hamilton Court.

It is proposed that the external trunk sanitary sewer will ultimately replace the existing 375 mm dia. sanitary sewer on Willmott Street. Based on CIMA's understanding of existing municipal infrastructure and private utilities within the Willmott Street right-of-way, as well as existing residential development lining the west side of the street, the east side of the right-of-way is considered the preferred location for the trunk sanitary sewer alignment. Trench widths to facilitate the construction of a relatively deep, 825 mm dia. trunk sanitary sewer on the west side of the right-of-way would potentially impact existing developments and private utility infrastructure. The existing 375 mm dia. sewer on Willmott Street is within the preferred trunk sewer alignment and therefore, will

be replaced. This will also limit the overall quantity of sewer ultimately owned and maintained by the Town.

Given the above, flows from existing industrial properties and future infill of developable land east of Willmott Street were considered in evaluating the capacity of the proposed trunk sanitary sewer. To estimate flows, flow rates were applied as specified in the Town of Cobourg Design Guidelines to both the developed and undeveloped areas within the catchment area.

Capacity of the existing 1,200 mm dia. trunk sewer on Thompson Street was also evaluated to confirm that the existing sewer was of sufficient size to capture and convey flows from the full build-out of Cobourg East.

Engage Engineering Ltd. (Engage) evaluated the capacity of the Thompson Street trunk sanitary sewer in 2019 through a FSR written for JMCD Holdings Inc. (now referred to as Mistral Land Developments Inc.) to support their planned development of East Village Phase 5 located at the northwest corner of Willmott Street and King Street East. The same residential population estimates used by Engage in 2019 to estimate flows for residential development west of Willmott Street have been considered in this report.

Flows contributing to the Thompson Street trunk sanitary sewer from the McGill Street SPS and Brook Road SPS were taken as the firm rated capacity of the pumping stations. Based on a Sanitary Sewer System and Plant Capacity Utilization Study prepared by Totten Sims Hubicki Associates for the Town of Cobourg, McGill Street SPS operates with three (3) Smart Turner Hayward Model 6JVS non-clog sewage pumps each rated at 123 L/s at a TDH of 31 meters and the Brook Road SPS operates with three (3) Smart Turner Model 6WJFS non-clog sewage pumps each rated at 40.5 L/s at a TDH of 12.8 meters. The firm rated capacities of the Mill Street SPS and Brook Road SPS are 246 L/s and 81 L/s, respectively.

2.3 Sewer Sizing

In determining sewer sizing for the proposed external trunk sewer, a maximum 80% capacity utilization of the sewer's full flow capacity has been targeted in accordance with commonly accepted industry standards set to accommodate gas emissions and provide air space in the pipe.

Additionally, consideration was given to maintaining actual and design velocities in the range of 0.6 m/s and 3.0 m/s as set by the Town of Cobourg Design Guidelines.

Appendix D provides sanitary sewer design sheets with detailed design calculations for the proposed trunk sewer.

2.4 Alignment and Profile Design

Preliminary alignment and profile of the proposed trunk sanitary sewer for external servicing of Cobourg East was established based on Town of Cobourg Design Guidelines and criteria as summarized in the *Summary of Design Criteria Used for External Servicing Design* table included in **Appendix C**.

The proposed trunk sanitary sewer is approximately 3,940 m in length with pipe diameters ranging from 525 mm to 825 mm, drained by gravity. The trunk sewer preliminary alignment can be found in **Figure 2.3** below. The scope of work is summarized in the Table below.

Street Name	Design Elements
	525 mm Dia.
Elgin Street East	3.0 m – 5.5 m Depth
From: Cobourg Trails Phase 1	61% - 63% Capacity Used
To: Brook Road North	1.32 – 1.35 m/s
Brook Road North	600 mm Dia.
From: Elgin Street East	5.6 m – 8.1 m Depth
To: Cobourg Trails Phase 2	62% - 63% Capacity Used
	1.24 – 1.25 m/s
Cobourg Trails Phase 2 Area	600 mm Dia.
	3.6 m – 8.4 m Depth
	62% - 66% Capacity Used
	1.23 – 1.27 m/s
Brook Road North	600/675 mm Dia.
From: Cobourg Trails Phase 2	5.6 m – 8.2 m Depth
To: Brook Creek East Tributary Crossing	63% - 65% Capacity Used
	1.25 – 1.35 m/s

Table 2.7: Scope of Work for Proposed Trunk Sanitary Sewer

Street Name	Design Elements
Brook Creek East Tributary Crossing	900 mm Dia. 6.2 m – 7.0 m Depth 33% Capacity Used 1.36 m/s
Brook Road North From: Brook Creek East Tributary Crossing To: Mistral Land Developments Inc.	750 mm Dia. 7.7 m – 9.8 m Depth 51% - 74% Capacity Used 1.48 – 1.85 m/s
Mistral Land Developments Inc. From: Brook Road North To: CN/CP Rail Corridor Crossing	750 mm Dia. 7.8 m – 10.6 m Depth* 73% Capacity Used 1.51 m/s
CN/CP Rail Corridor Crossing	900 mm Dia. 6.9 m – 7.8 m Depth 53% Capacity Used 1.58 m/s
Unopened Willmott Street Right-of-Way From: CN/CP Rail Corridor To: King Street East	825 mm Dia. 4.1 m – 5.7 m Depth 67% – 68% Capacity Used 1.56 -1.57 m/s
Willmott Street From: King Street East To: Thompson Street	825 mm Dia. 3.4 m – 4.8 m Depth 41% - 77% Capacity Used 1.55 -2.44 m/s

*Depths provided for trunk sewer segments within Mistral Land Developments Inc. East Village North are based on latest grading plans provided. Further discussion related to these depths and the potential to reduce them is provided in **Section 2.5.6.4**.



The trunk sanitary sewer profile was mainly set by four (4) constraints within the study area:

- 1. Brook Creek East tributary crossing on Elgin Street East
- 2. Brook Creek West tributary crossing on Brook Road North
- 3. CN/CP rail corridor crossing within the unopened Willmott Street right-of-way
- 4. Depth of sub-trunk sanitary sewers servicing external development, particularly the Brook Creek North and South sub-trunks.

As the Town does not have specific design criteria set out for the depth of trunk sanitary sewers at stream/creek crossings, minimum depth requirements as set out by the MOE and listed below were used to set the trunk sanitary sewer profile crossing the Brook Creek West Tributary on Elgin Street East and the Brook Creek East Tributary on Brook Road North:

- 0.3 m (1 ft) depth of cover, where the sewer is located in rock
- 0.9 m (3 ft) depth of cover, where the sewer is not located in rock

Where the proposed trunk sanitary sewer is required to cross under the existing CN/CP rail corridor, design standards and criteria as set out by the rail companies was used to establish an acceptable alignment and profile. These standards have been summarized in the *Summary of Design Criteria Used for External Servicing Design* table included in **Appendix C**.

The depth of trunk sanitary sewer was also set throughout its length with regard for its ability to capture and convey wastewater flows from other undeveloped sub-catchment areas within Cobourg East in the fullness of time. An evaluation of potential sub-trunk invert elevations at approximate tie-in locations was completed to confirm that a gravity sewer network would be achievable with the set trunk sewer profile. Further discussion with respect to the alignment of sub-trunk sewers set through external sub-catchments is provided in **Section 2.2.2**.

2.5 Preliminary Design

2.5.1 Elgin Street East – Cobourg Trails Phase 1 to Brook Road North

2.5.1.1 Design Flows

The proposed trunk sanitary sewer begins at the southwestern limit of Tribute's planned Cobourg Trails Phase 1, 3-7 development area where future Street A, as currently proposed by Tribute, intersects Elgin Street East. At this intersection, sanitary Maintenance Hole (MH) SA-18 (Biddle's design) initiates the external trunk sewer system collecting sanitary flows from Cobourg Trails Phase 1, 3-6 and external catchments A, B, C, D, E, and G drained through the Cobourg Trails development property (as discussed in **Section 2.2.2**).

A combined design flow (Q) of 171.36 L/s enters the first trunk sewer segment at MH SA-18. This flow rate is equivalent to the sum of design flows for sub-catchment areas A through F and is as determined by Biddle through their design of internal servicing for the Cobourg Trails Phase 1, 3-6 development.

2.5.1.2 Sewer Alignment

It is currently understood that the size of sub-trunk sanitary sewer that will exit the Cobourg Trails development through Phase 1 at future Street A and tie into the proposed trunk sanitary sewer at MH SA-18 is 450 mm diameter, as designed by Biddle. From MH SA-18, the proposed 525 mm diameter (dia.) trunk sanitary sewer runs easterly along Elgin Street East toward Brook Road North. Based on the design flows noted above, capacity in the 525 mm dia. sewer ranges between 61% and 63%.

In this segment, it is anticipated that the proposed trunk sanitary sewer will be aligned on the north side of Elgin Street East located in the middle of the westbound lane of the existing two-lane rural road. A proposed trunk watermain continuing east along Elgin Street East from Cobourg Trails would be located in the north shoulder (See **Section 3.6.2**). The location of the proposed trunk sewer within the cross-section will be further refined as information about existing utilities is confirmed, with the sewer alignment potentially shifted to avoid utility conflicts.

The Brook Creek West Tributary crosses Elgin Street East via an existing 1.0 m diameter CSP culvert approximately 1,275 m east of MH SA-18. East of the creek crossing the proposed trunk sanitary sewer continues to flow toward Brook Road North.

2.5.1.3 Brook Creek West Tributary Crossing

At the Brook Creek West Tributary crossing, the proposed trunk sewer is at a depth of approximately 3 m.

The existing culvert is shallow with the invert ± 1.5 m below the road and less than 1.0 m of cover above the culvert. Through field inspection completed by Biddle/CIMA/Cambium, the existing culvert was found to be in poor condition and conveying limited flow under normal and moderately wet weather conditions.

Additionally, as part of the overall Cobourg Trails development, Tribute is currently working with Geo Morphix Ltd. on channel naturalization for the Brook Creek West Tributary through Tribute's lands north of Elgin Street East. Details from the channel naturalization plan can be found in **Appendix G**.

Based on the factors summarized above, an open-cut crossing of the Brook Creek West Tributary on Elgin Street East is considered to be the most appropriate approach for installing the proposed trunk sanitary sewer at this location. To implement an open-cut installation the following approach (as illustrated in **Figure 2.4**) is recommended:

- Install trunk sanitary sewer and watermain to a location just west of the existing culvert.
- Install a new culvert approximately 15 m west of the existing culvert above the trunk sanitary sewer and watermain.
- Extend the proposed natural channel works approximately 15m west to connect to the new culvert location.
- Reconfigure the watercourse at the new culvert outlet to integrate with the wetland area south of Elgin Street East.
- Divert flows to the new natural channel and culvert.
- Remove the existing culvert and continue trunk sanitary sewer and watermain easterly towards Brook Road North.



Figure 2.4: Elgin Street East Culvert Replacement Concept

It is recommended that watermain be installed in conjunction with open-cut installation of the proposed trunk sewer to minimize the effort of managing creek flows with bypassing during construction.

Before proceeding with the alternative as presented above, Fisheries and Oceans Canada (DFO) shall be engaged for Review and Notification of the planned works.

2.5.2 Brook Road North – Elgin Street East to Cobourg Trails Phase 2

2.5.2.1 Design Flows

At MH SA-E5 Elgin Street East intersects Brook Road North and the proposed trunk sanitary sewer turns to flow south (along Brook Road North). At the intersection the 171.36 L/s design flow (Q) that exits the Cobourg Trails development at future Street A combines with wastewater flows from the future Elgin Street Sub-Trunk (I), the future Cobourg Trails Phase 7 Sub-trunk and the future Brook Road East Side Direct to Trunk (H) sub-catchments. These flows are considered to join the proposed trunk sewer at MH SA-E5.

As listed in **Table 2.6**, the Elgin Street Sub-Trunk sub-catchment area consists of 5.13 Ha residential and 3.02 Ha environmental protection land. The Brook Road East Side Direct to Trunk sub-catchment consists of 6.55 Ha of residential land and 0.1 Ha of environmental protection land. These sub-catchments will contribute an additional 15.75 L/s of peak wastewater flow to the trunk system.

As discussed in **Section 1.2.4.3**, it is currently understood that Cobourg Trails development Phase 7 will ultimately become a mixed-use area that will include both residential development (most likely senior's housing) and commercial space. The exact nature and extent of commercial development within Phase 7 is currently unknown.

Prior planning by Tribute and its predecessors has estimated the number of residential, apartment type units in Phase 7 to be 600. Given that the residential units are expected to be apartment-type, it is likely that the average unit density would be in the range of two (2) people per unit. A population per unit (PPU) of 3.23 (unit density for single-family dwellings per TOC Design Guidelines) was used to conservatively estimate design flows from the development lands. Using a higher PPU of 3.23 would account for flows from the residential developments and provide for some estimate of flow that may ultimately be attributable to commercial space provided in the same area. The Cobourg Trails Phase 7 sub-catchment will contribute an additional 24.35 L/s of peak wastewater flow to the trunk system.

The combined additional peak wastewater flow discharged to MH SA-E5 will increase the sewer size required in the section downstream of Elgin Street East to 600 mm

diameter. Capacity in the 600 mm dia. sewers between Elgin Street East and Cobourg Trails Phase 2 range between 62% and 63%.

2.5.2.2 Sewer Alignment

The proposed trunk sanitary sewer is preliminarily aligned along the west edge of pavement on Brook Road North for approximately 150 m before reaching a point at MH SA-E7 where the trunk sewer alignment must either deviate southwesterly from Brook Road North and enter the Cobourg Trails Phase 2 lands or continue southerly along Brook Road North. The depth of the proposed trunk sewer in this section ranges from 5.5 m at Elgin Street East to 10.3 m at MH SA-E7 where the grade of Brook Road North is rising.

2.5.3 Cobourg Trails Phase 2 Area

2.5.3.1 Design Flows

To appropriately size the trunk sanitary sewer in the Cobourg Trails Phase 2 area, wastewater flows generated by the proposed development are assumed to enter the trunk sanitary sewer system at MH SA-E7. The Cobourg Trails Phase 2 sub-catchment will contribute an additional peak wastewater flow of 4.76 L/s based on a developed area of 5.30 Ha servicing 99 units. The 600 mm dia. trunk sewer is of sufficient size to accommodate the added flows while keeping capacities between 62% and 66%.

2.5.3.2 Brook Road North Drumlin Challenges

At the north-end of the Cobourg Trails Phase 2 development the most direct route is for the proposed trunk sanitary sewer to continue southerly on Brook Road North. However, over its length the elevation of Brook Road North varies significantly as illustrated in **Figure 2.5** below. This creates significant challenges relative to the depth of a proposed sewer directly following Brook Road North.



Figure 2.5: Schematic Profile of Brook Road North

The profile of Brook Road North can be characterized as follows (from south to north):

- From an elevation of ±93 m at the CN / CP rail corridor Brook Road North rises gently to the north for 300 m reaching an elevation of ±99 m before descending into the valley of the Brook Creek East Tributary where it crosses the creek at a road elevation of ±96.75 m with the creek itself at an elevation of ±93.0 m.
- North of the Brook Creek East Tributary the elevation of Brook Road North rises gently to the north for 350 m before beginning to ascend a drumlin in the vicinity of the LUSI electrical substation.
- Brook Road North rises up the south face of the drumlin at a slope of approximately 5.0% from an elevation of ±99.0 m to a crest elevation of ±113 m, which has been cut into the peak of the drumlin.
- North of the crest Brook Road North descends along the north face of the drumlin at a slope of 4.5% before returning to a gentler grade of 1.0% and tying-into Elgin Street East at an elevation of ±101.5 m.

The presence of the ± 13 m high drumlin complicates the continuation of the trunk sewer alignment south along Brook Road North, parallel to the east side of Cobourg Trails Phase 2. From a transportation perspective it is understood that Brook Road North will likely be lowered by approximately 1.0 m to flatten the vertical curve at the crest of the drumlin and improve sight lines. This change in profile will have negligible impact on improving the feasibility of trunk sewer installation by open-cut methods as a proposed sewer would remain ± 17 m below the lowered road grade at its crest.

2.5.3.3 Possible Trunk Sewer Alignment Options to Address Drumlin

Given challenges outlined in the preceding Section, various options for the proposed trunk sanitary sewer alignment in the area of the Cobourg Trails Phase 2 development lands focused on minimizing or accommodating excessive depths on Brook Road North have been explored.

Option	Preliminary Screening
Gravity trunk sewer tunneled	Supports the Town's objective to locate major
through the drumlin on Brook	infrastructure along arterial roads and is considered
Road North with minor or no	technically achievable.
change in road grade.	Carried Forward as Alternative 1

Table 2.8: Preliminary Screening of Options

Option	Preliminary Screening
Gravity trunk sewer tunneled through the drumlin on Brook Road North with major reduction in road grade.	Not considered feasible as a change in road grade significant enough to make the shafts associated with tunneled sewer installation more feasible would require 8-10 m of cut, which would have considerable property, utility and access impacts. Not Carried Forward
Gravity trunk sewer alignment internal to Cobourg Trails Phase 2 using internal streets and installed by open-cut with connection to Brook Road North through the Jankovich property.	Sewers following internal roads remain extremely deep and connection to Brook Road North is at a location requiring significant sewer depth (±10 m). Additionally, there has been limited cooperation from the adjacent property owner (Jankovich). Not Carried Forward
Gravity trunk sewer alignment internal to Cobourg Trails Phase 2 using green space, SWM block and parkland with connection onto Brook Road North through a combination of LUSI Electrical Substation and Markham Metals Property.	Reduces sewer depths to 3.5 to 7.0 m and, with reasonable cooperation from adjacent property owners, can be implemented. Carried Forward as Alternative 2
Sewage Pumping Station on Tribute development lands north of Phase 2 with a forcemain conveying flows over the drumlin along Brook Road North to a gravity sewer.	Technically viable within lands owned by Tribute and existing right-of-ways, although not ideal from a long-term operating perspective. Carried Forward as Alternative 3

2.5.3.4 Alternatives Evaluation

Based on the screening presented in **Table 2.8** the options considered feasible and carried forward as alternatives for further consideration include:

• Alternative 1: A gravity trunk sewer tunneled through the drumlin on Brook Road North.

- Alternative 2: A gravity sewer aligned through the Cobourg Trails Phase 2 lands to skirt around the drumlin on Brook Road North.
- Alternative 3: A sewage pumping station north of the drumlin on Brook Road North with a forcemain discharging over the drumlin and connecting to the gravity trunk sewer in the vicinity of the LUSI Electrical Substation property.

These alternatives are illustrated on **Figure 2.6** and summarized in the following subsections with the preliminary preferred alternative described in **Section 2.5.3.6**.



Figure 2.6: Cobourg Trails Phase 2 Area Alternative Sewer Alignments

2.5.3.5 Alternative 1 - Sewer Tunneled through Drumlin on Brook Road North

One option for installation of the trunk sewer in the area of Cobourg Trails Phase 2 involves tunneling along Brook Road North and installing the proposed trunk sanitary sewer under the drumlin that is described in **Section 2.5.3.2** above.

To reduce impacts and costs for this alternative, the Town has indicated it would agree to an increased maintenance hole spacing of 250 m for this section in comparison to the normally accepted 125 m spacing (per Town of Cobourg Design Guidelines). This will help to offset the disruption and costs of installing excessively deep sending and receiving shafts, and maintenance holes (18.5 m in the deepest area).

As such, this alternative would require the installation of sending and receiving shafts spaced approximately 250 m apart and positioned to avoid the high point of Brook Road

North. To eliminate open-cut at depths greater than 7.0 m along this section, four (4) such shafts would be installed and later converted to maintenance holes as illustrated schematically on **Figure 2.7**.



Figure 2.7: Schematic Illustration of Tunneling through Drumlin

Beyond the north and south shafts, installation would continue by open-cut methods although some consideration could be given to continuing a tunnel installation further south under the Brook Creek East Tributary and potentially beyond.

The construction cost of Alternative 1 is significant. Alternative 1 incorporates approximately 690 m of 600 mm dia. tunnelling as well as two (2) shafts/maintenance holes at \pm 7.0 m depth, one (1) at \pm 17 m depth and one (1) at \pm 18 m depth. The preliminary estimated order of magnitude construction cost for Alternative 1 is just under \$10.0M as summarized in **Table 2.9**.

Item	Estimated Cost
Trunk Sewer by Tunnelling (690 m)	\$5,570,000.00
Shafts (2x at 7.0m, 1x at 17m, 1x at 18m)	\$2,300,000.00
Conversion of Shafts to Maintenance Holes	\$420,000.00
Restoration Around Shafts	\$80,000.00
Miscellaneous (Incl. Dewatering Allowance)	\$360,000.00
Sub Total	\$8,730,000.00
General Items (2%)	\$175,000.00
Contingency (10%)	\$875,000.00

Total Construction Cost	\$9,780,000.00

It can be anticipated that over the long-term the excessively deep maintenance holes and trunk sanitary sewers will cause increased challenges for maintenance including regular flushing and inspection as well as eventual rehabilitation.

2.5.3.6 Alternative 2 – Trunk Sewer Internal to Cobourg Trails Phase 2

As an alternative to tunnelling under the drumlin on Brook Road North the second option is to direct the proposed trunk sanitary sewer westerly from MH SA-E7 into Cobourg Trails Phase 2. This allows the sewer alignment to skirt around the west side of the drumlin, avoiding the crest and the greatest excessive sewer depth on Brook Road North.

Under this alterative approximately 900 m of proposed trunk sanitary sewer would be constructed largely in greenfield conditions at depths ranging from 3.5 to 7.0 m. The main elements/sections of the alignment are outlined as follows:

 Exit the Brook Road North right-of-way and cross vegetation communities 15 CUM1-1 and 14A FOC4-1 as identified by Niblett Environmental Associates Inc. (NEA) in their Environmental Impact Study (EIS) completed for Rondeau (Cobourg) Ltd. in May 2016.

Based on preliminary discussions with the Ganaraska Region Conservation Authority (GRCA), this section of the alignment is expected to be acceptable with the following contingencies as outlined in the attached correspondence from GHD (Formerly NEA) included in **Appendix H**:

- Ensuring the path of construction is as narrow as possible;
- o Avoiding damage to any trees not necessary for removal; and
- 1:1 compensation for trees removed.
- Run west along the toe of the proposed slope for future Street BB (as shown on the current Phase 2 Draft Plan, Appendix F) at the north end of Phase 2.

To accommodate vehicular access to each maintenance hole through this section of the sewer alignment and the section described in the bullet above, it is anticipated a 4.0 m gravel road in a 6.0 m wide corridor will be required. Extending from an entrance on Brook Road North to MH SA-E8-A this access road would be approximately 140 m long and the entrance would have a slope of 8.0 % over a length of 25 m.

• Run south and then west following a 6.0m access/maintenance route between the back of the proposed Phase 2 residential lots on the west side of future Street BB and the Phase 2 Stormwater Management (SWM) Facility.

In this section the SWM Facility access route with connectivity to future Street BB and Street CC will also serve to provide access to the four (4) sanitary maintenance holes (SA-E9, SA-E10, SA-E11 and SA-E12-A).

• Continue south and then south-easterly through the future park lands that are ultimately to be conveyed to the Town.

Through the future Park Lands it is understood that maintenance hole locations will need to be targeted to hard surfaced areas (i.e., parking lots and trails) and that vehicular access to each maintenance hole will be required for maintenance purposes. To accommodate this, it is proposed that a section of the multi-use trail extending north from the parking lot areas be overbuilt to a 4.0 m width with a 100 mm thick asphalt surface.

• Exit the Cobourg Trails Phase 2 lands and enter onto the southwest corner of LUSI's Brook Road Municipal Electrical Substation property, where LUSI has indicated that they are in principle agreeable to the location of the proposed sanitary maintenance hole.

Access to the maintenance hole at this location would be provided through the existing sub-station entrance, which is also anticipated to function as a second (gated) emergency access to the Park Land southwest of Cobourg Trails Phase 2.

• Run southeast from the LUSI Substation property and enter onto the adjacent industrial property currently owned by Markham Metals and then run east along the northern driveway of the Markham Metals property to re-enter the Brook Road North right-of-way.

Serval possible configurations for this section of sewer have been discussed with Markham Metals. Tribute has reached an agreement in principle with Markham Metals based on the alignment illustrated on **Figure 2.8**. Tribute, as the proponent of the sewer, is advancing the process of developing a formal agreement with Markham Metals. An easement extending from Brook Road North to a point 65 m west will be required to be provided by Markham Metals in favour of the Town to provide long-term maintenance access for the portion of sewer that runs along the north driveway on Markham Metals property.



Figure 2.8: Trunk Sewer Routing Through Markham Metals Property The construction cost for Alternative 2 is considerably less than Alternative 1 given that the majority of construction involves installing sewer in open-cut, at standard depths (less than 7.0 m) through greenfield areas that will be restored as part of the development process for Cobourg Trails Phase 2 and the adjacent Park Land. The preliminary estimated order of magnitude construction cost for Alternative 2 is just over \$1.7M as summarized in **Table 2.10**.

Item	Estimated Cost
Trunk Sewer by Open-Cut (904 m)	\$1,147,000.00
Maintenance Holes (9x)	\$190,000.00
Overbuilding Access Routes	\$135,000.00
Miscellaneous	\$50,000.00
Sub Total	\$1,522,000.00
General Items (2%)	\$30,000.00
Contingency (10%)	\$150,000.00

Table 2.10: Estimated Construction Cost for Alternative 2

Total Construction Cost	\$1,702,000.00
-------------------------	----------------

2.5.3.7 Alternative 3 – Sewage Pumping Station and Forcemain

Alternatives 1 and 2 focus on gravity sewer options whereas Alternative 3 considers the possibility of utilizing a Sewage Pumping Station (SPS) to overcome the challenges associated with the drumlin on Brook Road North. Under this alternative an SPS facility located north of the Cobourg Trails Phase 2 lands would receive gravity flows from the Phase 2 lands (catchment J) as well as all other lands to the north, including catchments A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H and I. Ultimately, these catchment areas would produce a peak sewage flow of 211 L/s requiring a SPS with a firm rated capacity in the order of 220 L/s.

At an extremely preliminary level, the construction cost for a basic wet well-type SPS with submersible pumps and an outdoor generator (i.e., only a basic building) of this capacity is anticipated to be in the order of \$7.5M (excluding forcemain costs). However, these costs could increase considerably based on the following:

- Town's design requirements for the facility and the desire to provide additional features such as a dry well pumping arrangement and indoor standby power equipment.
- Level of redundancy to be provided (i.e., divided wet well, the inclusion of emergency storage tanks, etc.).
- Ground conditions and groundwater conditions for wet well construction in an area that is generally low lying.

Allowing for 550 m of discharge forcemain between a pumping station and a discharge point on Brook Road North near the LUSI Substation property the anticipated forcemain costs are in the order of \$1.0M. This results in a total estimated capital cost of \$8.5M for Alternative 3 not including engineering or operating costs.

2.5.3.8 Preferred Alternative

At present Alternative 2 is the preferred alternative that is reflected in the functional design. In general, Alternative 2 is preferred because of its lower initial capital costs compared to the two other presented alternatives and the fact that it avoids the long-term operating challenges of a very deep sewer (Alternative 1) and the long-term operating costs associated with a pumping station (Alternative 3).

For Alternative 2 to proceed as outlined, the following key elements are required:

• Agreement from Markham Metals and LUSI to provide the necessary easements, which are currently being discussed.

- Acceptance from the Town of Cobourg of access route provisions along the sewer alignment.
- Agreement from the Ganaraska Region Conservation Authority (GRCA) with respect to environmental impacts along the north limit of Phase 2.

2.5.4 Brook Road North – Cobourg Trails Phase 2 to Brook Creek East Tributary Crossing

2.5.4.1 Design Flows

Downstream of the Cobourg Trails Phase 2 area the proposed trunk sanitary sewer is designed at 0.3% slope at approximately 63% full flow capacity for a length of approximately 185 m before flows would ultimately combine with external wastewater flows from the future Brook Creek East Tributary North Sub-Trunk (servicing sub-catchment K) at MH SA-E19-B. Sub-catchment K consists of 47.60 Ha of residential land and 8.66 Ha of industrial land that contributes dry weather and wet weather flows to the sub-trunk. Additionally, 12.18 Ha of environmental protection land is considered to contribute infiltration flow to the sub-trunk based on the surrounding topography. As such, the future Brook Creek East Tributary North sub-trunk is estimated to contribute 78.91 L/s of additional peak wastewater flow to the proposed trunk sewer.

The combined flow will be conveyed southerly from MH SA-E19-B to MH SA-E20-A via a 675 mm diameter sewer operating at approximately 65% full flow capacity. MH SA-E20-A on the north side of the Brook Creek East Tributary would be located in a shaft (sending or receiving) north of the existing box culvert that carries the creek under Brook Road North. At SA-E20-A wastewater flows would combine with flows from the future Brook Road West Side Sub-Trunk sub-catchment (N) which services 16.97 Ha of industrial land. Sub-catchment N is estimated to contribute 35.29 L/s of additional peak wastewater flow to the proposed trunk sewer.

2.5.4.2 Sewer Alignment

The proposed 600 mm diameter trunk sanitary sewer exiting the Cobourg Trails Phase 2 development lands crosses Brook Road North to MH SA-E18 located on the east side of Brook Road North, before continuing southerly ±185 m to MH SA-E19-B. The alignment of the trunk sewer on the east side of Brook Road North is to avoid conflicts with/impacts to the three-phase hydro-poles that run down the west side of the road.

2.5.4.3 Brook Creek East Tributary Crossing

The invert of the closed bottom box culvert is surveyed at an elevation of 92.95 m. It is assumed that the box culvert bottom slab thickness is 250 mm (matching the top slab)

and therefore the underside elevation of the box culvert is ± 92.7 m. No drawings of the culvert are available to detail the foundations of the culvert. Based on the understanding that the culvert is closed bottom, the design of the proposed trunk sanitary sewer assumes that there are no deep foundations (piles or footings) below the bottom slab.

To avoid disturbing the natural watercourse, trenchless techniques are proposed for trunk sanitary sewer construction at the creek crossing. The final trenchless method will be selected based on the results of a geotechnical investigation that is currently underway. However, it is likely that micro-tunneling methods will be preferred as they are understood to be more effective than jack-and-bore methods in wet and soft soil conditions.

To reach a suitable shaft location south of the culvert and limit open-cut installation, a 91 m long trenchless installation is proposed. Reinforced concrete jacking pipe with 0.5% slope will be advanced between the two (2) shafts. Both shafts would be constructed in the east shoulder area at a diameter of ± 6.0 m and will slightly encroach on the existing roadside ditches. The preliminary shaft locations and sewer alignment are shown on **Figure 2.9**.



Figure 2.9: Preliminary Shaft Locations for Brook Creek East Tributary Crossing

The anticipated size of the jacking pipe is 900 mm dia., which is larger than the proposed 675 mm dia. sewer upstream and the 750 mm dia. sewer downstream. While the 900 mm dia. pipe is larger than what is required from a hydraulic capacity perspective, concrete jacking pipe is not readily available in size increments between 600 and 900 mm.

The oversized pipe will be positioned to match the obvert of the upstream sewer and the invert of the downstream sewer. Using a larger jacking pipe is beneficial as it provides additional safety factors for workers to enter the tunnel if required during the construction and also eliminates the need for a separate casing pipe (casing and carrier pipe are the same). The larger pipe will also provide additional hydraulic capacity at the crossing location. The sewer invert has been set to provide 1.50 m vertical separation between the crown of the 1,156 mm outside diameter jacking pipe and the underside of the culvert. The profile of the tributary crossing is illustrated in **Figure 2.10**.





2.5.5 Brook Road North – Brook Creek East Tributary Crossing to Mistral Land Developments Inc.

2.5.5.1 Design Flows

MH SA-E20-B on the south side of the Brook Creek East Tributary crossing is located approximately 53 m south of the centerline of the creek. Flows from future Brook Creek

East Tributary South Sub-Trunk (sub-catchment L1), which services 50.09 Ha of residential land contributing dry and wet weather flows and 16.25 Ha of environmental protection lands contributing wet weather flows, as well as the northern portion of Mistral Land Developments Inc. East Village North development (sub-catchment L2), servicing 16.06 Ha of residential land contributing dry and wet weather flows, combine with the proposed trunk sanitary sewer at MH SA-E20-B contributing an additional 80.53 L/s of peak wastewater flow to the downstream 750 mm dia. sewer. With the addition of flows from sub-catchment L1 and L2 the proposed trunk sanitary sewer is estimated to flow at 67% of its capacity downstream of MH SA-E20-B.

2.5.5.2 Sewer Alignment

Downstream of MH SA-E20-B the proposed trunk sewer runs southerly along the east shoulder of Brook Road North for approximately 145 m to MH SA-E22, south of which it deflects east to MH SA-E23-A at the anticipated location of future Kerr Street, in order to accommodate a future grade separation crossing of the railway tracks. Given its relatively deep depth (7–9 m) it is possible that the section of sewer between MH SA-E20-B and MH SA-E22 will be continued as a trenchless installation if the ground conditions are suitable, and it is possible to continue the tunneling operation from the creek crossing in an economical manner.

2.5.6 Mistral Land Developments Inc. – Brook Road North to CN/CP Rail Corridor Crossing

Mistral Land Developments Inc. owns a parcel of land totaling approximately 58 Ha that is located north of the CN/CP rail corridor and east of Brook Road North. This parcel has approximately 780 m of frontage along the east side of Brook Road North and is generally referred to as *East Village North*. The northern portion of the parcel is largely occupied by wetland areas and the flood plain associated with the east branch of Brook Creek. The southern portion of the parcel is largely agricultural land situated on the south slope of a drumlin located north of the CN/CP rail corridor.

2.5.6.1 Design Flows at the West-End of Mistal Land Developments Inc. Lands

Prior to entering the East Village North development lands, approximately 145 m south of MH SA-E20-B, the Future Kerr Street Sub-Trunk sewer (sub-catchment O) will discharge an estimated 6.23 L/s peak wastewater flow to the proposed trunk sewer at MH SA-E22. The combined peak flow rate in the trunk sewer at this point is 417.07 L/s. The 750 mm dia. Trunk sewer is of sufficient size to accommodate the added flows while keeping capacities between 51% and 74% outside of the East Village North development lands owned by Mistral Land Developments Inc.

Just downstream, MH SA-E23-A has been assumed (conservatively) as the connection point for all flows from the East Village North – Southern Portion (sub-catchment M1) and from a 1 Ha allowance included for potential non-residential development in sub-catchment M1 or M2. At MH SA-E23-A 29.32 L/s of peak wastewater flow is added to the trunk sewer. With these flows introduced downstream of MH SA-E23-A the capacity utilization of the 750 mm dia. sewer will be 74%.

2.5.6.2 Mistral Land Developments Inc. Block Plan and Development Concept

Monument Geomatics (Monument) has prepared a concept plan for Mistral Land Developments Inc.'s proposed development on the East Village North lands. A block plan illustration of the concept with an annotated overlay of the proposed external trunk sewer alignment is provided as **Figure 2.11** below. The full plan is included as **Appendix K**.



Figure 2.11: Mistral Land Developments Inc. East Village North Development Concept (Annotated)

The proposed development concept for East Village North includes the following elements that are relevant to the proposed trunk sewer:

- Earth works to flatten the site with a cut/fill balance that will involve cutting down the elevation of the drumlin and placing the material generated from the cut as fill along the southern portion of the site.
- A continuous block along the southern portion of the site that will accommodate the safety berm (grading) required adjacent to the CN/CP railway corridor. Given the proposed earth works that will raise the southern portion of the site it is anticipated that grading in this block will take the form of a slope down from the development lands to the railway rather a typical berm like cross-section.
- An internal local road along the south side of the development that will abut the railway safety berm block on the western portion of the site, and the stormwater management facility block as well as residential development on the eastern portion of the site.
- A 6 m wide servicing corridor in the southwestern corner of the site that will connect the above noted local road right-of-way to the Brook Road North right-ofway.

2.5.6.3 Sewer Alignment Adjacent to Brook Road North

The easterly deflection onto future Kerr Street at MH SA-E23-A is intended to transition the sewer alignment from Brook Road North into the future development lands currently owned by Mistral Land Developments Inc. on the east side of Brook Road North. This will allow the trunk sewer to be aligned along the toe of the future grading works for the planned construction of a grade separated crossing of Brook Road North over the CN/CP rail corridor.

Continuing the proposed trunk sewer easterly along future Kerr Street is not considered viable due to the depth of the trunk sewer at the future intersection which is approaching 9.0 m and the fact that grades along Kerr Street will continue to rise as it traverses up the west face of the drumlin on lands belonging to Mistral Land Developments Inc.

The Town/County have previously secured property in the Brook Road North right-ofway to accommodate the grade separation based on drawings prepared by Totten Sims Hubicki Associates Limited (TSH) for the County of Northumberland in July 1971. Subsequent grade separation options had been prepared by iTrans Consulting Inc. (iTrans) in 2007. At present, the proposed trunk sanitary sewer is aligned at a 3.0 m offset to the west (inside) of the east right-of-way widening established by the 1971 plan, which is illustrated in **Figure 2.12**. It is possible that with the future profile associated with the grade separation proposed by iTrans in 2007, the future elevation at the intersection of Kerr Street and Brook Road North may increase resulting in additional depth of cover over the trunk sewer in the area of MH SA-E22 and MH SA- E23-A. It is unclear on whether this has been accepted by the Town and whether it supersedes the TSH profile, as a 4m increase in grade +/-250m away from the railway crossing appears to be extreme and may have negative impacts on the existing properties and future development. This will be further investigated in the detailed design stage when pipe materials and sewer alignment are further refined.



Figure 2.12: Preliminary Sewer Alignment East of Future Grade Separation

Preliminary input from Monument indicates that the anticipated earthworks on the East Village North property will involve the placement of ± 4.9 m of fill in the southwestern corner of the site near the CN/CP railway corridor and Brook Road North. The 1971 profile prepared by TSH indicates approximately 7.5 m of fill at the north abutment for the proposed grade separation. Considering the foregoing, the proposed grading will potentially reduce the height (above finished grade) of the approach embankment for the future grade separation by approximately 50%. This would reduce the footprint of the approach embankment and create an opportunity (space) for an access route along the sanitary sewer at the toe of the embankment.

It is anticipated that primary access for the maintenance holes along this section would be as follows:

- SA-E24 would be accessible via the 6 m wide servicing corridor parallel to the railway that is part of the conceptual block plan for East Village North.
- SA-E23-B would be positioned as far north as possible to facilitate access from Brook Road North in a location where the approach embankment height will be limited and transitioning back to match the existing/future road grade.

2.5.6.4 Sewer Alignment Parallel to CN/CP Railway Corridor

Within the Mistral Land Developments Inc., East Village North development lands the proposed trunk sanitary sewer flows easterly towards the future Willmott Street right-of-way paralleling the CN/CP rail corridor from MH SA-E24 to MH SA-E32. At the west end of the Mistral Land Developments Inc. development lands the sewer has been situated at a 16 m offset from the north side of the CN/CP rail corridor. This places the sewer outside of the safety berm/slope area and within the servicing corridor and local road right-of-way that are identified on the conceptual block plan for the East Village North property.

On the eastern portion of the Mistral Land Developments Inc. development lands, the trunk sanitary sewer is proposed to continue parallel to the railway and be located along the south side of the future south SWMF block and the medium-high density residential block located in the southeast corner of the property. Based on discussions with Monument it is anticipated that an access route for this portion of the trunk sanitary sewer would be shared with the access route for the SWMF. **Figure 2.13** illustrates a typical cross section of the sewer parallel to the CN/CP rail corridor, including the future conceptual finished grade elevation as currently understood based on plans provided by Mistral Land Developments Inc.



Figure 2.13: Typical Cross-Section of Sanitary Sewer Parallel to CN/CP Rail Corridor

The preliminary design sets the 750 mm dia. trunk sanitary sewer at a 5–6 m depth below existing grade with 0.5% slope through the East Village North property to allow for adjustments to the sewer alignment/length or grade as necessary to accommodate future development layout alignment changes. Post-development the anticipated fill placement on the southern portion of Mistral Land Developments Inc. East Village North property will place the sewer at 7.8 – 10.6 m below finished grade. It is understood that the additional depth over the trunk sewer, resultant of the fill placement expected for the East Village North property, is not ideal from an operations and maintenance perspective for the Town of Cobourg. Opportunities to adjust the alignment of the sewer closer to the north limit of the CN/CP rail property to minimize the depth of cover, while maintaining the same, or better, level of access to the trunk sewer as is currently proposed, will be further investigated through the detailed design stage in coordination with Mistral Land Developments Inc. This could mean locating the sewer within the safety berm, provided that it remains outside of the railways zone of influence. Additional discussions will also be required with Mistral Land Developments Inc. to confirm their proposed grading plans.

Capacity of the trunk sewer through the East Village North property is conservatively evaluated based on a 0.3% slope to confirm that a reduction in grade resultant of changes to the sewer alignment would not require a larger pipe diameter.

2.5.6.5 Flows at East-End of Mistral Land Developments Inc. Lands

At the east limit of the Mistral Land Developments Inc. East Village North development lands at MH SA-E32, wastewater flows from the future Massey Creek Sub-Trunk subcatchment (Q) and Railway Sub-Trunk sub-catchment (P) combine with the proposed trunk sewer. The sub-catchments together contribute flows from an additional 48.66 Ha of residential land, 12.22 Ha of industrial land and 20.79 Ha of environmental protection land adding 83.36 L/s of dry weather and infiltration flow to the trunk sewer system. The conceptual plan and profiles for both sub-trunks are included in **Appendix B**. To accommodate the additional flows the trunk sewer south of MH SA-E32 is increased to 900 mm dia. at a capacity utilization of 53%.

2.5.7 CN/CP Rail Corridor Crossing

As outlined in **Section 1.2**, the Canadian Pacific (CP) and Canadian National (CN) railway lines pass through the Town of Cobourg at the south limit of the Cobourg East Community. In this location, the two railway right-of-ways directly abut each other forming a corridor approximately 60 m wide that includes one (1) CP track and three (3) CN tracks.

The proposed trunk sanitary sewer runs parallel to the rail corridor through the East Village North property as described in the section above before turning south at MH SA-E32. The trunk sewer is required to cross under the rail corridor to ultimately connect to the existing trunk sewer network approximately 1 km south at the intersection of Willmott Street and Thompson Street.

2.5.7.1 Horizontal Alignment of CN/CP Rail Corridor Crossing

The sewer alignment through the rail corridor is designed at an approximate angle of 72° degrees to the railway, matching the angle at which the unopened Willmott Street right-of-way (between Lots 10 and 11) intersects the railway. Like the rail crossing on Brook Road North, there are future plans for an extension of Willmott Street northerly from King Street East inclusive of a grade separated crossing of the CN/CP rail corridor. As such, a triangular shaped parcel adjacent the original, unopened Willmott Street right-of-way to accommodate grading for the future grade separation is expected to ultimately exist on the north and south sides of the rail corridor. To avoid encroaching into the embankments or structural elements of the future grade separation, the trunk sanitary sewer is aligned at the western edge of the triangular areas as illustrated in **Figure 2.14** below.



Figure 2.14: Preliminary Trunk Sewer Alignment at CN/CP Rail Corridor Crossing On the south side of the CN/CP rail corridor the triangular widening to the west side of the Willmott Street right-of-way was created as Block 65 of the plan of subdivision for East Village Phase 5 and in the future will be conveyed to the Town by Mistral Land Developments Inc. Discussions with Mistral Land Developments Inc. have confirmed that Mistral Land Developments Inc. expects to convey a similar triangular block to the Town on the north side of the CN/CP rail corridor as part of developing the lands north of the railway.

Based on the details above it is not practical to cross the tracks at a preferred 90° angle. In this regard, the CN Utility Specification and Application Process does not allow the angle of sewer crossings to be less than 45°. The proposed 72° crossing is acceptable relative to the above-mentioned constraints.

2.5.7.2 Vertical Alignment of CN/CP Rail Corridor Crossing

A trenchless method of sewer installation will be utilized to cross the rail corridor. Similar to the requirements of the Brook Creek East tributary crossing on Brook Road North, sending and receiving shafts will be installed on either side of the corridor outside of the CN/CP rail corridor right-of-way:

- On the south side a 6.0 m dia. sending shaft (MH SA-E33-A) is located 27 m from the nearest CP rail and 11 m south of the CP right-of-way.
- On the north side a 5.0 m dia. receiving shaft (MH SA-E32) is located at 19 m from the nearest CN rail and 21 m from the CN right-of-way.

The shaft locations have been selected to place shafts in accessible locations that are beyond the track loading zone of influence, which is illustrated on **Figure 2.15**.



Figure 2.15: Preliminary Profile of Railway Crossing

As shown on **Figure 2.15** a 900 mm dia. concrete jacking pipe is proposed for the crossing under the rail corridor. This is a larger diameter sewer than what is required to convey the design flow. However, 825 mm dia. jacking pipe, that would match the

downstream sewer size, is not commonly available. Installing a sanitary sewer with a slightly larger dimension under the railway corridor is also more conservative to accommodate additional development in the future and adds additional safety factors for install if there is a need for workers to enter the tunnel during construction. In the DECAST tunneling supply list, 900 mm dia. jacking pipes are sufficient for the design purpose to withstand both jacking force and external earth loads. The RCP used for jacking should meet Cooper E90 design load. Considering the jacking pipe size is 900 mm with a 6.3 m height of fill, the design live load for the concrete jacking pipe should be D-1350 minimum. Specifics of the jacking pipe will be further refined in the detailed design stage.

To account for potential vertical alignment deviations during the tunnelling process, this section of sewer has been designed at a 0.5% slope with hydraulic calculations based on 0.3% slope. The 900 mm dia. crossing (at 0.3%) is expected to operate at 53% of its full flow capacity.

2.5.8 Unopened Willmott Street Right-of-Way – CN/CP Rail Corridor to King Street

2.5.8.1 Design Flows

The proposed sewer downstream of the rail corridor crossing sending shaft (MH SA-E33-A) is designed as 825 mm dia. at 0.3% slope and is expected to operate at 68% of its full flow capacity. Aside from flows conveyed from upstream of the sewer, no additional flows are expected to be introduced to this section of the trunk sewer.

2.5.8.2 Willmott Street Right-of-Way and Future Grade Separation

Currently, an unopened right-of-way (between Lots 10 and 11) exists between King Street East and the CN/CP rail corridor. This right-of-way will ultimately accommodate the future Willmott Street extension, which includes a future grade separation at the rail corridor as discussed in **Section 2.5.7**. The ownership of the eastern half of the right-of-way is currently unclear. It is possible that the eastern half of the right-of-way was previously conveyed to the owners of the parcel of land to the east of the right-of-way. The status of the eastern side of the right-of-way is currently being investigated by others. If the eastern half of the right-of-way is currently not in public ownership, the proposed sanitary sewer alignment can be modified to be contained within the western portion of the right-of way. This potential alternative is illustrated by the red dashed line on **Figure 2.16**.

There is a triangular shaped parcel of land adjacent the west side of the unopened Willmott Street right-of-way, which is required to accommodate the future grade separation south of the CN/CP rail corridor. This parcel is currently owned by Mistral Land Developments Inc. and has been included as Block 65 of their East Village Phase 5 development. Ultimately, this land will be conveyed to the Town of Cobourg. The future bridge structure with abutment and wingwall for the grade separation may be partially accommodated within the above-mentioned triangular parcel along with approach embankment grading.

2.5.8.3 Sewer Alignment

As illustrated on **Figure 2.16**, the proposed trunk sanitary sewer is strategically placed outside of the original Willmott Street right-of-way for most of its length and as close as possible to the eastern boundary of East Village Phase 5. From the rail crossing sending shaft (MH SA-E33-A) the proposed trunk sewer runs southeasterly along an alignment following the east limit of East Village Phase 5 at a 5.0 m offset. This is intended to minimize interaction with the embankment of the future Willmott Street grade separation.

This alignment also serves to separate the proposed trunk sanitary sewer from the existing wetland that is located inside of the original right-of-way, which was outlined by Cambium Inc. in the 2018 Environmental Impact Study (EIS) prepared for East Village Phase 5. The proposed trunk sanitary sewer alignment is approximately 15 m away from the wetland feature, but within the 30 m buffer as illustrated on **Figure 2.16**.



Figure 2.16: Preliminary Trunk Sewer Alignment Willmott Street Unopened ROW As shown on **Figure 2.16** above, the proposed trunk sanitary sewer alignment does enter the original right-of-way between Lots 10 & 11 south of MH SA-E34. This alignment is intended to provide the most direct connection to the existing sewer alignment on Willmott Street south of King Street East. If it is determined that the rightof-way is not owned by the Town, an alternative alignment can be pursed to shift the proposed sewer further west as illustrated by the red dashed lines on the figure above. Such an alignment may also be preferred if other parallel infrastructure needs to be accommodated as well.

2.5.9 Willmott Street – King Street to Thompson Street

2.5.9.1 Design Flows

The proposed trunk sanitary sewer is planned at this time to replace the existing sewer on the east side of Willmott Street. As such, flow from existing industrial properties and future infill of developable land east of Willmott Street were considered in evaluating the capacity of the proposed trunk sanitary sewer. Service laterals from adjacent industrial properties will be connected to the trunk sanitary sewer after removal of the existing sewer. Throughout its length, the trunk sewer receives an additional 48.70 L/s of wastewater flow from the existing and future developments on the east side of Willmott Street.

2.5.9.2 Sewer Alignment

As discussed in **Section 2.2.3**, due to the favourability of the east boulevard for trunk sewer construction, the proposed sewer is located along the alignment of and will replace the existing 375 mm dia. Willmott Street local sewer. The existing 375 mm diameter sewer is located in a 7.0 m wide easement adjacent to the east side of the 20.1 m wide Willmott Street right-of-way.

The proposed 825 mm dia. trunk sanitary sewer is aligned within the 7.0 m easement and flows southerly along Willmott Street from MH SA-E35 at King Street East to Thompson Street and connects to the existing 1,200 mm dia. trunk sewer on Thompson Street at MH SA-0651. To accommodate the proposed 825 mm dia. trunk sewer connection, a new 2,400 mm dia. maintenance hole (MH SA-0651) is proposed to replace the existing 1,800 mm dia. maintenance hole at the Willmott Street and Thompson Street intersection.

2.5.9.3 Downstream Capacity of the Existing Thompson Street Trunk Sewer

The existing 1,200 mm dia. trunk sewer on Thompson Street was evaluated to ensure it would have sufficient capacity to convey future flows from Cobourg East (conveyed by the proposed trunk sewer) in addition to existing and future wastewater flows from the existing serviced areas. The approach applied to evaluate the existing peak flow in the Thompson Street trunk sewer considers the following:

- The entire contributing area for the lands (developed and undeveloped) on the east side of Willmott Street (Sub-catchment AA) are allocated as industrial and institutional flows estimated as described in **Section 2.2.3**;
- The contributing area from the west of side of Willmott Street that is primarily residential and flows entirely by gravity to the existing trunk on Thompson Street (Sub-catchments X and Y); and

• Flows from the Brook Road SPS and McGill Street SPS assumed to be equivalent to the combined firm rated pumping capacity of the stations as described in **Section 2.2.3**. *This approach is more conservative than what was previously considered for the downstream sewer capacity analysis performed by Engage Engineering as part of the East Village Phase 5 FSR dated April 2019, where the average daily flow rate was applied for McGill Street and Brook Road pumping station flows.*

Inclusive of existing and future flows from the sources noted above, the total flow from the existing serviced area not conveyed through the proposed trunk sewer is 403.41 L/s as summarized in **Table 2.11**.

Catchment Area	Description	Peak Flow (L/S)
AA	Industrial/Institutional Flow from Developed/ Undeveloped Lands East of Willmott Street	50.22
X	Existing Residential Lands West of Willmott Street	16.98
Y	East Village Phase 5	9.21
SPS	Brook Road SPS Firm Capacity	81.00
SPS	McGill Street SPS Firm Capacity	246.00
Total Flow from Existing Serviced Area		403.41

Table 2.11: Flows to Thompson Street Sewer from Existing Serviced Area

Additional to the flows summarized in **Table 2.11**, the Thompson Street trunk sewer will receive an ultimate peak flow from the proposed trunk sanitary sewer of 578.45 L/s for a total estimated peak flow of 981.86 L/s. When adjusted to account for the reduced residential peaking factor from all areas combined this becomes 981.77 L/s. At this flow rate (981.77 L/s) the existing Thompson Street trunk sewer, which is a 1,200 mm dia. concrete pipe at a slope of 0.13%, is expected to operate at 70% of its full flow capacity at a velocity of 1.34 m/s.

2.6 Future Sub-Trunk Sewers

In addition to the proposed trunk sewer described in **Section 2.4**, conceptual alignments and profiles have been created for future sub-trunk sewers that are
anticipated to ultimately connect to the proposed trunk sewer to support servicing of many of the sub-catchment areas outlined in **Section 2.2.2**.

The actual design of the future sub-trunk sewers will be influenced by the proposed development structure and road pattern within the sub-catchment areas themselves. The conceptual horizontal alignments for future sub-trunk sewers are illustrated on **Figure 2.2** and are shown in profile in **Appendix B**.

In general, the sub-trunk sewers have been aligned to work with the natural topography of their respective catchment areas. To evaluate the feasibility of each future sub-trunk sewer a typical minimum design slope of 0.5% has been applied and the sewers have been aligned to achieve 2.7 m cover in residential areas and 1.8 m cover in industrial/commercial areas in most instances. The following provides a summary of the future sub-trunk sewers required to connect various catchment areas to the proposed trunk sewer.

2.6.1 Railway Sub-Trunk

From MH SA-E32 on the proposed trunk sewer in the unopened Willmott Street right-ofway it is anticipated that the future Railway sub-trunk sewer will extend approximately 950 m east roughly parallel to the north side of the CN/CP rail corridor. This alignment would facilitate collection of wastewater flows from development on the south slope of the hill that is located north of the rail corridor. This area is shown as Sub-Catchment P and rises from an elevation of ±90 m along the rail corridor to elevations ranging from ±98 m to ±118 m at the crest of the hill.

Extending east at a slope of 0.5% from an invert elevation of 84.37 m at MH SA-32, the future Railway sub-trunk sewer is anticipated to have cover of 6.0 m in the area between the unopened Willmott Street right-of-way and Workman Road. At Workman Road the future sub-trunk would cross under Massey Creek with anticipated cover of ±1.80 m based on a surveyed creek invert elevation of 88.88 m. East of the crossing under Massey Creek, the Railway sub-trunk would likely continue east along Workman Road to the east boundary of the Town. Anticipated cover below the existing road profile along this eastern section is anticipated to be in the range of 3.0 m to 4.0 m.

2.6.2 Massey Creek Sub-Trunk

Extending approximately 900 m north from the Railway sub-trunk along Workman Road the future Massey Creek sub-trunk sewer is anticipated to drain lands in the area shown as Sub-Catchment Q. The lands generally slope southeast towards Massey Creek. From an invert elevation of 87.12 m at the Railway sub-trunk, the Massey Creek sub-trunk can be extended north along Workman Road at a slope of 0.5% with cover of

approximately 3.0 m where it parallels Massey Creek (located on the east side of the road). Further north along Workman Road the natural topography rises steeply from \pm 96 m to \pm 110 m providing additional cover and steeper sewer grades. To avoid multiple drop structures along Workman Road it is possible that the Massey Creek sub-trunk could be integrated into future development layout to more closely follow the natural topography.

On the west side of Workman Road, the lands in the south part of Sub-Catchment Q are separated from the rest of the catchment by Massey Creek and will likely need a local sewer crossing the creek or flowing south to service the area.

2.6.3 Future Kerr Street Sub-Trunk

The Future Kerr Street sub-trunk will extend west of Brook Road North to service lands along and south of the future extension of Kerr Street. It is anticipated that the Future Kerr Street sub-trunk would connect to the proposed trunk sewer at MH SA-E22 approximately 220 m north of the CN/CP rail corridor. The catchment area for the Future Kerr Street sub-trunk is shown as Sub-Catchment O and is expected to capture the block of commercial land located between the CN/CP rail corridor and the east tributary of Brook Creek.

Connecting to the proposed trunk sewer on Brook Road North at an invert elevation of ± 89.24 m, the Future Kerr Street sub-trunk initially has ± 9.0 m of cover at Brook Road North. This cover declines to ± 3.0 m as the sewer extends west/south at a slope of 0.5% for 250 m. Along this length, ground elevations drop from 98.5 m at Brook Road North to 92.5 m near the environmental protection land associated with the Brook Creek East Tributary.

Providing for the connection of the Future Kerr Street sub-trunk at MH SA-E22 approximately 220 m north of the CN / CP rail corridor requires the proposed trunk sewer to be approximately 0.6 m deeper than it otherwise would be at this location. Alternatives to avoid this would include:

- Connecting to the proposed trunk sewer further south at MH SA-E24. This would require that the Future Kerr Street sub-trunk cross Brook Road North within the limits of the approach embankment (or cut) for the future grade separation of the CN/CP rail crossing.
- Connecting the Future Kerr Street sub-trunk to the existing sewer system at the north end of the 375 mm dia. sewer that was previously extended under the CN/CP rail corridor on Brook Road North. This would send flows from the commercial lands in the Kerr Street sub-trunk catchment area to the Brook Road SPS, which already has capacity limitations during wet weather events.

In this regard, setting the proposed trunk sewer at a slightly lower elevation is considered to be the best approach. This approach also benefits other sub-trunk connections further upstream as discussed in the following sections.

2.6.4 Brook Creek East Tributary South Sub-Trunk

Extending east of Brook Road North this sub-trunk is intended to capture wastewater flows from the future residential lands situated between the Brook Creek East Tributary and the ridge that parallels the creek 600 to 700 m to the southeast. These lands are shown as Sub-Catchment L1 and L2 and encompass approximately 66 Ha of future residential development.

It is anticipated that the Brook Creek East Tributary South sub-trunk will initially extend east and then northeast towards Workman Road following the portions of the future internal subdivision road network that will roughly parallel the south edge of the environmental protection lands associated with the creek. Conceptually, the alignment for this section of the sub-trunk sewer has been set to follow the 98 m contour. Southeast of this section of the sub-trunk sewer, the lands in the catchment area rise to elevations of up to 118 m. Depending on how the sewer is aligned to the internal road network and how the future subdivision developments are graded this section of sewer is anticipated to have typical cover ranging from 2.8 m to 5.0 m with the western most portion near Brook Road North being deeper.

At Workman Road is anticipated that the Brook Creek East Tributary South sub-trunk will run northwards along Workman Road. Along Workman Road the sub-trunk would roughly follow the 104 m contour paralleling the east edge of the environmental protection area. This would allow local sewers to flow back towards the sub-trunk from the higher lands to the east, which reach elevations of 112 to 134 m. Along Workman Road anticipated cover ranges from 2.8 m to 5.0 m with the depth of cover decreasing to the north. Additional cover could be provided by ultimately aligning the sewer further east of Workman Road in conjunction with the future road pattern.

2.6.5 Industrial Lands Sub-Trunk

North of the Brook Creek East Tributary it is anticipated that a sub-trunk sewer will extend west into industrial lands that form Sub-Catchment N. These lands generally slope to the southwest away from the trunk sewer. The eastern portion of the lands in Sub-Catchment N can likely be drained directly to the proposed trunk sewer on Brook Road North, however the lands further west will likely require an internal sub-trunk running parallel to the creek to capture and convey flows.

Such a sub-trunk sewer can service the majority of the lands while maintaining a 1.8 m depth of cover, which assumes the industrial development in this area will not have basements. The very southwest corner of Sub-Catchment N will not likely be serviceable with the proposed trunk sewer by gravity. This area may either require onsite pumping stations or a sewer connection to the west. A connection to the west would ultimately flow to WPCP #1, which may be viable if the sewage flow does not exceed the flow rate that currently flows to the west under existing conditions (refer to **Section 1.2.2.1**).

2.6.6 Brook Creek East Tributary North Sub-Trunk

Extending east of Brook Road North this sub-trunk is intended to capture wastewater flows from the future lands situated between the Brook Creek East Tributary and the ridge that parallels the creek 500 m to the northwest. This area is shown as Sub-Catchment K and encompass approximately 56 Ha of future residential and employment land. The residential land is primarily located on the south slope of the ridge, which rises from ± 100 m to ± 124 m and typically has a slope of 10 - 12 % towards the creek.

Given the topography, it is anticipated that the Brook Creek East Tributary North subtrunk will have to be located at or near the bottom of the slope and extend northeast from MH SA-19-B along an alignment roughly parallel to the north edge of the environmental protection lands associated with the creek. Conceptually, the alignment for this section of the sub-trunk sewer has been set to follow the 98 m contour gradually transitioning towards the 100 m contour over a length of 1,400 m.

Running at 0.5% this 1,400 m long section of sub-trunk sewer as considered herein typically has cover of 2.5 to 3.0 m. However, some portions have less cover (e.g., 1.8 m). It is anticipated that this will not be a major issue as the adjacent development will all be situated on a slope significantly higher than the sewer alignment. Alternatively, the sewer could be aligned to place it further up the slope increasing cover with development on the downslope side built on fill to generally provide a less steeply sloped development area.

Approximately 1,400 m northeast of Brook Road North it is anticipated that the subtrunk sewer will turn northwards to cross Elgin Street East and then follow a path northeast again along the 106 m contour. North of this area local sewers would drain the residential and employment lands along the existing alignment of Danforth Road, which are situated at significantly higher elevations up to ± 146 m.

2.6.7 Elgin Street East Sub-Trunk

At Elgin Street East the proposed trunk sewer on Brook Road North turns west along Elgin Street East to provide a connection for the Cobourg Trails sub-trunk sewer discharging to Elgin Street East at future Street A in Phase 1. East of Brook Road North it is anticipated that the Elgin Street East sub-trunk sewer will extend easterly towards Greer Road. This section of Elgin Street East rises at approximately 5% from west-toeast providing considerable flexibility for the grade and depth of the trunk sewer.

It is anticipated that the Elgin Street East sub-trunk sewer will capture flows from catchment areas on both sides of Elgin Street East in the Sub-Catchments shown as E, F2 and I. Sub-catchment F2 includes the lands in Phase 7 of the Cobourg Trails development, which cannot be practically captured in the sub-trunk that is internal to the development (F1).

2.6.8 Cobourg Trails Sub-Trunk

The Cobourg Trails internal sub-trunk sewer has been designed by Biddle to service Phases 1, 3, 4, 5 & 6 of the Cobourg Trails development (Sub-Catchment F1). The Cobourg Trails internal sub-trunk will generally follow the alignment of future Steet A and will connect to the proposed external trunk sewer at the intersection of Elgin Steet East and future Steet A (at MH SA-18). Immediately upstream of MH SA-18 the proposed Cobourg Trails sub-trunk sewer is a 450 mm dia. pipe at 0.65% slope.

The Cobourg Trails sub-trunk sewer has been sized by Biddle to accommodate external flows from a number of areas located to the north and east including:

- Sub-Catchment G, which is a small area (1.54 Ha) of future residential land north of Phase 1 that is expected to flow to the Cobourg Trails sub-trunk by gravity.
- Sub-Catchment A, which is the low-lying future residential and employment land adjacent to Midtown Creek. The majority of Sub-Catchment A is undevelopable due to environmental constraints. 13.57 Ha is considered developable, but due to its low elevations would have to be serviced by a local pumping station discharging to the Cobourg Trails sub-trunk.
- Sub-Catchments C and D, which include the future employment lands that are located on the north side of Danforth Road. It is anticipated that that an external sub-trunk sewer would convey flows from these areas along Danforth Road to connect to the Cobourg Trails internal sub-trunk at future Street L. This sub-trunk would be approximately 1,000 m long with an average slope of 1.8% achievable based on the existing topography.
- Sub-Catchment B, which is 11.66 Ha of residential land located east of Greer Road at the head waters of the Brook Creek West Tributary. It is anticipated that

sub-trunk sewers along Greer Road would convey flows connecting to the Cobourg Trails internal trunk at future Street U.

3 Water Servicing

Preliminary alignment and profile of trunk and sub-trunk watermains for external servicing of Cobourg East was set by Town of Cobourg design guidelines and other relevant design standards and criteria as summarized in **Section 3.1**.

An overview of the proposed trunk/sub-trunk watermain preliminary alignments is provided in **Figure 3.1** below. The scope of work is summarized in the Table below and further described in **Section 3.2.3** in the context of the Cobourg Drinking Water System Master Plan and related works being design by others (i.e., internal servicing).

Street Name	Design Elements
Elgin Street East	400 mm Dia.
From: Cobourg Trails Future Street A	CPP or PVC
To: ±560 m East of Brook Road North	Typical Depth 1.8-2.0 m
Brook Road North	400 mm Dia.
From: Elgin Street East	CPP or PVC
To: LUSI Electrical Sub-Station Property	Typical Depth 1.8-2.0 m
Barracks Drive / Cobourg Park Lands	400 mm Dia.
From: Barracks Drive at Preferred Zone 2	CPP or PVC
BPS Site	Typical Depth 1.8-2.0 m
To: Brook Road North	
Densmore Road / Danforth Road	300 mm Dia.
From: Parkview Hills Drive	HDPE or PVC by HDD
To: Cobourg Trails Future Street L	Typical Depth 1.8-2.0 m

 Table 3.1: Scope of Work for Proposed Trunk and Sub-Trunk Watermain



3.1 Applicable Design Criteria Standards

The proposed watermains have been designed in accordance with the following design standards and guidelines:

- The latest revision of Town of Cobourg Design Guidelines, revised April 2015;
- Design Guidelines for Drinking-Water Systems, Ministry of the Environment (MOE), revised 2008;
- Design Specification for Watermains, The Regional Municipality of Durham, revised April 2020; and
- F-6-1 Procedures to Govern Separation of Sewers and Watermains, Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks, revised July 2021.

3.2 Required Pumping and Water Distribution System Upgrades

The Town of Cobourg is currently comprised of two (2) pressure zones. Pressure Zone 1 encompasses the lower elevation areas in the Town and contains relatively little undeveloped land. Pressure Zone 2 encompasses the northern, higher elevation areas in the Town inclusive of the majority of undeveloped lands within the Town of Cobourg. With exception to the serviced areas south of the CN/CP rail corridor in the area of Willmott Street, most of the Study Area is located in Pressure Zone 2. Development in the Study Area will result in build-out within existing Pressure Zone 2 and the establishment of a third pressure Zone in the northeast corner of the Cobourg urban area (in the Greer Road, Nagle Road, Danforth Road area).

Based on the Cobourg Drinking Water System Master Plan (July 2021) prepared by CIMA+, Phases 1, 2, 3, 4 and 7 of the Cobourg Trails Community are located in existing Pressure Zone 2. Phases 5 and half of 6 of the proposed development are located in future Pressure Zone 3.

3.2.1 Zone 2 Pumping Requirements

Future demands in Cobourg East will be satisfied by supplying treated water from Pressure Zone 1 via existing and future booster pumping stations to the higher elevations of Zone 2 and Zone 3.

As discussed in **Section 1.3.4**, there is currently sufficient Zone 2 pumping capacity to support Cobourg Trails development Phase 1 along with other near-term development that the Town has approved (to various levels) in Zone 2. However, additional pumping capacity is required to support the full build-out of the Cobourg Trails development.

In general, the existing Ewart Street Booster Pumping Station (BPS) operating up to 80% of its firm rated capacity is considered to have sufficient capacity to support an additional population of 2,225 persons. When the report outlined in **Section 1.3.4** was prepared (January 2021), the Cobourg Trails Phase 1 development (with forecasted population of 514 people) along with other Zone 2 residential developments that were draft approved, fully approved or under construction and an allowance for non-residential growth, represented additional water demands in Zone 2 equivalent to 1,900 people.

The Cobourg Drinking Water System Master Plan (Master Plan) identifies a total combined future Zone 2 pumping capacity requirement of 432 L/s to meet the full buildout demands of Zone 2 and Future Zone 3, which would be serviced through Zone 2. The Master Plan recommend that this pumping capacity be provided by the Ewart Street BPS with a firm rated capacity of 152 L/s plus new pumping facilities as illustrated in red in **Figure 3.2**.





The Master Plan identifies that the first stage of additional Zone 2 pumping capacity that would support development Phase 2 and beyond of the Cobourg Trails Community would be provided by the construction of a new Zone 2 BPS facility with a firm rated capacity of 120 L/s. The Master Plan identifies the preferred location for this new Zone 2 BPS facility as the site of the preferred location of the new Zone 1 Elevated Tank (ET) as discussed in **Section 1.3.3**. This site located southeast of the Cobourg Community Centre (CCC) would accommodate co-location of both the Zone 2 BPS facility and the Zone 1 ET at the rear of Buildings 18 &19 of the Northam Industrial Park as schematically illustrated in **Figure 3.3**.



Figure 3.3: Master Plan Preferred Site for Co-Located Zone 2 BPS and Zone 1 ET

Completion of a Schedule B Municipal Class Environmental Assessment (MCEA) is required to confirm the location of these facilities. It is anticipated that LUSI will initiate the MCEA in 2022. Site investigations to support the MCEA have been completed in 2021 including a natural environment investigation (Cambium) and an archeological / cultural heritage investigation (Bluestone Research). It is also anticipated that a request for proposals to undertake a geotechnical investigation for these facilities and the related watermains connecting to D'Arcy Street will be released in early 2022.

The ability to support the earlier phases (i.e., Phase 2) in advance of the provision of additional Zone 2 pumping capacity can potentially be determined based on the following with consideration for the timeline of the new Zone 2 BPS entering service:

- LUSI's comfort in operating the Ewart Street BPS at levels exceeding 80% of capacity; and
- The progress of other developments in Zone 2 (in addition to Tribute's) and the actual resultant increase in Zone 2 water demand.

3.2.2 Zone 3 Pumping Requirements

To service Cobourg Trials Phase 5 and 6 a BPS to service Pressure Zone 3 will be required. Based on the Master Plan, supply of Zone 3 will likely be provided in the form of an above-grade in-line BPS to supply treated water into a closed distribution system.

3.2.3 Water Distribution Infrastructure

To effectively service the Cobourg Trails development area, a network of new trunk and sub-trunk watermains is required. These new watermains will expand the Zone 2 distribution system connecting the proposed development lands to existing trunk and sub-trunk watermains as well as the proposed new Zone 2 BPS facility discussed in **Section 3.2.1**.

At a high-level, preliminary preferred alignments for proposed watermains are based on the Master Plan with consideration given to providing a "looped" water distribution network that would limit dead-end scenarios. The external trunk and sub-trunk watermains addressed in detail by this FSR are the Zone 2 watermains that are necessary to service the portions of the Cobourg Trails development that are located in Pressure Zone 2 (i.e. Phases 1, 2, 3, 4 & 7). These proposed watermains are illustrated with heavy solid blue linework on **Figure 3.1** and include:

- New 400 mm dia. trunk watermain east from the preferred Zone 2 BPS site to Brook Road North along the south side of existing soccer fields at the rear of the Cobourg Community Centre through Cobourg Trails Phase 2 and along the south side of the existing Lakefront Utility Services Inc. (LUSI) Brook Road North electrical substation property. This is Master Plan project 2b and is required to support development of Phase 2 and beyond.
- New 400 mm dia. trunk watermain on Brook Road North between the LUSI electrical substation property to Elgin Street East. This is the northern portion of Master Plan Project 2c and is required to support development of Phase 2 and beyond.
- New 400 mm dia. trunk watermain along Elgin Street East from Brook Road North westerly to future Street A within Phase 1 of the Cobourg Trails development. Collectively, with the watermain upgrades being designed by Biddle to provide a 400 mm dia. watermain between Conger Avenue and future Street A, this comprises Master Plan project 2e and is required to support development of Phase 2 and beyond.
- New 400 mm dia. trunk watermain along Elgin Street East from Brook Road North easterly to future Street A between Phases 5 and 7 of the Cobourg Trails development. This is Master Plan project 2d and is required to support development in Zone 3 (Phase 5 & 6) as well as Phase 7. The need for this project support development of Phase 4 should be confirmed through the development of an FSR specifically for the internal servicing of that phase.

New 300 mm dia. sub-trunk watermain is required east along Densmore Road/Danforth Road from Parkview Hills Drive to \pm 1,060 m east of the Brook Road North unopened right-of-way to future Street L, as proposed by Tribute, and southerly into the Cobourg Trails development lands between Phase 3 and Phase 4. This is generally equivalent to Master Plan projects 2m and 2l (L) in terms of the total length of watermain and the connectivity and looping that it provides and is required to support development of Phase 2 and beyond.

The alignment of this section has been modified through the development of this FSR to avoid the unopened right-of-way of Brook Road North and continue the watermain easterly along Danforth Road. This change was made to avoid environmental impacts and construction logistical challenges related to the wetland areas along the unopened Brook Road North right-of-way (see **Section 3.6.1** for further details).

To support the watermain projects/works that are specifically addressed in this FSR it is necessary for other trunk/sub-trunk watermain improvements to be implemented by others. These watermains are illustrated with heavy blue dashed linework on **Figure 3.1** and include:

- New 400 mm dia. watermain on Barracks Drive between D'Arcy Street and the preferred Zone 2 BPS site to connect the new Zone 2 BPS to the existing subtrunk watermain on D'Arcy Street at 3rd Street. This is Master Plan project 2a and is anticipated to be advanced by LUSI in conjunction with the new Zone 2 BPS facility.
- New 450 mm dia. watermain along D'Arcy Street and the Legion Fields access road to supply Zone 1 water to the intake side of the New Zone 2 BPS facility. This is Master Plan project 1c and is anticipated to be advanced by LUSI in conjunction with the new Zone 2 BPS and Zone 1 ET facilities.
- Internal 300 mm dia. watermain along future Street A within Cobourg Trails being designed by Biddle. This is Master Plan project 2t and would likely be built in stages with:
 - The portion from where the west leg of future Street A intersects Elgin Street East northerly to future Street L proceeding first with Phases 1 and 3 and providing a looped connection between Danforth Road and Elgin Street.
 - The portion from future Street L, east/southerly to future Street U proceeding with Phase 4 and providing a connection to the trunk watermain that forms part of Master Plan project 2f.
- New 400 mm dia. watermain along future Street A within Cobourg Trails from where the west leg of future Street A intersects Elgin Street East northerly and easterly to future Street U. This is a portion of Master Plan project 2f and

provides looping of the internal watermains servicing Phase 4, plus future connectivity for Zone 2 storage facilities and Zone 3 pumping facilities located to the northeast.

This FSR does not address external watermains and pumping infrastructure required to supply Phase 5 and Phase 6 of the Cobourg Trails development, which are located in Pressure Zone 3.

3.3 Watermain Sizing

The watermain sizing outlined above has been established initially based on the Cobourg Drinking Water System Master Plan. Given that Master Plan was developed based on full build-out demands and the ultimate conditions trunk/sub-trunk watermain network, several additional scenarios were evaluated to confirm watermain sizing with respect to interim development and infrastructure conditions.

These additional scenarios are generally described as below and outlined further in the subsequent sections:

- Scenario 1: Considers the development of Phases 1 & 2 of Cobourg Trails along with other under construction and approved development in Zone 1 and Zone 2 of the Town of Cobourg supported by a minimum level of new infrastructure that includes only some of the new watermains in Tribute's planned external servicing scope and no additional pumping or storage facilities.
- Scenario 2a: Considers the development of Phases 1, 2, 3 & 4 (of Cobourg Trails along with other under construction and approved development in Zone 1 and Zone 2 of the Town of Cobourg supported by additional infrastructure that includes all of the watermains in Tribute's planned external servicing scope and the new Zone 2 BPS with firm pumping capacity of 120 L/s.
- Scenario 2b: Considers the development of Phases 1, 2, 3, 4 & 7 of Cobourg Trails plus the lower elevation portions of Phases 4 & 5 (i.e., all Zone 2 phases) along with other under construction and approved development in Zone 1 and Zone 2 of the Town of Cobourg supported by additional infrastructure that includes all of the watermains in Tribute's planned external servicing scope and the new Zone 2 BPS with firm pumping capacity of 120 L/s.
- Scenario 3: Considers a scenario where the watermains in Tribute's planned internal and external servicing scope have been built and will support full build-out of Pressure Zone 3.

Table 3.2 summarizes the infrastructure and demands included in the three (3) modeling scenarios.

Scenario	New Infrastructure Included	Demands Included
1	 External servicing watermains 2a, 2b, 2c (north), 2e Internal watermains 2t (in Phase 1) sub-trunk Phase 1 & 2 local watermains 	Existing + Under construction and approved Zone 1 & Zone 2 development + Cobourg Trails Phase 1 & 2
2a	 External servicing watermains 2a, 2b, 2c (north), 2e, 2m Infrastructure by Others WM <u>1c</u>, New <u>Zone 2 BPS</u> and New <u>Zone 1 ET</u> Internal watermains 2t (Phase 1, <u>3 & 4</u>), 2I sub-trunks Phase 1, 2, <u>3 & 4</u> local watermains 	Existing + Under construction and approved Zone 1 & Zone 2 development + Cobourg Trails Phase 1, 2, <u>3 & 4</u>
2b	 External servicing watermains 2a, 2b, 2c (north), <u>2d</u>, 2e, 2m Infrastructure by Others WM 1c, New Zone 2 BPS and New Zone 1 ET Internal watermains <u>2f</u> (Zone 2 only), 2t, 2l sub-trunks Phase 1, 2, 3, 4 & 7 local watermains and Phases 5 & 6 Zone 2 local watermains 	Existing + Under construction and approved Zone 1 & Zone 2 development + Tribute Phase 1, 2, 3, 4 <u>& 7</u> + Lower elevation portions of <u>Phase 5 & 6</u> (i.e., all Zone 2 development)

Table 3.2: Summary of Additional Modelling Scenarios

Scenario	New Infrastructure Included	Demands Included
3	External servicing watermains	Existing
	• 2a, 2e, 2c, 2d, 2e, 2m	+ Under construction
	Infrastructure by Others	and approved Zone 1 & Zone 2 development
	 WM 1c, New Zone 2 BPS and New Zone 1 ET, WMs <u>3a</u> & <u>3b</u> and New <u>Zone 3</u> BPS 	+ Tribute Phase 1, 2, 3, 4 & 7
Internal watermains	Internal watermains	+ Lower elevation (Z2) portions of Phase 5 & 6
	• <u>2f</u> , 2t, 2l sub-trunks	+ Zone 3 PHD (35.8
	Phase 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 & 6 local watermains	L/s) including Tribute Phase 5 & 6.

For the purposes of this analysis the following conditions have been reviewed for each modelling scenario:

- Pressures under Maximum Day Demand (MDD) conditions with no Zone 2 booster pumps operating. In this scenario the Zone 2 Elevated Tank is set at a level of 7.2 m full, which is 3.8 m below the TWL of 158.40 m. It is understood that this is the level at which Zone 2 booster pumps are typically started to replenish the level of the Zone 2 Elevated Tank.
- Pressures under MDD conditions with Zone 2 booster pumps operating at the Ewart Street BPS. In this scenario the Zone 2 Elevated Tank is set at a level of 7.2 m full, which is 3.8 m below the TWL of 158.40 m. It is understood that this is the level at which Zone 2 booster pumps are typically started to replenish the level of the Zone 2 Elevated Tank.
- Available fire flows under MDD conditions with the largest pumps out of service at each pumping facility and the Zone 2 elevated tank at a level of 6.0 m part-way into the fire storage.
- Velocities in the proposed external trunk watermains when MDD plus a simulated fire flow is being supplied to the newly developed area of Cobourg Trails.

It is anticipated that additional detailed modeling will be completed to support the design of internal watermains within each Phase and specifically define the location of pressure zone boundaries.

3.3.1 Scenario 1 Modelling Results

Scenario 1 includes the new infrastructure listed in **Table 3.2**. The new external trunk/sub-trunk watermains included in Scenario 1 are shown in red on **Figure 3.4** and the internal sub-trunk watermains are shown in orange. In addition to external trunk and sub-trunk watermains, Scenario 1 includes the local watermains for Phases 1 & 2 of Cobourg Trails along with the demands for these phases and for other committed developments elsewhere in Zone 1 and Zone 2. There is no additional Zone 2 pumping capacity included in Scenario 1.



Figure 3.4: Scenario 1 New Watermain Infrastructure

3.3.1.1 Maximum Day Demand Pressures

Under Scenario 1 maximum day demand pressures are as outlined in **Table 3.3**. These pressures are largely within the MECP recommended normal operating range of 350 to 480 kPa (50 to 70 PSI) and are all above the minimum allowable pressure under normal

conditions, which is 275 kPa (40 psi). The lowest pressures occur at the high point in Phase 1 where elevations are approximately 120 m, which is at the upper end of the range serviced by Zone 2.

Phase	MDD No Pumping		MDD With Pumping	
	Press. (psi)	Press. (kPa)	Press. (psi)	Press. (kPa)
Phase 1 ⁽¹⁾	47 to 73	324 to 503	52 to 78	359 to 538
Phase 2	68 to 76	469 to 524	73 to 81	503 to 558

Table 3.3: Scenario 1 Internal Pre	essures
------------------------------------	---------

Notes:

 Phase 1 low pressures adjusted for earthworks cut, reducing maximum elevations in Phase 1 from ±123.5 m (existing) to ±118.5 m (proposed). All other pressures are based on existing topography elevations.

3.3.1.2 Available Fire Flows

While maintaining residual pressures in the distribution system of 140 kPa (20 psi) the Scenario 1 watermain network is able to deliver fire flows (from a single junction) within the proposed development areas that range from 9,500 L/min on 200 mm dia. local watermains in Phase 1 to 16,500 L/min on 300 mm dia. local watermains in Phase 2. Fire flows that can be delivered by the Scenario 1 watermain network are summarized in **Table 3.4** for each development phase.

Phase	Flow (L/s)	Flow (L/min)
Phase 1	160 to 235	9,500 to 14,000
Phase 2	280	16,500

These available fire flows are above the 4,000 L/min requirement provided in the Fire Underwriters Survey (FUS) document Water Supply for Fire Protection (1999) short form table for wood frame dwellings having greater than 3.0 m separation, and are also above the 9,000 L/min requirement that is typically calculated using the full FUS method for wood frame single family dwellings that have less than 3.0 m separation (on two (2) sides) and total floor areas (excl. basements) of less than 2,500 sq ft.

3.3.1.3 External Watermain Velocities

Under maximum day demand conditions plus a fire flow of 15,000 L/min simulated in Phase 1, velocities in the proposed external watermains included in Scenario 1 are as summarized in **Table 3.5**

Table 3.5: Scenario 1	External Watermain	Velocities under	Maximum Da	y Demand
	plus Fire Flow ((15,000 L/min)		

Location	Velocity (m/s)
Elgin Street East west of Brook Road North	1.37
Brook Road North south of Elgin Street East	0.63
D'Arcy Street to Brook Road North Connection south of Phase 2	0.65

The velocities noted above are all less than the maximum allowable velocity of 3.0 m/s. Given that the above noted velocities occur under what would be considered relatively high flow rates, it is anticipated that it will be necessary to isolate particular branches of the trunk watermain to achieve flushing velocities of 0.8 m/s.

3.3.2 Scenario 2a Modelling Results

Scenario 2a includes the new infrastructure listed in **Table 3.2**. The new external trunk/sub-trunk watermains included are shown in red on **Figure 3.5** and the internal sub-trunk watermains are shown in orange. Scenario 2a also includes the local watermains for Phases 1, 2, 3 & 4 of Cobourg Trails along with the demands for these phases and other committed developments elsewhere in Zone 1 and Zone 2 of the Town of Cobourg. The new Zone 2 Booster Pumping Station (BPS) is also included in Scenario 2a.



Figure 3.5: Scenario 2a New Watermain Infrastructure

3.3.2.1 Maximum Day Demand Pressures

Under Scenario 2a maximum day demand pressures are as outlined in **Table 3.6**. These pressures are largely within the MECP recommended normal operating range of 350 to 480 kPa (50 to 70 PSI) and are also above the minimum allowable pressure under normal conditions, which is 275 kPa (40 psi). The lowest pressures occur at the high points in Phase 1 and Phase 6 where elevations are approximately 120 m, which is at the upper end of the range serviced by Zone 2.

Phase	MDD No Pumping		MDD With Pumping	
	Press. (psi)	Press. (kPa)	Press. (psi)	Press. (kPa)
Phase 1 ⁽¹⁾	46 to 73	317 to 503	58 to 84	400 to 579
Phase 2	67 to 75	462 to 517	79 to 87	545 to 600
Phase 3	49 to 61	338 to 421	60 to 72	414 to 496
Phase 4	46 to 55	317 to 379	57 to 67	393 to 462

Table 3.6: Scenario 2a Internal Pressures

Notes:

 Phase 1 low pressure adjusted by +4.2 psi to account for earthworks cut reducing maximum elevations in Phase 1 from ±123.5 m (existing) to ±118.5 m (proposed). All other pressures are based on existing topography elevations.

3.3.2.2 Available Fire Flows

While maintaining residual pressures in the distribution system of 140 kPa (20 psi) the Scenario 2a watermain network is able to deliver fire flows (from a single junction) within the proposed development areas that range from 12,000 L/min on 200 mm dia. local watermains in Phase 1 to 23,000 L/min on 300 mm dia. local watermains in Phase 2. Fire flows that can be delivered by the Scenario 2a watermain network are summarized in **Table 3.7** for each development phase.

Phase	Flow (L/s)	Flow (L/min)
Phase 1	200 to 370	12,000 to 21,000
Phase 2	390+	23,000+
Phase 3	300+	18,000+
Phase 4	235 to 305	14,000 to 18,000

 Table 3.7: Scenario 2a Available Fire Flows

These available fire flows are above the 4,000 L/min requirement provided in the Fire Underwriters Survey (FUS) document Water Supply for Fire Protection (1999) short form table for wood frame dwellings having greater than 3.0 m separation and are also above the 9,000 L/min requirement that is typically calculated using the full FUS method

for wood frame single family dwellings that have less than 3.0 m separation (on two (2) sides) and total floor areas (excl. basements) of less than 2,500 sq ft.

3.3.2.3 External Watermain Velocities

Under maximum day demand conditions plus a fire flow of 18,000 L/min simulated in **Phase 1**, velocities in the proposed external watermains included in Scenario 2a are as summarized in **Table 3.8**.

Table 3.8: Scenario 2a External Watermain Velocities under	Maximum Da	ły
Demand (MDD) plus Fire Flow (18,000 L/min)		

Location	Velocity (m/s)
Elgin Street East west of Brook Road North	0.78
Brook Road North south of Elgin Street	0.78
D'Arcy Street to Brook Road Connection south of Phase 2	0.81

The velocities noted above are all less than the maximum allowable velocity of 3.0 m/s. Given that the above noted velocities occur under what would be considered relatively high flow rates it is anticipated that it will be necessary to isolate particular branches of the trunk watermain to achieve flushing velocities of 0.8 m/s.

3.3.3 Scenario 2b Modelling Results

Scenario 2b includes the new infrastructure listed in **Table 3.2**. The new external trunk/sub-trunk watermains included are shown in red on **Figure 3.6** and the internal sub-trunk/trunk watermains are shown in orange. Scenario 2b also includes the local watermains for Phases 1, 2, 3, 4 & 7 of Cobourg Trails plus the lower elevation (i.e., Zone 2) areas of Phases 5 & 6, along with the demands for these phases and for committed developments elsewhere in Zone 1 and Zone 2 of the Town of Cobourg. The new Zone 2 Booster Pumping Station (BPS) is also included in Scenario 2b.



Figure 3.6: Scenario 2b New Watermain Infrastructure

3.3.3.1 Maximum Day Demand Pressures

Under Scenario 2b maximum day demand pressures are as outlined in **Table 3.9**. These pressures are largely within the MECP recommended normal operating range of 350 to 480 kPa (50 to 70 PSI) and are also above the minimum allowable pressure under normal conditions, which is 275 kPa (40 psi). The lowest pressures occur at the high points in Phases 6 and 7 where elevations are approximately 120 m, which is at the upper end of the range serviced by Zone 2.

Phase	MDD No Pumping		MDD With	Pumping
	Press. (psi)	Press. (kPa)	Press. (psi)	Press. (kPa)
Phase 1 ⁽¹⁾	46 to 72	317 to 496	58 to 84	400 to 579
Phase 2	67 to 75	462 to 517	78 to 87	538 to 600
Phase 3	49 to 61	338 to 421	60 to 72	414 to 496
Phase 4	45 to 55	310 to 379	57 to 67	393 to 462
Phase 5 (Z2) ⁽²⁾	45 to 50	310 to 345	56 to 61	386 to 421
Phase 6 (Z2) ⁽²⁾	42 to 51	290 to 352	53 to 63	365 to 434
Phase 7	42 to 72	290 to 496	53 to 83	365 to 572

Table 3.9: Scenario 2b Internal Pressures

Notes:

- Phase 1 low pressure adjusted by +4.2 psi to account for earthworks cut reducing maximum elevations in Phase 1 from ±123.5 m (existing) to ±118.5 m (proposed). All other pressures are based on existing topography elevations.
- 2. Low range of pressures can be controlled for when adjusting zone boundary to account for future earthworks/grading.

3.3.3.2 Available Fire Flows

While maintaining residual pressures in the distribution system of 140 kPa (20 psi) the Scenario 2b watermain network is able to deliver fire flows (from a single junction) within the proposed development areas that range from 12,000 L/min on 200 mm dia. local watermains in Phase 1 to 24,000 L/min plus on 300 mm dia. local watermains in Phase 2. Fire flows that can be delivered by the Scenario 2b watermain network are summarized in **Table 3.10** for each development phase.

Phase	Flow (L/s)	Flow (L/min)
Phase 1	200 to 380	12,000 to 22,000
Phase 2	390+	23,000+
Phase 3	280 to 400	16,000 to 24,000
Phase 4	285 to 400	16,000 to 24,000
Phase 5 (Zone 2)	225	13,000
Phase 6 (Zone 2)	350 to 390	21,000 to 23,000
Phase 7	390+	23,000+

Table 3.10: Scenario 2b Available Fire Flows

These available fire flows are above the 4,000 L/min requirement provided in the Fire Underwriters Survey (FUS) document Water Supply for Fire Protection (1999) short form table for wood frame dwellings having greater than 3.0 m separation and are also above the 9,000 L/min requirement that is typically calculated using the full FUS method for wood frame single family dwellings that have less than 3.0 m separation (on two (2) sides) and total floor areas (excl. basements) of less than 2,500 sq ft.

3.3.3.3 External Watermain Velocities

Under maximum day demand conditions plus a fire flow of 24,000 L/min simulated in **Phase 4**, velocities in the proposed external watermains included in Scenario 2b are as summarized in **Table 3.11**.

Table 3.11: Scenario 2b External Watermain Velocities under Maximum Day
Demand (MDD) plus Fire Flow (24,000 L/min)

Location	Velocity (m/s)
Elgin Street East west of Brook Road North	1.38
Brook Road North south of Elgin Street East	1.20
D'Arcy Street to Brook Road North Connection south of Phase 2	1.21
Elgin Street East east of Brook Road North	1.58
Densmore Road east of Future Street L	1.22

The velocities noted above are all less than the maximum allowable velocity of 3.0 m/s. Given that the above noted velocities occur under what would be considered relatively high flow rates it is anticipated that it will be necessary to isolate individual branches of the trunk watermain to achieve flushing velocities of 0.8 m/s.

3.3.4 Scenario 3 Modelling Results

Scenario 3 includes the new infrastructure listed in **Table 3.2**. The new external trunk/sub-trunk watermains included are shown in red on **Figure 3.7** and the internal sub-trunk/trunk watermains are shown in orange. Scenario 3 also includes the local watermains for Phases 1, 2, 3, 4 & 7 of Cobourg Trails plus the lower elevation (i.e., Zone 2) areas of Phases 5 & 6 along with the demands for these phases and for committed developments elsewhere in Zone 1 and Zone 2 of the Town of Cobourg, as well as build-out of Zone 3 (including portions of Phase 5 & 6).

The new Zone 2 Booster Pumping Station (BPS) is also included in Scenario 3 along with the new Zone 3 BPS. Since there will be no floating storage in Zone 3, to be conservative it is assumed that under maximum day demand (MDD) plus fire flow conditions in Zone 2 the Zone 3 BPS will be required to meet Peak Hour Demand (PHD) of 35.8 L/s.



Figure 3.7: Scenario 3 New Watermain Infrastructure

3.3.4.1 Maximum Day Demand Pressures

Under Scenario 3 maximum day demand pressures are as outlined in **Table 3.12**. These pressures are slightly reduced as compared to Scenario 2b due to the additional Zone 3 demand, which will mainly flow through the Cobourg Trails area to the future Zone 3 BPS.

Phase	MDD No Pumping		MDD With Pumping	
	Press. (psi)	Press. (kPa)	Press. (psi)	Press. (kPa)
Phase 1 ⁽¹⁾	44 to 70	303 to 483	56 to 82	386 to 565
Phase 2	64 to 72	441 to 492	77 to 84	531 to 579
Phase 3	46 to 58	317 to 400	58 to 70	400 to 483
Phase 4	43 to 56	296 to 386	55 to 65	379 to 448
Phase 5 (Z2) ⁽²⁾	43 to 51	296 to 352	55 to 63	379 to 434
Phase 6 (Z2) ⁽²⁾	40 to 49	276 to 338	51 to 61	352 to 421
Phase 7 ⁽²⁾	40 to 69	276 to 476	51 to 81	352 to 558
Phase 5 (Z3)	65 to 80	448 to 552	67 to 91	462 to 627
Phase 6 (Z3)	55 to 80	379 to 552	77 to 92	531 to 634

Table 3.12: Scenario 3 Internal Pressures

Notes:

- 1. Phase 1 low pressure adjusted by +4.2 psi to account for earthworks cut reducing maximum elevations in Phase 1 from ±123.5 m (existing) to ±118.5 m (proposed). All other pressures are based on existing topography elevations.
- 2. Low range of pressures can be controlled for when adjusting zone boundary to account for future earthworks/grading.

These pressures are within the MECP recommended normal operating range of 350 to 480 kPa (50 to 70 PSI) and are also above the minimum allowable pressure under normal conditions, which is 275 kPa (40 psi). The lowest pressures occur at the high points in Phase 1 and Phase 6 where elevations are approximately 120 m, which is at the upper end of the range serviced by Zone 2. Similarly, lower pressures occur in the Zone 2 portions of Phases 5, 6 & 7. Opportunities to manage these pressures through grading of the site (i.e., lowering the highest grades in these areas) or realigning the pressure zone boundary westerly will exist during detailed design.

3.3.4.2 Available Fire Flows

While maintaining residual pressures in the distribution system of 140 kPa (20 psi) the Scenario 3 watermain network is able to deliver fire flows (from a single junction) within

the proposed development areas that range from 11,500 L/min on 200 mm dia. local watermains in Phase 1 to 16,000 L/min on 300 mm dia. local watermains in Phase 2.

Fire flows that can be delivered by the Scenario 3 watermain network are summarized in **Table 3.13** for each development phase.

Phase	Flow (L/s)	Flow (L/min)
Phase 1	195 to 255	11,500 to 15,000
Phase 2	270	16,000
Phase 3	225 to 240	13,000 to 14,000
Phase 4	225	13,000
Phase 5 (Zone 2)	225	13,000
Phase 6 (Zone 2)	225	13,000
Phase 7	240 to 260	14,000 to 15,000
Phase 5 (Zone 3)	195	11,500
Phase 6 (Zone 3)	195	11,500

Table 3.13: Scenario 3 Available Fire Flows

The lower end of the available fire flows for Scenario 3 is generally comparable to Scenario 2b. However, the higher available flows are reduced due to the need to maintain pressure (minimum 10 psi) in the Zone 2 watermain that extends into Zone 3 to supply the Zone 3 BPS, which becomes the controlling factor under higher flow conditions. The impact of this situation will generally be reduced at such time as the first Zone 2 at-grade tank is constructed near (or above) the Zone 3 BPS to provide additional supply to the suction side of the Zone 3 BPS during higher flow events.

The available flows in Zone 3 are a function of the pumping capacity of the Zone 3 BPS.

These available fire flows are above the 4,000 L/min requirement provided in the Fire Underwriters Survey (FUS) document Water Supply for Fire Protection (1999) short form table for wood frame dwellings having greater than 3.0 m separation and are also above the 9,000 L/min requirement that is typically calculated using the full FUS method for wood frame single family dwellings that have less than 3.0 m separation (on two (2) sides) and total floor areas (excl. basements) of less than 2,500 sq ft.

3.3.4.3 External Watermain Velocities

Under maximum day demand plus peak hour demand in Zone 3, plus a Zone 2 fire flow of 13,500 L/min simulated in **Phase 4**, velocities in the proposed external watermains included in Scenario 3 are as summarized in **Table 3.14**.

Table 3.14: Scenario 3 External W	Vatermain Velocities under Maximum Da	ay
Demand plus F	Fire Flow (13,500 L/min)	-

Location	Velocity (m/s)
Elgin Street East west of Brook Road North	0.85
Brook Road North south of Elgin Street East	0.92
D'Arcy Street to Brook Road North Connection south of Phase 2	0.92
Elgin Street East east of Brook Road North	1.11
Densmore Road east of Future Street L	0.73

The velocities noted above are all less than the maximum allowable velocity of 3.0 m/s. Given that the above noted velocities occur under what would be considered relatively high flow rates it is anticipated that it will be necessary to isolate individual branches of the trunk watermain to achieve flushing velocities of 0.8 m/s.

3.3.5 **Pressure Zone 3 Considerations**

The Cobourg Water Master Plan mainly examines the future water distribution system under ultimate built-out conditions. The scenarios described in the preceding sections provide additional information about interim conditions that will influence the development of new infrastructure to service pressure Zone 3.

 With the realignment of the proposed sub-trunk watermain on Densmore Road/ Danforth Road to extend the watermain to future Street L rather than down the Brook Road North unopened right-of-way (see Section 3.6.1 below) it becomes viable to consider extending a Zone 2 sub-trunk further along Danforth Road to Greer Road. This modification to the Master Plan network is shown as a red dashed line on **Figure 3.7** and initially provides greater redundancy for the supply of the Zone 3 BPS prior to the provisions of a future Zone 2 trunk watermain along the Kerr Street – Nagle Road corridor. The additional length of watermain provided can generally be offset by eliminating the need for Zone 2 watermain on Nagle Road north of Danforth Road.

- Depending on the timing of Phase 5 & 6 development in Zone 3, some consideration may be given to locating the Zone 3 BPS to a lower elevation if land near Nagle Road is not available. Potential alternative locations would include the southwest corner of Danforth Road and Greer Road within Cobourg Trails Phase 5 or near the intersection of future Streets A & U also within Phase 5. A potential relocation of the pumping station will not eliminate the need for the future Zone 2 at-grade tanks to be located north of Danforth Road at an elevation above 140 m.
- For watermains 300 to 800 mm dia., flow velocities entering the suction side of a booster pumping station should be 1.5 m/s or less. Under fire flow conditions in Zone 3, velocities in the 300 mm and 400 mm dia. Zone 2 watermains supplying the Zone 3 BPS will exceed 1.5 m/s and thus some consideration for a length of larger 600 mm dia. common suction line should be provided to reduce velocities.
- A more detailed analysis of internal servicing conditions could potentially consider the opportunity to supply the Zone 3 portions of Phase 5 & 6 with:
 - Lower normal operating flows at high pressures (e.g., 50+ psi) with the first phase of the Zone 3 BPS equipped with smaller pumps.
 - Higher fire flows at lower pressure (i.e., as low as 20 psi) from Zone 2 by opening valves at the Zone 2/Zone 3 boundary during a fire event.

This approach would require detailed review of the final elevations to be serviced, local demands and operational concerns of both LUSI and the Cobourg Fire Department.

3.4 Watermain Material

According to the Regional Municipality of Durham (the Region) Design Specifications for Watermains:

- Feedermains are generally 400 mm in dia. or larger and shall be constructed of Concrete Pressure Pipe (CPP). However, there are exceptions where 400mm PVC pipe can be accepted.
- Distribution mains are generally 150 mm to 300 mm in dia. and shall be constructed of Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) to AWWA C900.

• HDPE Pipe is only to be considered in Horizontal Directional Drilling (HDD) applications providing a geotechnical survey indicates favourable conditions.

The Region's approved manufacturers' products list specifies 400 mm dia. CPP pipe to be C-303 Bar Wrapped. This product may be used for the 400 mm dia. trunk watermain from the new Zone 2 BPS to Brook Road North as well as for the 400 mm dia. trunk watermain along Elgin Street East and Brook Road North. However, PVC pipe may also be considered, should LUSI deem the material to be acceptable for the proposed application.

It is proposed that the new sub-trunk watermain along Densmore Road/Danforth Road would be constructed by HDD trenchless method (see **Section 3.6.1** for additional details). There is no standard in the Town of Cobourg Design Guidelines for pipe materials in HDD installation. LUSI had provided a preliminary indication of preference for the use of HDPE pipe on Densmore Road. The disadvantage of HDPE pipe is that the interior diameter (ID) is typically less at the same nominal pipe size due to increased wall thickness and therefore would impact the hydraulic performance of the distribution system. It should be noted that the Region approves both PVC and HDPE materials for HDD installation methods. As such, CIMA considers the self-restraining PVC pipe product IPEX TerraBrute (or approved equivalent), which meets the AWWA C900 standard, to be a reasonable alternative pipe material for the sub-trunk watermain installation along Densmore Road/Danforth Road.

The watermain appurtenances such as gate valves, air release valves, couplings, fittings, hydrants, tracer wires for PVC or HDPE pipe, etc. will be chosen from approved product lists provided by the Region or the Town of Cobourg in the detailed design phase.

3.5 Operating Pressures

The Town of Cobourg Design Guidelines stipulate that the distribution system maximum sustained operating pressures shall not exceed 700 kPa (100 psi). Under the normal operating conditions, the operating pressures shall not drop below 275 kPa (40 psi). As indicated in the MECP guideline, the maximum normal operating pressure in water distribution systems should generally be 70 psi under maximum daily flow conditions. Pressures outside of the generally accepted minimum and maximum ranges as described above may be dictated by distribution system size and/or topography.

As presented in the Master Plan, most Zone 2 pressures exceed the MECP recommended maximum normal operating pressure with pressures in excess of 80 psi. Therefore, it is recommended to install pressure reducing valves on individual water services for any new developments in Zone 2 where potential exists for pressures

above 80 psi to occur in occupied spaces. It is recommended that in the detailed design phase the specific water demand and site topographic information for the Cobourg Trails Community be added into the Master Plan model to evaluate and verify Zone 2 operating pressures.

3.6 Alignment and Profile Design

3.6.1 Densmore Road/Danforth Road Sub-trunk Watermain – Parkview Hills Drive to Cobourg Trails Future Street L

At the northwest limit of the Study Area the proposed 300 mm dia. watermain on Densmore Road will be connected to the existing 300 mm dia. watermain capped at the intersection of Densmore Road and Parkview Hills Drive. The proposed sub-trunk watermain will run easterly along Densmore Road/Danforth Road to future Street L, as proposed by Tribute, and connect to the sub-trunk watermain internal to the Cobourg Trails development as designed by Biddle. This section of watermain is required to support development in Phase 3 and beyond.

Given that there will be no service connections (or very few connections) along this section of watermain and considering that trenchless methods will be required for two (2) watercourse crossings, installation of the sub-trunk watermain along Densmore Road/Danforth Road is proposed to be completed entirely by HDD.

The sub-trunk is proposed to be aligned in the middle of the eastbound (southern) lane on Densmore Road/Danforth Road. The horizontal alignment will follow the existing Densmore Road/Danforth Road alignment with a 200 m minimum radius for horizontal bends. This is in compliance with the manufacturer's requirements for TerraBrute PVC pipe installed by HDD methods, which specifies any radius should not be less than 160 m.

In development of the proposed alignment presented above, an alternative alignment was also considered. This alternative alignment involved the placement of the proposed sub-trunk watermain on the south shoulder along Densmore Road/Danforth Road. While this alternative alignment would potentially reduce traffic impacts during construction, it is not recommended for the following reasons:

- 1. The existing shoulders are typically so narrow that aligning the watermain under the shoulder would likely have negligible benefit towards keeping the eastbound lane open during construction.
- 2. Given the curvature of the road the existing utility poles are either close to the shoulder or guyed towards the shoulder. As such, it is likely that aligning the watermain under the shoulder would result in conflicts between the existing

utilities poles/utility guy anchors and the watermain.

 Table 5-5 of the Town of Cobourg's 2021 Development Charge Background Study identifies future urbanization of Densmore Road/Danforth Road from Parkview Hills Drive to Jarvis Road. As such, placing the watermain under the shoulder would create a potential conflict in future with catch basins or sewers for a storm sewer system.

It should be noted that the Master Plan shows the watermain on Densmore Road running east to the unopened portion of Brook Road North and turning south along the unopened right-of-way to Tribute's future Street I, as previously mentioned in **Section 3.2.3**. After reviewing field conditions and engaging Cambium Inc. to complete additional environmental reviews for the external servicing work, it has been concluded that it is preferrable to continue the proposed sub-trunk watermain east onto Danforth Road and connect to the Cobourg Trails internal distribution network at Tribute's future Street L to avoid wetland disturbance and watercourse crossings within the unopened Brook Road North road allowance.

The proposed watermain alignment will cross Midtown Creek twice on Densmore Road/Danforth Road. Even if a trenchless method is not used for the entire watermain installation, trenchless installation technology is proposed for both watercourse crossings to reduce environmental impacts. The channel invert elevation of Midtown Creek at the Densmore Road crossing was verified to be 107.77 m during the preliminary design stage. According to as-built drawings, there is an existing 2.5 m wide and 2.0 m high concrete, open bottom culvert with 1.2 m deep footings that passes under Densmore Road at the crossing. The vertical alignment of the watermain is proposed at 2.5 m under the bottom of the creek which is \pm 1.3 m below the existing culvert footing. The sending and receiving pits are proposed at 30 m from either side of the crossing.

The channel invert elevation of the Midtown Creek branch at the Danforth Road crossing was determined to be 110.13 m. The watermain profile is proposed to be 2.5 m below the bottom of the existing 900 mm dia. CSP pipe at this crossing. The sending and receiving pits are located approximately 35 m from either side of the crossing.

In addition to the benefit of HDD installation for the watercourse crossings, it is recommended to use an HDD approach for constructing the entire length of watermain on Densmore Road/Danforth Road due to the limited number of services along the proposed alignment and limited space in the right-of-way for installation.

A total of twelve (12), 5 m deep boreholes have been requested at this preliminary design stage to support decision making about a continuous HDD installation of proposed watermain along Densmore Road/Danforth Road. Should the soil conditions

not support the directional drilling approach, open-cut will be proposed for the watermain construction except for at the watercourse crossings which will be constructed with appropriate trenchless techniques.

3.6.2 Elgin Street East Trunk Watermain – Cobourg Trails Future Street A to Brook Road North

The servicing design for Phase 1 of Cobourg Trails being prepared by Biddle includes extension of the existing 400 mm dia. watermain on Elgin Street East \pm 210 m easterly from Conger Avenue to a point just beyond (east of) the western leg of future Street A where it enters Phase 1. This extension will replace a short section of existing 200 mm dia. watermain on Elgin Street East.

The first section of the proposed external 400 mm dia. trunk watermain on Elgin Street East will connect to the terminus of the above noted 400 mm dia. watermain extension and continue easterly on Elgin Street East from the western leg of future Street A to Brook Road North. This section will provide connectivity necessary to service Phase 2 and 3.

This section of watermain will be ± 325 m long and aligned along the north shoulder of Elgin Street East. The final alignment within the road cross-section will be further refined during detailed design to avoid potential utility conflicts. It is proposed that installation will be by open-cut. As discussed in **Section 2.5.1**, the trunk watermain will cross Brook Creek West Tributary. It is recommended that the crossing be installed in conjunction with the proposed trunk sanitary sewer construction.

In accordance with the Town of Cobourg Design Guidelines, the watermain is designed with at least 2.50 m horizontal separation from the proposed trunk sanitary sewer and between 1.8-2.0 m depth below the centerline of Elgin Street East. The vertical alignment (profile) of the watermain follows the Elgin Street East road profile increasing in elevation from west to east with no significant intermediate high points along its length.

A tee connection is proposed at the intersection of Elgin Street East and Brook Road North. Three (3) isolation valves will be installed at the tee to provide for the isolation of pipe segments during maintenance. The location of additional valves along the length of the watermain will be established as the detailed design is progressed.

3.6.3 Elgin Street East Trunk Watermain – Brook Road North to ±450 m East

The external trunk watermain described in the preceding section will eventually be continued further east from Brook Road North along Elgin Street East. This continuation of the proposed trunk watermain will support development in Phase 4, 5, 6 and 7 and

also provide connectivity for future Zone 2 storage and Zone 3 pumping facilities to be located to the northeast.

The proposed 400 mm dia. trunk watermain will terminate approximately 450 m east of Brook Road North where a future connection will be continued north along the eastern leg of future Street A. This northern connection will provide looped watermain connectivity for the eastern portion of the Cobourg Trails development and will also provide trunk watermain connectivity for the future facilities noted above.

Similar to the section of watermain west of Brook Road North the continuation to the east is proposed to be installed in the northern shoulder of Elgin Street East. This avoids potential impacts to wooded areas and wetland areas adjacent to the southern side of the road right-of-way.

3.6.4 Brook Road North Trunk Watermain – Elgin Street East to LUSI Electrical Substation Property

The proposed 400 mm dia. external watermain along Brook Road North between Elgin Street East and LUSI's Brook Road North electrical substation property is ± 650 m in length. This section of watermain is necessary to support development in Phases 2 and 3.

It is proposed that the 400 mm dia. trunk watermain will be located along the east shoulder of Brook Road North and installed by open-cut. The exact alignment of the proposed watermain within the cross-section will be determined during detailed design based on utility information, which is currently being collected.

The vertical alignment of the trunk watermain has been set to follow what is currently understood to be the future Brook Road North profile as designed by Biddle/Burnside to address sightline considerations for the Phase 2 intersections. The proposed trunk watermain has been set at the minimum 1.8 m depth below the future road profile.

As discussed in **Section 2.5.3.2**, the ground elevation of Brook Road North increases southerly by an average of 2% from the Elgin Street East intersection to a high point on Brook Road North at ± 113 m before descending to the south toward the CN/CP rail corridor. As such, the design includes for a high point in the proposed watermain located approximately 380 m south of Elgin Street East.

The Town of Cobourg Design Guidelines specify:

- Air release valves shall be placed at all significant high points on feedermains, as approved by the Town of Cobourg.
- Drain valves shall be located at the low points of all watermains 450 mm dia. and greater and shall be constructed in an approved chamber.
- All valves 400 mm dia. and smaller shall be installed in valve boxes and specified direct bury operators shall be used.

A 100 mm air release valve with chamber is proposed at the high point on Brook Road North to ensure trapped air is released to maximize the system performance and prevent air lock. A 100 mm dia. outlet pipe will be provided to discharge water to the existing ditch along the east shoulder of Brook Road North from the air release chamber.

3.6.5 Zone 2 BPS Trunk Watermain – New Zone 2 BPS to Brook Road North

As discussed in **Section 3.2.3** a new Zone 2 trunk watermain is required between D'Arcy Street and Brook Road North to connect the future Zone 2 BPS to the Zone 2 water distribution network. The section of watermain east of the future Zone 2 BPS (Master Plan project 2b) will be constructed as part of Tribute's planned external servicing works.

The proposed watermain east of the future Zone 2 BPS will cross the west branch of Brook Creek and run easterly along the south side of the existing soccer fields at the rear of the Cobourg Community Centre. East of the soccer fields the proposed watermain will continue east along the southern limit of the Cobourg Trails Phase 2 development though an area that will ultimately become parkland. East of Phase 2 the proposed trunk watermain will enter the LUSI electrical substation property and continue to Brook Road North. The watermain will then be deflected northerly and connected to the proposed trunk watermain on Brook Road North that is described in the previous section.

It is noted that where the watermain exits the LUSI substation property at Brook Road North it will run through the existing substation entrance. The incoming and outgoing electrical feeds from the substation transition from aerial lines along Brook Road North to underground at the entrance and connect to the substation facilities. The watermain will cross below these lines requiring that they are temporarily supported during construction. It is anticipated that short-term de-energization of these feeds will also have to be coordinated with LUSI.

As noted, the proposed watermain will cross the Brook Creek West Tributary behind the Cobourg Community Centre between the future Zone 2 BPS site and existing soccer fields. Trenchless installation technology is proposed for the crossing. Two (2) boreholes have been requested approximately 20 m on either side of the crossing to investigate/confirm existing ground conditions. If ground conditions are suitable, it is anticipated that a trenchless method conducive to the geotechnical recommendations will be used to install a casing below the Brook Creek's West Tributary to accommodate the watermain installation.

In addition to the external servicing scope described above, a new 400 mm dia. trunk watermain will be required west of the future Zone 2 BPS facility. It is understood that the portion to the west of the future BPS (Master Plan project 2a) will be constructed by
LUSI in conjunction with the future Zone 2 BPS facility. This section of watermain is expected to run west along Barracks Drive (south of the CCC) and connect to existing 300 mm dia. watermains on D'Arcy Street and 3rd Street.

4 Supporting Studies

4.1 Municipal Class Environmental Assessment

P. Becker Consulting was engaged to provide advice and guidance with respect to the Municipal Class Environmental Assessment (MCEA) requirements that may apply to proposed external servicing works. Patricia Becker, MES is an EA Specialist with over 30 years of EA experience (including 22 years as P Becker Consulting). Pat has worked for municipalities and the private sector in the areas of environmental assessment, environmental planning and approvals throughout Ontario. An MCEA opinion letter from P. Becker Consulting is included in Appendix J.

From an MCEA perspective:

- The proposed watermain works can be considered as two independent projects that could potentially be implemented separately. The northern portion of the proposed watermain works on Densmore Road/Danforth Road is located entirely within the existing right-of-way and involves trenchless watermain crossings and as such is considered a Schedule A+ project.
- The southern portion of the proposed watermain works on Elgin Street East and Brook Road North is within existing road right-of-ways and involves staged work in conjunction with channel restoration for a minor watercourse at the west branch of Brook Creek and as such would be schedule A projects. However, these works are connected to the watermain works between the CCC property and Brook Road north that are located outside of a municipal right-of-way and thus the southern portion of the watermain work is a Schedule B project.
- The proposed sanitary sewer works form one continuous project and are variably within and outside of existing municipal right-of-ways and as such are a Schedule B project.

The COVID 19 Economic Recovery Act (2020) included changes to the Environmental Assessment Act definition of "proponent". Previously it was interpreted that the definition meant that the municipality had to be the proponent of the project where they would own and operate the infrastructure after the developer had built it. The current definition makes it clear that the proponent is someone who:

- a) carries out or proposes to carry out a project, or
- b) is the owner or person having charge, management or control of a project

CIM/ | C14-0454

The key is the word "or" so for the Cobourg Trails external servicing work the proponent can be the developer since they will carry out the project, which is the Class EA work and not necessarily the construction or operation of the water and wastewater infrastructure.

Tribute as the developer, proposes to be the proponent of the external servicing works projects. As such, it is understood that the projects may proceed forward to implementation as part of the review and approvals process for Tribute's proposed development and further *Environmental Assessment Act* (EAA) requirements are not applicable. This is resultant of the fact that O.Reg. 345/93 indicates that developers are only subject to the EAA when they are the proponent of Schedule C projects. Also, based on the changes to the EAA found in the *More Homes, More Choice Act (2019)* it is now deemed that any Schedule A or A+ projects are exempt from meeting the requirements of the EAA regardless of the proponent. These projects may proceed to implementation.

Regardless of which organization is the proponent, the Schedule A+ watermain work on Densmore Road/Danforth Road could proceed to implementation without further MCEA process.

4.2 Environmental Impact Study

To support the development of this FSR, Cambium Inc. (Cambium) was engaged by Tribute to prepare an Environmental Impact Study (EIS) for the planned external watermain and sanitary sewer works. Cambium's EIS included additional field investigations along the anticipated watermain and sewer alignments (as illustrated herein) to expand previously completed development related studies for the following locations:

- The EIS for lands internal to the Cobourg Trails development Niblett Environmental Associates (May 2016) Environmental Impact Study for Rondeau (Cobourg) Ltd. Development Draft Plan of Subdivision 14t-06001-R, Cobourg East Community Secondary Plan Area, Elgin Street East/County Road 20 Part Lots 11, 12 and 13, Concessions A and 1 Block D, Plan 277 Town of Cobourg.
- The EIS for the East Village Phase 5 Cambium Inc. (November 2018) Environmental Impact Study for JMCD Holdings (now referred to as Mistral Land Developments Inc.): 460 King Street East, Cobourg, Ontario.
- The EIS for the lands recently acquired by Mistral Land Developments Inc. on the east side of Brook Road North, north of the CN/CP railway corridor *Cambium (2021) Draft Environmental Impact Study for JMCD Holdings (now referred to as Mistral Land Developments Inc.): Brook Road North, Cobourg, Ontario.*

• The design brief for realignment of the Brook Creek West Tributary upstream of Elgin Street East – GEO Morphix Ltd. (July 2019) Technical Design Brief Tributary of Brook Creek Realignment, Town of Cobourg, Ontario.

In preparing the EIS, Cambium also consulted with the Ganaraska Region Conservation Authority (GRCA) regarding the proposed external servicing works to develop a terms of reference for the scope of the EIS.

A full copy of Cambium's report is appended to this report as **Appendix I**. Key findings from Cambium's EIS that have informed development and modification of the proposed external servicing alignments to reduce environmental impacts are summarized as follows:

- Shifting the alignment of the proposed trunk sanitary sewer west away from the center of the future Willmott Street right-of-way between King Street East and the CN/CP rail corridor. This places the sewer in the additional right-of-way widening lands to be conveyed to the Town as part of the East Village Phase 5 development and avoids direct impacts to the wetland feature that currently exists in the original Willmott Street right-of-way.
- Relocating the alignment of Master Plan watermain projects 2m and 2l (L) away from the Brook Road North unopened right-of-way south of Densmore Road. Relocation of the watermain alignment away from the Brook Road North unopened right-of-way to instead continue east on Danforth Road to future Street L avoids impacts to wetlands and associated natural features along Brook Road North which otherwise will not be disturbed.
- Development of a strategy for integrating the replacement of the existing culvert on Elgin Street East at the Brook Creek West Tributary crossing with the planned restoration and naturalization of the creek upstream of Elgin Street East. This strategy allows for a staged open-cut installation of the proposed watermain and sanitary sewer on Elgin Street East that will have minimal impact to the watercourse.
- Aligning the proposed watermain on Elgin Street East (east of Brook Road North) on the north side of the road to avoid possible impacts to woodlands and wetlands along the south side of the right-of-way.

4.3 Sub Surface Utility Engineering Investigation

To support the initial development of sewer and watermain alignments at the functional servicing stage, utility information has been reviewed based on existing drawings,

visible surface features and general (rough) mapping provided by utility companies through One-Call's planning locate request process.

Given the rural/undeveloped nature of most of the study area, municipal utilities are relatively limited and located at proposed connection points and along Willmott Street from King Street to Thompson Street. Private utilities within the study area include:

- Gas mains owned and operated by Enbridge including a high-pressure gas main that crosses Densmore Road/Danforth Road at the intersection with the unopened portion of the Brook Road North right-of-way.
- Electrical utilities owned and operated by LUSI, which are primarily overhead but include underground cables at the Brook Road North Substation.
- Underground telecommunication lines that are primarily owned and operated by Bell, Cogeco and Rogers.

4Sight Utility Engineers (4Sight) have been engaged to complete a Subsurface Utility Engineering (SUE) investigation of the utilities that cross the proposed watermain and sewer alignments or run parallel to the alignments (on the same side of the road). Phase 1 of this investigation will involve completing SUE Quality Level B surface locates to more accurately map the location of the existing utilities and will commence in January 2022. Phase 2 of the SUE investigation will involve Quality Level A locates to expose existing utilities at potential crossing conflicts and confirm depths at crossings and other key locations. Phase 2 will commence with the progression of the detailed design, once the Quality Level B locates have been completed.

4.4 Geotechnical Investigation

To support further design of the proposed trunk sewer and watermain infrastructure, Golder Associates Ltd. (Golder) has been engaged to undertake a staged geotechnical investigation. The geotechnical investigation will address ground conditions (including groundwater at trenchless crossings) as they will influence infrastructure design as well as soil characterization with respect to opportunities and constraints for disposal of excess soils.

Field work for the first stage of the investigation commenced in December 2021 and is focused on areas where the use of trenchless technology is anticipated or being considered for sewer and watermain construction. These areas include:

- CN/CP Rail Corridor sewer crossing in the Unopened Willmott Street ROW
- Potential trenchless sewer installation along Brook Road North from future Kerr Street north to the east tributary of Brook Creek.
- Brook Creek East Tributary sewer crossing on Brook Road North

- Brook Creek West Tributary watermain crossing in the soccer field east of the Cobourg Community Centre
- Midtown Creek watermain crossing on Densmore Road
- Brook Creek West Tributary watermain crossing on Danforth Road
- Potential trenchless watermain installation (HDD) along Densmore Road and Danforth Road between 80 m west of Parkview Hills Drive and Future Street L in Cobourg Trails

As the detailed design of the watermain and sanitary sewer progresses, the second stage of the geotechnical investigation will be undertaken along the anticipated open-cut sections of the alignment.

4.5 Archeological Investigations

Archeological investigations are currently being scoped for completion in 2022. In general, the scope of the planned archeological investigations will include:

- Stage 1 review to confirm that locations where proposed external servicing is to be installed along/within existing roads have been previously disturbed and will not require further archeological investigation.
- Review of existing Stage 2 investigations for undisturbed areas that have been previously studied for other purposes to identify potential areas of concern
- Additional Stage 2 investigations in areas that have not been studied previously.

5 Cost Estimate

A high-level (order of magnitude) conceptual cost estimate has been prepared to support the preliminary design presented in this Functional Servicing Report. A summary of the total costs have been provided in **Table 5.1** below. A complete breakdown of the estimate is included in this report as **Appendix L**.

External Trunk Sanitary Sewer				
Administrative and Contractual Items	\$818,897.00			
Trunk Sanitary Sewer – Open Cut	\$5,936,827.00			
Trunk Sanitary Sewer - Trenchless	\$2,837,500.00			

Table 5.1: Preliminary Cost Estimate for External Trunk Servicing Work

Trunk Sanitary Sewer – Maintenance Holes	\$1,065,000.00
Restoration	\$1,035,025.00
Miscellaneous	\$789,039.00
TOTAL – External Trunk Sanitary Sewer	\$12,509,288.00
External Trunk Watermain	
Administrative and Contractual Items	\$363,090.00
Trunk Watermain – Open Cut	\$2,743,620.00
Trunk Watermain – Trenchless	\$1,858,000.00
Restoration	\$723,550.00
Miscellaneous	\$119,875.00
TOTAL – External Trunk Watermain	\$5,808,135.00

These costs do not include any contingency or engineering and are based on 2021 construction pricing (and therefore does not account for any future inflation). The cost estimate will be refined as the design progresses and the exact scope of works is confirmed. These are the total estimated construction costs, based on the project progressing independently of any Town or County road works and does not currently account for any cost sharing/apportionment.

A

Appendix A: Cobourg Trails External Servicing Preliminary Design Drawings, CIMA+





DRAWING LIST

COVER SHEET ---

SANITARY SEWER PLAN AND PROFILE DRAWINGS

PP-1 TO PP-4	WILMOTT ST SANITARY
PP-5 TO PP-7	RAILWAY SANITARY
PP-8 TO PP-9	BROOK RD N SANITARY
PP-10 TO PP-13	COBOURG TRAILS SANITAR
PP-14	BROOK RD N SANITARY
PP-15	ELGIN ST E SANITARY

WATERMAIN PLAN AND PROFILE DRAWINGS

PP-W1 TO PP-W3	DENSMORE ROAD WATERMAIN
PP-W4 TO PP-W5	DANFORTH ROAD WATERNAIN
PP-W6 TO PP-W8	ELGIN ST E WATERMAIN
PP-W9 TO PP-W11	COBOURG TRAILS WATERMAIN
PP-W12 TO PP-13	BROOK RD N WATERMAIN



N.T.S.

ISSUED FOR FUNCTIONAL SERVICING REPORT





					HWY 401 HAMILTON TOWNSHIP	DANFORTH
		7	NORTH		TELGIN ST W	ELGIN ST E
		(Solution and a second s
			'			BROOT
- () - ()	HW,	¥		7		COUNTY RD 2
HP & SIGN	G	CEC w G	HP \$SIGN	G		
 MH ¬	+		Ct	3	LAKE ONT	ARIO
B SIGN	STM	— в ———	<u>stм</u> в SIGN		KEY PLA	N (N.T.S.)
	375mmø_SAN	BA	-E39	X	BENCHMARK: BMx xxxx	xxx.xxx
/						
X. SAN SEWER		1 STY. BRK BUILDING			IT IS THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CON	ITRACTOR TO INFORM THEMSELVES
NØ SAN SEWER.		DOILDING			OF THE EXACT LOCATION OF, AND AS TO ALL UTILITIES SERVICES AND STRU- OR BELOW GRADE BEFORE COMMENCIN IS NOT NECESSARILY SHOWN ON THE	SUME ALL LIABILITY FOR DAMAGE CTURES WHETHER ABOVE GROUND G THE WORK. SUCH INFORMATION DRAWING, AND WHERE SHOWN, THE
					WITH THE SOLE EXCEPTION OF THE BE DESCRIBED FOR THIS PROJECT, NO ELI HEREON IS TO BE USED AS A REFERF	NCHMARK(S) SPECIFICALLY EVATION INDICATED OR ASSUMED NCE ELEVATION FOR ANY
					PURPOSE. ALL DIMENSIONS AND INFORMATION SH THE JOB AND ANY DISCREPANCIES MU	IALL BE CHECKED AND VERIFIED ON
					BE SCALED.	e work. Drawings are not to
202						
				1	2nd Floor - 415 Baseline Road We Phone: 905-697-4464	est, Bowmanville, ON L1C 5M2 www.cima.ca
			nmø)	- 90	CLIENT: Tribur	
			399 лø т (825т т (825т	_	communi	ties 30
			9 N = 1+5 1500mr 86.49m = 81.01 = 81.01	- 88		
			SA-E3 STATO SZATO SZE SZE SZE SZE SZE SZE SZE SZE SZ SZ SZ SZ SZ SZ SZ SZ SZ SZ SZ SZ SZ		EXTERNAL	SERVICING
SAN MH						
				- 84	-	
		EV. 775mm		-	STAMPS:	
SAN		LX. 375mm		62		
				- 80		
				- 78	DESIGNED BY	
				- 76	 	
				- 74	NO. Date L SHEET TITLE:	Description By
				72	PLAN AND	PROFILE SANITARY
				-	STA. X+XXX TC	STA. X+XXX
				70	DISCIPLINE: MUNICIPAL INFE	RASTRUCTURE
					SCALE: -10m	0 10m 20m
1+360	1+380		1+400	STATION	-2m	0 2m 4m
56		.48	51		PROJECT No: C14-0454	CLIENT File No:
S S S		86	88	GRADE VIX	DRAFTER: DESIGNER: DESIGNE	PRAWING No:
nØ .30%			81.01 81.01 €	ANITARY SEWER INVERT	D.C. D.C.	HEET No:









		HWY 401 HANLTON TOWNSHIP OWNED CORDURS ELGIN ST W ELGIN ST W ELGIN ST E O O O O O O O O O O O O O
		BENCHMARK: BMx xxxx
		IT IS THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CONTRACTOR TO INFORM THEMSELVES OF THE EXACT LOCATION OF, AND ASSUME ALL LIABILITY FOR DAMAGE TO ALL UTILITIES SERVICES AND STRUCTURES WHETHER ABOVE GROUND OR BELOW GRADE BEFORE COMMENCING THE WORK. SUCH INFORMATION IS NOT NECESSARILY SHOWN ON THE DRAWING, AND WHERE SHOWN, THE ACCURACY CANNOT BE GUARANTEED. WITH THE SOLE EXCEPTION OF THE BENCHMARK(S) SPECIFICALLY DESCRIBED FOR THIS PROJECT, NO ELEVATION INDICATED OR ASSUMED HEREON IS TO BE USED AS A REFERENCE ELEVATION FOR ANY PURPOSE.
		ALL DIMENSIONS AND INFORMATION SHALL BE CHECKED AND VERIFIED ON THE JOB AND ANY DISCREPANCIES MUST BE REPORTED TO THE MUNICIPALITY BEFORE COMMENCING THE WORK. DRAWINGS ARE NOT TO BE SCALED.
	98	2nd Floor - 415 Baseline Road West, Bowmanville, ON L1C 5M2 Phone: 905-697-4464 www.cima.ca
Image: Sector	- 96 - 94	PROJECT NAME: COBOURG TRAILS EXTERNAL SERVICING
	92	STAMPS:
	- 88	
Image: selection of the	- 86 - 84	DESIGNED BY APPROVED BY - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
	- 82	01-FUNCTIONAL SERVICING REPORTD.C.No.DateDescriptionBySHEET TITLE:
	80	PLAN AND PROFILE WILMOTT STREET SANITARY STA. X+XXX TO STA. X+XXX
		DISCIPLINE: MUNICIPAL INFRASTRUCTURE
	STATION	SCALE: -10m 0 10m 20m HORIZONTAL: 1:500 -2m 0 2m 4m VERTICAL: 1:100 -2m 0 2m 4m
		PROJECT NO: C14-0454 DRAFTER: G.M. CHECKER: CLIENT File NO: DRAWING NO: PP-4
	SANITARY SEWER INVERT	D.C. D.C. DATE: SHEET No: August, 2021 OF



Cima-C14\Proiects\C14-0454-Tribute Cobourg E. Ext. Servicing (Tribute Communities\\400-Drawings and Sketches\410-WIP\AutoCAD\C14-0454-TributeServicing-SHEE

n 77 7



		HWY 401 HANLTON TOWNSHIP TELGIN ST W ELGIN ST E BOUNTY RD 2 COUNTY RD 2 5
$\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}$		LAKE ONTARIO KEY PLAN (N.T.S.)
		BENCHMARK: B <u>Mx</u> xxxx
FUTURE MEDIUM-HIGH DENSITY DEVELOPMENT		IT IS THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CONTRACTOR TO INFORM THEMSELVES OF THE EXACT LOCATION OF, AND ASSUME ALL LIABILITY FOR DAMAGE TO ALL UTILITIES SERVICES AND STRUCTURES WHETHER ABOVE GROUND OR BELOW GRADE BEFORE COMMENCING THE WORK. SUCH INFORMATION IS NOT NECESSARILY SHOWN ON THE DRAWING, AND WHERE SHOWN, THE ACCURACY CANNOT BE GUARANTEED. WITH THE SOLE EXCEPTION OF THE BENCHMARK(S) SPECIFICALLY DESCRIBED FOR THIS PROJECT, NO ELEVATION INDICATED OR ASSUMED HEREON IS TO BE USED AS A REFERENCE ELEVATION FOR ANY PURPOSE. ALL DIMENSIONS AND INFORMATION SHALL BE CHECKED AND VERIFIED ON THE JOB AND ANY DISCREPANCIES MUST BE REPORTED TO THE MUNICIPALITY BEFORE COMMENCING THE WORK. DRAWINGS ARE NOT TO BE SCALED.
02m (750mmø) (750mmø)	102	2nd Floor - 415 Baseline Road West, Bowmanville, ON L1C 5M2 Phone: 905-697-4464 www.cima.ca
	100 98	COBOURG TRAILS EXTERNAL SERVICING
	96 94	STAMPS:
	92 90	DESIGNED BY APPROVED BY
PROP. 750mm SAN	88 86	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - 01 - FUNCTIONAL SERVICING REPORT D.C. No. Date Description By SHEET TITLE: - - -
Image: second	84	PLAN AND PROFILE RAILWAY SANITARY STA. X+XXX TO STA. X+XXX
2+760 2+780 2+800	STATION	DISCIPLINE: MUNICIPAL INFRASTRUCTURE SCALE: HORIZONTAL: 1:500 -2m 0 2m 4m 4m
94.40		VERTICAL: 1:100 PROJECT No: C14-0454 CLIENT File No: DRAFTER: DESIGNER: DRAWING No:
PROP. 100.00m 750n SANITARY SEWER @	SANITARY SEWER INVERT	G.M. M.C. CHECKER: APPROVER: PP-6 D.C. D.C. DATE: SHEET No: August, 2021 OF





RAILWAY TRACK	
CANADIAN NATIONAL RAILWAY	
The second secon	
E MEDIUM-HIGH Y DEVELOPMENT	
Kraton Tration Tration To a State St	
34020 ROAD WIDENING FOR GRADE SEPARATION EMBANKMEN (BASED ON 1971 R-PLAN)	NT

n SAN	SHED GRADE PMENT 4 ROUND ABOVE ITARY SEWER 4 H	SIZE = 1500mm Ø I/G = 98.74m NV. E = 88.19m (750mm Ø) NV. NW = 88.23m (750mm Ø) NV. NW = 88.25m (750mm Ø) NV. NV = 88.25m (750mm Ø) NV. NV = 88.25m (750mm Ø) NV. NV = 88.25m (7			
2+920	2+94(2+980	3+000	3+020	
93.87	93.85 93.85 93.85		96.64		
50mmØ @ 0.50%		88.23		PRO SANIT	

		HWY 401 HAMLEON TOWNSHIP HWY 401 HAMLEON TOWNSHIP ELGIN ST W ELGIN ST W ELGIN ST E REGULAR ST W ELGIN ST E REGULAR ST E
		IT IS THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CONTRACTOR TO INFORM THEMSELVI OF THE EXACT LOCATION OF, AND ASSUME ALL LIABILITY FOR DAMAGI TO ALL UTILITIES SERVICES AND STRUCTURES WHETHER ABOVE GROUN OR BELOW GRADE BEFORE COMMENCING THE WORK. SUCH INFORMATIO IS NOT NECESSARILY SHOWN ON THE DRAWING, AND WHERE SHOWN, T ACCURACY CANNOT BE GUARANTEED. WITH THE SOLE EXCEPTION OF THE BENCHMARK(S) SPECIFICALLY DESCRIBED FOR THIS PROJECT, NO ELEVATION INDICATED OR ASSUMED HEREON IS TO BE USED AS A REFERENCE ELEVATION FOR ANY DUPDOSE
		ALL DIMENSIONS AND INFORMATION SHALL BE CHECKED AND VERIFIED THE JOB AND ANY DISCREPANCIES MUST BE REPORTED TO THE MUNICIPALITY BEFORE COMMENCING THE WORK. DRAWINGS ARE NOT TO BE SCALED.
	102	Tribute 35
Image:	98	COBOURG TRAILS EXTERNAL SERVICING
	96	STAMPS:
	94	
	92 90	DESIGNED BY APPROVED BY
	88	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - 01 - FUNCTIONAL SERVICING REPORT D.C. No. Date Description D.C.
	84	SHEET TITLE: PLAN AND PROFILE RAILWAY SANITARY
	82	STA. X+XXX TO STA. X+XXX DISCIPLINE: MUNICIPAL INFRASTRUCTURE
	STATION	SCALE: -10m 0 10m 20 HORIZONTAL: 1:500 -2m 0 2m 4 VERTICAL: 1:100 -2m 0 2m 4
		PROJECT No: C14-0454 DRAFTER: G.M. M.C. CHECKER: APPROVER: CLIENT File No: DRAWING No: PP-7
	SANITARY SEWER INVERT	D.C. D.C. DATE: SHEET No: August, 2021 OF









Image: SA-E19-A Image		HIT 401 HAND TO NONSHIP ELGIN ST W ELGIN ST E ENCHMARK: XXXXX
		IT IS THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CONTRACTOR TO INFORM THEMSELVES OF THE EXACT LOCATION OF, AND ASSUME ALL LIABILITY FOR DAMAGE TO ALL UTILITIES SERVICES AND STRUCTURES WHETHER ABOVE GROUND OR BELOW GRADE BEFORE COMMENCING THE WORK. SUCH INFORMATION IS NOT NECESSARILY SHOWN ON THE DRAWING, AND WHERE SHOWN, THE ACCURACY CANNOT BE GUARANTEED. WITH THE SOLE EXCEPTION OF THE BENCHMARK(S) SPECIFICALLY DESCRIBED FOR THIS PROJECT, NO ELEVATION INDICATED OR ASSUMED HEREON IS TO BE USED AS A REFERENCE ELEVATION FOR ANY PURPOSE. ALL DIMENSIONS AND INFORMATION SHALL BE CHECKED AND VERIFIED ON THE JOB AND ANY DISCREPANCIES MUST BE REPORTED TO THE MUNICIPALITY BEFORE COMMENCING THE WORK. DRAWINGS ARE NOT TO BE SCALED. CONTACTION OF A STATEMENT OF THE WORK, DRAWINGS ARE NOT TO BE SCALED.
	106 104 102	CLIENT: Tribute 355 355 PROJECT NAME: COBOURG TRAILS EXTERNAL SERVICING
SA E19-A STATION = 3+63 STATION = 3+63 INV. S = 91.87m INV. N = 91.90m	100 98 96	STAMPS:
	94 92 90	DESIGNED BY APPROVED BY - - 01 - FUNCTIONAL SERVICING REPORT D.C. No. Date Description
Image: selection of the	88	SHEET TITLE: PLAN AND PROFILE BROOK RD N SANITARY STA. X+XXX TO STA. X+XXX DISCIPLINE: MUNICIPAL INFRASTRUCTURE SCALE: -10m 0 10m 20m
3+620 3+660 3+660	STATION	HORIZONTAL: 1:500 -2m 0 2m 4m VERTICAL: 1:100 PROJECT No: CLIENT File No:
97.65 97.90 97.90		C14-0454 - DRAFTER: DESIGNER: DRAWING No: G.M. M.C. PP-9 D.C. D.C. D.C.
တ် တ်	INVERI	August, 2021 OF



ima-C14/Projecte/C14-04E4-Tribute Cobourd E-Ext. Servicine (Tribute Communities/)400-Drawings and Sketchee/410-WIB/ AutoCAD/C14-04E4-TributeServicing-SHEETS



2) Cima C14) Praiode(C14) 0464 Tribute Cebaura E. Ect. Somicine (Tribute Communition) 400 Pranions and Skotchar) 410 MUD) AutoC4D/C14, 0464 TributeServician CHEE

			HWY 401 HANALTON TOWNSHIP OANFORTH
NOP THE			ELGIN ST W ELGIN ST W ELGIN ST E
			BROOK RD DWISION
D , M			CN RAILWAY
BLE CONCRETE TURF-STONE			LAKE ONTARIO
OCK PLACED BELOW 100mm PTH OF TOPSOIL TO PROVIDE CESS TO MH SA-E12-B			BENCHMARK: <u>BMx</u> xxxxx
0000			
OMMØ SAN SEWER			IT IS THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CONTRACTOR TO INFORM THEMSELVES OF THE EXACT LOCATION OF, AND ASSUME ALL LIABILITY FOR DAMAGE TO ALL UTILITIES SERVICES AND STRUCTURES WHETHER ABOVE GROUND OR BELOW GRADE BEFORE COMMENCING THE WORK. SUCH INFORMATION
© 0.30%	11/30		IS NUT NECESSARILY SHOWN ON THE DRAWING, AND WHERE SHOWN, THE ACCURACY CANNOT BE GUARANTEED. WITH THE SOLE EXCEPTION OF THE BENCHMARK(S) SPECIFICALLY DESCRIBED FOR THIS PROJECT, NO ELEVATION INDICATED OR ASSUMED HEREON IS TO BE USED AS A REFERENCE ELEVATION FOR ANY
			PURPOSE. ALL DIMENSIONS AND INFORMATION SHALL BE CHECKED AND VERIFIED ON THE JOB AND ANY DISCREPANCIES MUST BE REPORTED TO THE MUNICIPALITY BEFORE COMMENCING THE WORK. DRAWINGS ARE NOT TO BE SCALED
			CIMA+
			2nd Floor - 415 Baseline Road West, Bowmanville, ON L1C 5M2 Phone: 905-697-4464 www.cima.ca
		106	Tribute 35 communities
		104	PROJECT NAME: COBOURG TRAILS
		102	EXTERNAL SERVICING
		100	_
		98	STAMPS:
		96	
PROP 600mm S	SAN		
		94	DESIGNED BY APPROVED BY - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
		92	
		90	No. Date Description By
		88	PLAN AND PROFILE COBOURG TRAILS SANITARY
		86	DISCIPLINE:
			MUNICIPAL INFRASIRUCTURE
4+180	4+220	STATION	-2m 0 2m 4m VERTICAL: 1:100
97.77			DRAFTER: DESIGNER: DRAWING No: G.M. M.C.
PROP. 74.09m 600mm SANITARY SEWER @ 0.3	ıØ 30%	SANITARY SEWER INVERT	CHECKER: APPROVER: PP-11 D.C. D.C. DATE: SHEET No: August. 2021 OF





		HIN 40 How could use of the contractor to inform themselves of the exact location of the dawing, and where shown, the accuracy cannot be duaranted.
AREA (HIGH		In the D FOR THIS PROJECT, NO ELEVATION INDICATED OR ASSUMED HEREON IS TO BE USED AS A REFERENCE ELEVATION FOR ANY PURPOSE. ALL DIMENSIONS AND INFORMATION SHALL BE CHECKED AND VERIFIED ON THE JOB AND ANY DISCREPANCIES MUST BE REPORTED TO THE MUNICIPALITY BEFORE COMMENCING THE WORK. DRAWINGS ARE NOT TO BE SCALED.
	106	EXTERNAL SERVICING
Image: state	104	STAMPS:
	102	
	100	DESIGNED BY APPROVED BY - - - - - -
	98	
	96	01-FUNCTIONAL SERVICING REPORTD.C.No.DateDescriptionBySHEET TITLE:
Image: Second	94	PLAN AND PROFILE COBOURG TRAILS SANITARY STA. X+XXX TO STA. X+XXX
	92	DISCIPLINE: MUNICIPAL INFRASTRUCTURE
	STATION	SCALE: -10m 0 10m 20m HORIZONTAL: 1:500 -2m 0 2m 4m
		VERTICAL: 1:100 PROJECT NO: C14-0454 CLIENT File No: DRAFTER: DESIGNER: DRAWING No:
	SANITARY SEWER	G.M. M.C. PP-12 CHECKER: APPROVER: D.C. D.C. D.C. D.C. DATE: AUGUST 2001
		August, 2021 0f





		HWY 401 HAML TON TOWNSHIP HWY 401 HAML TON TOWNSHIP ELGIN ST W ELGIN ST E COUNTY RD 2 LAKE ONTARIO KEY PLAN (N.T.S.) BENCHMARK:
		XXX.XXX XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
	110	CLIENT: PROJECT NAME: COBOURG TRAILS EXTERNIAL SERVICINIC
Image: selection of the	106 104 102	STAMPS:
Image: second	98 96 94	DESIGNED BY APPROVED BY - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - 01 - FUNCTIONAL SERVICING REPORT D.C. No. Date Description By
Image: second	92 90	PLAN AND PROFILE COBOURG TRAILS SANITARY STA. X+XXX TO STA. X+XXX DISCIPLINE: MUNICIPAL INFRASTRUCTURE
	STATION	HURIZONTAL: 1:500 -2m 0 2m 4m VERTICAL: 1:100 PROJECT NO: C14-0454 DRAFTER: DESIGNER: G.M. M.C. CHECKER: APPROVER: D.C. D.C. DATE: August, 2021 -2m 0 2m 4m





		HWY 401 HAM JON TOWNSHIP TELGIN ST W SCHART OF CORDURATION OF CORDUCT	DANE OR THY RD 2 COUNTY RD 2 S LON MAN (N.T.S.)
		BMx xxxx	xxx.xxx
		IT IS THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CO OF THE EXACT LOCATION OF, AND A TO ALL UTILITIES SERVICES AND STR OR BELOW GRADE BEFORE COMMENC IS NOT NECESSARILY SHOWN ON THE ACCURACY CANNOT BE GUARANTEED WITH THE SOLE EXCEPTION OF THE I DESCRIBED FOR THIS PROJECT, NO E HEREON IS TO BE USED AS A REFE	DNTRACTOR TO INFORM THEMSELVES SSUME ALL LIABILITY FOR DAMAGE UCTURES WHETHER ABOVE GROUND ING THE WORK. SUCH INFORMATION E DRAWING, AND WHERE SHOWN, THE BENCHMARK(S) SPECIFICALLY LEVATION INDICATED OR ASSUMED RENCE ELEVATION FOR ANY
		ALL DIMENSIONS AND INFORMATION S THE JOB AND ANY DISCREPANCIES N MUNICIPALITY BEFORE COMMENCING BE SCALED.	SHALL BE CHECKED AND VERIFIED ON MUST BE REPORTED TO THE THE WORK. DRAWINGS ARE NOT TO
	110	2nd Floor - 415 Baseline Road N Phone: 905-697-4464 CLIENT:	Vest, Bowmanville, ON L1C 5M2 www.cima.ca
	108	PROJECT NAME:	G TRAILS
	106	EXTERNAL	SERVICING
	104	STAMPS:	
	100		
Image:	98 96	DESIGNED BY	APPROVED BY
	94	- - 01 - No. Date SHEET TITLE:	 AL SERVICING REPORT D.C. Description By
	92 90	PLAN AND BROOK RD I STA. X+XXX T	O PROFILE N SANITARY O STA. X+XXX
		DISCIPLINE: MUNICIPAL INF SCALE: -10m	RASTRUCTURE
	STATION	HORIZONTAL: 1:500 -2m VERTICAL: 1:100	0 2m 4m
		PROJECT No: C14-0454 DRAFTER: G.M. M.C.	CLIENT File No: DRAWING No: DD-14
	SANITARY SEWER INVERT	DATE: APPROVER: D.C. DATE: August, 2021	SHEET No:



ciaas (24 A) Pasiosta (24 A) A (4 Taikata Cakaraas [Taikata Camaranakiaa)) 400 Pasioisaa (1/4444) 440 M//// A (44 A) 440 M/// 144 Taikata (1/1/17







HIGHWAY 401 PROP. 300mmø WATERMAIN (TERRABRUTE PVC INSTALLATION BY HDD) APPROX. SENDING/ RECEIVING PIT FENCE ▲ CP 538 DENSMORE ROAD - ORIGINAL PROP. WA ____ (TERRABR PROP. 300mm WM (TERRABRUTE PVC INSTALLATION BY HDD) - APPROX. SENDING/ RECEIVING PIT

		HWY 401 HANALTON TOWNSHIP
		ROVINGE COBOURG
		ELGIN ST W
NOR		
		CA RAILINAY
		key plan (n.t.s.)
the state of the s		BENCHMARK: BMx xxx.xxx
HP HP		XXXX
DECID TREET		
MAILBOX		
CP 539 CRAV		IT IS THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CONTRACTOR TO INFORM THEMSELVES OF THE EXACT LOCATION OF, AND ASSUME ALL LIABILITY FOR DAMAGE TO ALL UTILITIES SERVICES AND STRUCTURES WHETHER ABOVE GROUND
ENT.		OR BELOW GRADE BEFORE COMMENCING THE WORK. SUCH INFORMATION IS NOT NECESSARILY SHOWN ON THE DRAWING, AND WHERE SHOWN, THE ACCURACY CANNOT BE GUARANTEED.
(1 · BJB BELL		WITH THE SOLE EXCEPTION OF THE BENCHMARK(S) SPECIFICALLY DESCRIBED FOR THIS PROJECT, NO ELEVATION INDICATED OR ASSUMED HEREON IS TO BE USED AS A REFERENCE ELEVATION FOR ANY
MARKER .		ALL DIMENSIONS AND INFORMATION SHALL BE CHECKED AND VERIFIED ON
		THE JOB AND ANY DISCREPANCIES MUST BE REPORTED TO THE MUNICIPALITY BEFORE COMMENCING THE WORK. DRAWINGS ARE NOT TO BE SCALED.
MAIL		
		2nd Eloor - 415 Baseline Road West Bowmanville, ON L1C 5M2
		Phone: 905-697-4464 www.cima.ca
		Tributo
	120	IIIDUIC 35
GROUND ABOVE	118	
		EXTERNAL SERVICING
	116	
PROP. 300mm WM PROP. JOSTALLATION BY HDD)	114	-
RUTE PVC INSTALLA		STAMPS:
	112	
	110	
	108	DESIGNED BY APPROVED BY
	106	
	104	012021-11-18REF. FOR GEOTECHNICAL WORKSD.C.No.DateDescriptionBv
		SHEET TITLE:
	102	PLAN AND PROFILE
		DENSMORE ROAD WATERMAIN
		SIA. X+XXX IO SIA. X+XXX
		DISCIPLINE: MINICIPAI INFRASTRIICTURE
		SCALE: -10m 0 10m 20m
40 20 20		HORIZONTAL: 1:500
	STATION	-2m 0 2m 4m VERTICAL: 1:100
Ξ. m		PROJECT No: C14-0454 -
116.4		DRAFTER: DESIGNER: DRAWING No:
I I		CHECKER: APPROVER: PP-W2
	WATERMAIN DATA	D.C. D.C. DATE: SHEET No:
		August, 2021 OF



ima-C14)Proiects\C14-0454-Tribuite Cohourg F Ext Servicing (Tribuite Communities\\400-Drawings and Sketches\410-WIP\ AutoCAD\C14-0454-TribuiteServicing-SHEFTS-PP-W

Haon		HWY 401 HAWLTON TOWNSHIP TOWN OF CORDURG TELGIN ST W CORDURG TELGIN ST W CORDURG TELGIN ST E CORDURG CO
ABRUTE PVC INSTALLATION BY HDD)	F-	CV RAILWAY ICQUNTY RD 2 IS ILCOMMINE
64 8 8	2	
		KEY PLAN (N.T.S.) benchmark:
		BMx xxxxx
		IT IS THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CONTRACTOR TO INFORM THEMSELVES OF THE EXACT LOCATION OF, AND ASSUME ALL LIABILITY FOR DAMAGE TO ALL UTILITIES SERVICES AND STRUCTURES WHETHER ABOVE GROUND OR BELOW GRADE BEFORE COMMENCING THE WORK. SUCH INFORMATION IS NOT NECESSARILY SHOWN ON THE DRAWING, AND WHERE SHOWN, THE ACCURACY CANNOT BE GUARANTEED. WITH THE SOLE EXCEPTION OF THE BENCHMARK(S) SPECIFICALLY
		HEREON IS TO BE USED AS A REFERENCE ELEVATION FOR ANY PURPOSE.
		ALL DIMENSIONS AND INFORMATION SHALL BE CHECKED AND VERIFIED ON THE JOB AND ANY DISCREPANCIES MUST BE REPORTED TO THE MUNICIPALITY BEFORE COMMENCING THE WORK. DRAWINGS ARE NOT TO
NFORTH ROAD	1	BE SCALED. C L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L
Image: Second	120	CLIENT: Tribute 35 35 VER 55 VER 5
	118	PROJECT NAME:
	116	COBOURG TRAILS EXTERNAL SERVICING
	114	_
		STAMPS:
	112	
	110	
	108	DESIGNED BY APPROVED BY
	106	
		01 2021–11–18 REF. FOR GEOTECHNICAL WORKS D.C.
	104	NO. Date Description By
	102	PLAN AND PROFILE DENSMORE ROAD WATERMAIN STA. X+XXX TO STA. X+XXX
		DISCIPLINE: MUNICIPAL INFRASTRUCTURF
		SCALE:10m0 10m 20m
0+740	STATION	HORIZONTAL: 1:500 -2m 0 2m 4m VERTICAL: 1:100 PROJECT No:
114.44		C14-0454 - DRAFTER: DESIGNER: DRAWING No: G.M. M.C. -
	WATERMAIN DATA	CHECKER: APPROVER: PP-W3 D.C. D.C. DATE: SHEET No:
		August, 2021 OF





GARAGE GATE	NT. CSP/ TTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTT		IT IS THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CONTRACTOR TO INFORM THEMSELVES OF THE EXACT LOCATION OF, AND ASSUME ALL LIABILITY FOR DAMAGE OF THE EXACT LOCATION OF, AND ASSUME ALL LIABILITY FOR DAMAGE OF THE EXACT LOCATION OF, THE DONTRACTOR TO INFORM THEMSELVES NOT NECESSARILY SHOWN ON THE DRAWING, AND WHERE SHOWN, THE ALL DIMENSIONS, AND INFORMATION SHALL BE CHECKED AND VERIFIED ON
		120 118 116	The JOB AND ANY DISCREPANCIES MUST BE REPORTED TO THE MUNICIPALITY BEFORE COMMENCING THE WORK. DRAWINGS ARE NOT TO BE SCALED. CLENT: CLENT: COBOURG TRAILS COBOURG TRAILS COBOURG TRAILS COBOURG TRAILS COBOURG TRAILS CALENT: COBOURG TRAILS COBOURG TRAILS COBOURG TRAILS
Image: selection of the		 112 110 108 106 104 	DESIGNED BY APPROVED BY - - - Description
117.31	117.59	102 STATION	PLAN AND PROFILE DANFORTH ROAD WATERMAIN STA. X+XXX TO STA. X+XXX DISCIPLINE: MUNICIPAL INFRASTRUCTURE SCALE: -10m 0 10m 20m HORIZONTAL: 1:500 -2m 0 2m 4m VERTICAL: 1:100 PROJECT NO: C14-0454 DRAFTER: DESIGNER: G.M. M.C. CHECKER: APPROVER:





the second secon		HWY 401 HANK TON TOWNSHIP ROWH CELCOPOURG -ELGIN ST W ELGIN ST E CAV RAIL WAY
WATERMAIN VC INSTALLATION BY HDD) T		LAKE ONTARIO
		KEY PLAN (N.T.S.)
CAP WATERMAIN FOR CONNECTION TO FUTURE STREET 'L'		BENCHMARK: BMx xxxx
		IT IS THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CONTRACTOR TO INFORM THEMSELVES OF THE EXACT LOCATION OF, AND ASSUME ALL LIABILITY FOR DAMAGE TO ALL UTILITIES SERVICES AND STRUCTURES WHETHER ABOVE GROUND OR BELOW GRADE BEFORE COMMENCING THE WORK. SUCH INFORMATION IS NOT NECESSARILY SHOWN ON THE DRAWING, AND WHERE SHOWN, THE ACCURACY CANNOT BE GUARANTEED. WITH THE SOLE EXCEPTION OF THE BENCHMARK(S) SPECIFICALLY DESCRIBED FOR THIS PROJECT, NO ELEVATION INDICATED OR ASSUMED HEREON IS TO BE USED AS A REFERENCE ELEVATION FOR ANY PURPOSE.
		ALL DIMENSIONS AND INFORMATION SHALL BE CHECKED AND VERIFIED ON THE JOB AND ANY DISCREPANCIES MUST BE REPORTED TO THE MUNICIPALITY BEFORE COMMENCING THE WORK. DRAWINGS ARE NOT TO BE SCALED.
		2nd Floor - 415 Baseline Road West, Bowmanville, ON L1C 5M2 Phone: 905-697-4464 www.cima.ca
ABOVE	120	Tribute 35 communities
	118	PROJECT NAME:
BY HDD)	116	EXTERINAL SERVICING
	114	STAMPS:
	112	
	110	
	108	DESIGNED BY APPROVED BY - - - - - -
	106	
	104	012021–11–18REF. FOR GEOTECHNICAL WORKSD.C.No.DateDescriptionBySHEET TITLE:
	102	PLAN AND PROFILE DANFORTH ROAD WATERMAIN STA. X+XXX TO STA. X+XXX
		DISCIPLINE: MUNICIPAL INFRASTRUCTURE
1+200	STATION	HORIZONTAL: 1:500 10m 20m -2m 0 2m 4m VERTICAL: 1:100 1:100 10m
		PROJECT NO: C14-0454 CLIENT File No: - DRAFTER: DESIGNER: DRAWING No: G.M. M.C. PP-W5
	WATERMAIN DATA	D.C. D.C. D.C. D.C. D.C. D.C. D.C.









ONNECT TO EXIST BY OTHERS) WITH LEEVE COUP_ING. EQUIRED). LOCATI IELD BY THE CON	ING 400mmø WATERMAIN MECHANICALLY RESTRAINE INSTALL FILLER PIECE (IF ON TO BE VERIFIED IN THE TRACTOR	D		- ORIGINAL GROUND ABOVE PROP. WATERMAIN	BUTARY BUTARY	
TEE FOR FUTUR	■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■	ROP. 400mm WM			2.5m MIN.	
WATERMAN (B	Y OTHERS)		SENDING/RECEIVING 45° VERTICAL BENDS TRIBUTARY CR	PIT	PROP. 400mm WM IN 750mm PIPE CASING WATERMAIN INSTALLATION BY TRENCHLESS METHODS	
+020	+040	090+	+000	+120	+140	+160
97.21	97.19	97.13	97.11 0 0	96.85 96.83	95.17 0	97.22

WATERMAIN THEES THE REAL OF THE STREES THE S		Hwy 401 Hww.cd Cord/urg If used to the state of the sta
ND ABOVE AIN ATE ROAD PROFILE DAD NORTH)	116 114 112	
	108 106 104	STAMPS:
Image: set of the set of th	102 100 98	DESIGNED BY APPROVED BY - - 01 - FUNCTIONAL SERVICING REPORT D.C. No. Date Description By SHEET TITLE:
	96 94 STATION	COBOURG TRAILS WATERMAIN STA. X+XXX TO STA. X+XXX DISCIPLINE: MUNICIPAL INFRASTRUCTURE SCALE: HORIZONTAL: 1:500 -2m VERTICAL: 1:100 PROJECT No: CLIENT FILE No:
110.73		C14-0454 DRAFTER: DESIGNER: DRAWING No: G.M. M.C. PP-W11 CHECKER: APPROVER: PP-W11 D.C. D.C. SHEET No: August, 2021 OF

		Image: Strate
		IT IS THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CONTRACTOR TO INFORM THEMSELVES OF THE EXACT LOCATION OF, AND ASSUME ALL LIABILITY FOR DAMAGE TO ALL UTILITIES SERVICES AND STRUCTURES WHETHER ABOVE GROUND OR BELOW GRADE BEFORE COMMENCING THE WORK. SUCH INFORMATION IS NOT NECESSARILY SHOWN ON THE DRAWING, AND WHERE SHOWN, THE ACCURACY CANNOT BE GUARANTEED. WITH THE SOLE EXCEPTION OF THE BENCHMARK(S) SPECIFICALLY DESCRIBED FOR THIS PROJECT, NO ELEVATION INDICATED OR ASSUMED HEREON IS TO BE USED AS A REFERENCE ELEVATION FOR ANY PURPOSE. ALL DIMENSIONS AND INFORMATION SHALL BE CHECKED AND VERIFIED ON THE JOB AND ANY DISCREPANCIES MUST BE REPORTED TO THE MUNICIPALITY BEFORE COMMENCING THE WORK. DRAWINGS ARE NOT TO BE SCALED.
	116 114	And Floor - 415 Baseline Road West, Bowmanville, ON L1C 5M2 Phone: 905-697-4464 www.cima.ca
Image: second	112 110 108	COBOURG TRAILS EXTERNAL SERVICING -
Image: state stat	106 104 102	DESIGNED BY APPROVED BY - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
Image: select	100 98 96	- - - - - - - - 01 - FUNCTIONAL SERVICING REPORT D.C. No. Date Description By SHEET TITLE: PLAN AND PROFILE BROOK RD N WATERMAIN STA. X+XXX TO STA. X+XXX
	94 	DISCIPLINE: MUNICIPAL INFRASTRUCTURE SCALE: HORIZONTAL: 1:500
	STATION	VERTICAL: 1:100 2m 4m PROJECT No: C14-0454 CLIENT File No: DRAFTER: DESIGNER: DRAWING No: G.M. M.C. PPP-W13 D.C. D.C. D.C.
		August, 2021 OF
B

Appendix B: Conceptual Design of Sub-Trunk Sewers, CIMA+



SCHEMATIC PROFILE OF FUTURE SUB-TRUNK SANITARY SEWER

SUB-TRUNK NAME: ELGIN STREET Catchment id: e, i









SCHEMATIC PROFILE OF FUTURE SUB-TRUNK SANITARY SEWER

SUB-TRUNK NAME: KERR STREET TRIBUTARY NORTH CATCHMENT ID: O



							110		
							108		
							106		
00mmø) 75mmø)	50mmø)						104		
35m (9(38m (6 ⁻	.60m (4						102		
S = 90. N = 90.	.06 =						100		
.' .' Z Z	N						98		
							96		
							94		
							92		
%							90		
							88		
0+280		0+300	0+320		0+340	0+350		STATION	

SCHEMATIC	PROFILE	OF	FUTURE	SUB-TRUNK	SANITARY	SEWER

SUB-TRUNK NAME: KERR SREET TRIBUTARY SOUTH CATCHMENT ID: N



. 102.1m	OF 450mm	SAN @ 0.5%	Structure – (587) STATION = 3+176 SIZE = 1200mmø T/G = 94.35m	INV. S = 90.10m (450mmø) INV. E = 90.04m (450mmø) INV. E = 90.04m (450mmø) INV. E = 90.04m (450mmø)		FUT. 15	59.8m OF 4	-50mm SAN	N @ 0.5%		SA-E22 SA-E22 STATION = 3+176	$\sum_{i=1}^{N/C} \sum_{j=1}^{N/C} \sum_{i=1}^{N/C} \sum_{j=1}^{N/C} $		
1+040	1+060	1+080	1+100	1+120	1+140	1+160	1+180	1+200	1+220	1+240	1+260	1+280	1+300	



SCHEMATIC PROFILE OF FUTURE SUB-TRUNK SANITARY SEWER

SUB-TRUNK NAME: MASSEY CREEK TRIBUTARY CATCHMENT ID: Q



							120	
							118	
							116	
							114	
							112	
							110	
							110	
							108	
							106	
							104	
		(\$) (\$)					102	
		00mm 50mr					100	
	8 B	n (45 3m (45 m (45					98	
	(6) 2+0 00mm	19m 37.12r 86.7 36.67					06	
	H_MC						90	
	SANMI STATIC SIZE =						94	
							92	
							90	
							88	
	Ľ						86	
							84	
							82	
							02	
80	00	20	40	09	80	00		
0+8	6+0	6+0	6+0	6+0	6+0	1+0		STATION



C

Appendix C: Summary of Design Criteria for Preliminary Design, CIMA+



Summary of Design Criteria Used for External Servicing Design

Description	Reference	Proposed Standards									
	SANITARY SE	WER DESIGN CRITERIA									
DESIGN FLOW											
		New Residential: Average Flow - 364 L/person/day Infiltration - 22.5 m ³ /gross/ha/day Harmon Peaking Factor (K_h) = 1 + (14 / (4+P^(1 / 2))), where P is population in thousands K_h Maximum - 3.8 K_h Minimum - 1.5									
Design Flow	Design Guidelines for the Corporation of the Town of Cobourg, Revised April 2015	Commericial: Design Flow - Site Specific or to MECP Standards (180m ³ /gross ha/day incl. Infiltration and Peaking Effect) Floor Space Index - 0.50 gross lot area unless known Industrial: Design Flow - 180 m ³ /gross ha/day incl. Infiltration and Peaking Effect for Local									
		Sewers Institutional: Flow - 112 m ³ /gross ha/day incl. Infiltration and Peaking Effect									
Sewage Flow Velocity	Design Guidelines for the Corporation of the Town of Cobourg, Revised April 2015	Minimum - 0.6 m/s Maximum - 3.0 m/s									
ALIGNMENT AND PROFILE											
	Design Guidelines for the Corporation of the Town of Cobourg, Revised April 2015	Sewers shall be located at centerline and minimum 3.0m from storm sewers.									
Location	F-6-1 Proceaures to Govern Separation of Sewers and Watermains, Ministry of Environment, Conservation and Parks, Revised July 2021	Minimum 2.5m horizontal separation from watermain. Where not possible, 0.5m vertical separation is required (measured from invert of watermain to crown of sanitary sewer).									
Minimum Slope	Design Guidelines for the Corporation of the Town of Cobourg, Revised April 2015	NPS- 21 (525mm) 0.10% NPS-24 (600mm) 0.08% NPS-27 (675mm) 0.067% NPS-30 (750mm) 0.058% NPS-36 (900mm) 0.046%									
Minimum Depth	Design Guidelines for the Corporation of the Town of Cobourg, Revised April 2015	Residential, Commercial, Institutional - 2.75m Industrial - 2.15m *Measured from final centerline road elevation to the top of the sewer.									
Minimum Depth at Creek Crossing	Design Guidelines for Sewage Works, Ministry of the Environment, 2008 (Section 5.12)	0.9m of cover, where the sewer is not located in rock 0.3 m of cover, where the sewer is located in rock									
Alignment	Design Guidelines for the Corporation of the Town of Cobourg, Revised April 2015	All sanitary sewers shall be laid in a straight line between maintenance holes.									
Alignment (Stream Crossing)	Design Guidelines for Sewage Works, Ministry of the Environment, 2008 (Section 5.12)	As nearly perpendicular to the stream flow as possible and should not change grade. Sewer systems should be designed to minimize the number of stream crossings									
Maintenance Hole Frequency	Design Guidelines for the Corporation of the Town of Cobourg, Revised April 2015	At each change in alignment, grade, pipe material and at all junctions.									

Description	Reference	Proposed Standards								
Maintenance Hole Spacing	Design Guidelines for the Corporation of the Town of Cobourg, Revised April 2015	200 - 750mm Diameter - 90m Max Spacing 825 - 1200mm Diameter - 125m Max Spacing								
Maximum Maintenance Hole Change in Direction	Design Guidelines for the Corporation of the Town of Cobourg, Revised April 2015	90 Degrees								
Drop Structure	Design Guidelines for the Corporation of the Town of Cobourg, Revised April 2015	If the inlet and outlet elevation difference is greater than 0.25m, then a drop structure shall be required.								
	Regional Municipality of Durham, Revised April 2017	S-100.080 - Drop Structures for Maintenance Holes								
		Where pipe size doesn't change through a maintenance hole and the upstream flow velocity does not exceed 1.5m/s, the following allowances shall be made to compensate for hydraulic losses:								
Drop Across Maintenance Hole	Design Guidelines for the Corporation of the Town of Cobourg, Revised April 2015	Alignment ChangeDrop Requiredstraight rungrade of sewer15-45 degrees0.03m45-90 degrees0.06m								
		The obvert(s) on the upstream side of a maintenance hole shall not be lower than the obvert(s) on the downstream side of the maintenance hole.								
Safety Gratings	Design Guidelines for the Corporation of the Town of Cobourg, Revised April 2015	Required in all maintenance holes greater than 5.0m deep. Maximum grate spacing, 5.0m. OPSD 404.020 - Aluminum Safety Platform for Circular Maintenance Holes OPSD 404.022 - Aluminum Safety Platform for 1800 mm Diameter Circular								
	Design Guidelines for the Corporation of the Town of Cobourg, Revised April 2015	Non-Industrial Areas: 200 - 600mm Diameter - PVC Greater than 600mm Diameter - RCP OPSD 807.010 Height of Fill Table for RCP								
Pipe Material	Design Guidelines for Sewage Works, Ministry of the Environment, 2008 (Section 5.12)	Sewers entering or crossing streams should be constructed of ductile iron pipe with mechanical joints; otherwise, they should be constructed to remain watertight without changes in alignment or grade. Material used to backfill the trench should be stone, coarse aggregate, washed gravel, or other materials which will not readily erode, cause siltation, damage pipe during placement, or corrode the pipe.								
PIPELINE CROSSINGS AT RA	AILWAY									
Canadian Pacific Rail - Angle of Crossings	CPR Utility Specification and Application Process - P. 5	Approximately 90 degrees. Preferably not less than 45 degrees.								
Canadian Pacific Rail - Location	CPR Utility Specification and Application Process - P. 5	Not in culverts or under railway bridges.								
Canadian Pacific Rail - Allowed Concrete Pipe Material	CPR Specification No. SP-TS-2.39: Pipeline and Utility Installations Buried within Canadian Pacific Railway Right-of-Way; Appendix A2 - Design and Installation of Buried Non-Flammable Substance Pipelines; A2.1.2 Reinforced Concrete Carrier Pipelines	Uncased Class IV or V Reinforced Concrete Pipe - When designed for external applied pressures and installed in accordance with TCE- 10 Section 6, may be used <u>under mainline tracks</u> for non-pressure sewer crossings.								

	CPR Specification No. SP-TS-2.39:	
	Pipeline and Utility Installations	
	Buried within Canadian Pacific	The greater of the depth below frost line, 1.68m below bottom of rail within 7.6m of
Canadian Pacific Rail - Minimum RCP	Railway Right-of-Way; Appendix A2 -	the track centerline (measured perpendicular to the track centerline), and 0.91m
Cover for Mainline Tracks	Design and Installation of Buried	below grade or bottom of ditch within all portions of the ROW where the pipe is not
	Non-Flammable Substance	directly under the track.
	Pipelines; A2.1.2 Reinforced	
	Concrete Carrier Pipelines	

Description	Reference	Proposed Standards							
Canadian Pacific Rail - Minimum Length of Casing Pipe for RCP Carrier Pipelines	CPR Specification No. SP-TS-2.39: Pipeline and Utility Installations Buried within Canadian Pacific Railway Right-of-Way; Appendix A2 - Design and Installation of Buried Non-Flammable Substance Pipelines; A2.1.2 Reinforced Concrete Carrier Pipelines	- The greater of 0.60m beyond the toe of slope, 1.0m beyond ditch, and 7.6m beyon centerline of nearest track.							
Canadian National Rail - Minimum Depth Below Bottom of Rail	CN - Sanitary Pipeline Crossing Application	Minimum 5.5ft (1.68m) or Below Frost Line							
CN - Minimum Depth Below Ditch Bottom	CN - Sanitary Pipeline Crossing Application	Minimum 3ft or Below Frost Line							
CN - Minimum Concrete Pipe Class	CN - Sanitary Pipeline Crossing Application	Class V							
CN - Closest Allowed Point of Excavation	CN - Sanitary Pipeline Crossing Application	Point Location - Starting 10ft from the inside of the nearest rail, measured perpendicular to the rail, sloped to the bottom of the proposed pipe at 1.5 : 1 slope.							
CN - Casing Requirements	CN - Sanitary Pipeline Crossing Application	Sewer pipelines shall be encased in a casing pipe except for non-pressure sewer crossings where the strength of the pipe and its joints are capable of withstanding railway loading, as approved by an Engineer.							
CN - Carrier and Casing Design Loadings	CN - Sanitary Pipeline Crossing Application	Designed for cooper E90 loading.							
TC - Carrier and Casing Design Requirements	TC E-10	Conform to the applicable requirements of AREMA Ch. 1 Section 5.3 with materials in conformance with CSA standards. Joints of carrier pipe shall be leakproof mechanical or welded type. Steel carrier/casing least nominal wall thickness in accordance with CSA Z662-99 as amended in Section 5 of TC E-10.							
TC - Casing Pipe Material	TC E-10	RCP conforming to AREMA Manual for Railway Engineering Chapter 8 "Concrete Structures and Foundations", Part 10 "Reinforced Concrete Culvert Pipe" or Corrugated Metal Pipe conforming to AREMA Manual Chapter 1, Part 4 are acceptable where carrier pipe pressures are under 700 kPa.							
LONGITUDINAL PIPELINES /	PIPELINES ADJACENT TO TH	IE RAILWAY							
Canadian Pacific - Longitudinal Pipes	CPR Utility Specification and Application Process - P. 5	Longitudinal pipelines within 7.62m of the track centerline or important structure shall be encased. Length of casing shall be full length of pipe falling within 7.62m of the track or important structure. Minimum thicknesses for steel casing pipes provided on P. 6 of the CPR Utility Specification document. Casings to be designed for E80 Loading.							
Canadian Pacific - RCP Design Requirements	CPR Specification No. SP-TS-2.39: Pipeline and Utility Installations Buried within Canadian Pacific Railway Right-of-Way; Appendix A2 - Design and Installation of Buried Non-Flammable Substance Pipelines; A2.2.1 Steel and Reinforced Concrete Carrier Pipelines	In accordance with Road Crossings in CSA Standard Z662, latest edition.							

Description	Reference	Proposed Standards
Canadian Pacific - Minimum Cover for Adjacent Pipelines Less Than 15.4m from Nearest Track Centerline	CPR Specification No. SP-TS-2.39: Pipeline and Utility Installations Buried within Canadian Pacific Railway Right-of-Way; Appendix A2 - Design and Installation of Buried Non-Flammable Substance Pipelines; A2.2.1 Steel and Reinforced Concrete Carrier Pipelines	Greater of 1.22m or Depth Below Frost Line
Canadian Pacific - Minimum Cover for Adjacent Pipelines More Than 15.4m from Nearest Track Centerline	CPR Specification No. SP-TS-2.39: Pipeline and Utility Installations Buried within Canadian Pacific Railway Right-of-Way; Appendix A2 - Design and Installation of Buried Non-Flammable Substance Pipelines; A2.2.1 Steel and Reinforced Concrete Carrier	Greater of 0.91m or Depth Below Frost Line
	WATERMA	N DESIGN CRITERIA
OPERATING PRESSURES		
System Pressures	Design Guidelines for the Corporation of the Town of Cobourg, Revised April 2015	Maximum Sustained Operating Pressures - 700 kPa Under Maximum Day + Fire Flow Demands: 20 PSI Minimum Pressure Under Normal Operating Conditions: 40 PSI Minimum Pressure
Pressure Reducing Valve Requirement	Design Guidelines for the Corporation of the Town of Cobourg, Revised April 2015	Pressure Reducing Valves shall be used to limit maximum static pressure to not more than 550 kPa in areas that may be occupied.
ALIGNMENT AND PROFILE		
Minimum Size of Pipe	Design Guidelines for the Corporation of the Town of Cobourg, Revised April 2015	Residential - 200mm Diameter Industrial, Commercial, Institutional - 300mm Diameter
Minimum Depth of Pipe	Design Guidelines for the Corporation of the Town of Cobourg, Revised April 2015	Minimum 1.80m cover measured from top of pipe to finished centerline grade.
Dead Ends	Design Guidelines for the Corporation of the Town of Cobourg, Revised April 2015	Wherever possible, distribution systems should be designed to loop. Where dead-ends cannot be avoided, fire hydrants shall be provided for flushing purposes.
Location	Design Guidelines for the Corporation of the Town of Cobourg, Revised April 2015	 - 4.25m from Property Line - Located on North or East Side of the Road Hydrants located minimum 1.0m from centerline of the hydrant secondary valve and 1.5m from centerline of the watermain. Hydrants to be located in the boulevard a minimum 1.0m from all utilities. No other utilities shall be located between the hdyrant and the watermain.
Horizontal Separation	Design Guidelines for the Corporation of the Town of Cobourg, Revised April 2015	2.50m minimum horizontal separation from any sewer or sewer maintenance hole, measured from the nearest edge.
Sewer Crossings	Design Guidelines for the Corporation of the Town of Cobourg, Revised April 2015	Watermains shall cross above sewers with minimum 0.3m vertical clearence.
	Design Guidelines for the	Where watermains cross over or under utilities other than sewers, the clearance and type of crossing, provided shall conform to the requirements of the particular utility

Utility Crossings	Corporation of the Town of Cobourg, Revised April 2015	involved and provide proper bedding and structural support of the watermain and utility.
Mainline Valves	Design Guidelines for the Corporation of the Town of Cobourg, Revised April 2015	300mm Diameter or Less - Use Resilient Seat Gate Valves 400mm Diameter or Larger - Use Butterfly Valves
Number of Valves	Design Guidelines for the Corporation of the Town of Cobourg, Revised April 2015	Tee Intersection - 3 Valves Minimum Cross Intersection - 4 Valves Minimum Valves shall be located at the point where the projection of the street linte intersects the watermain.
Air/Vaccum Valves	Design Guidelines for the Corporation of the Town of Cobourg, Revised April 2015	Required at significant high points on feedermains.

Description	Reference	Proposed Standards								
Drain Valves	Design Guidelines for the Corporation of the Town of Cobourg, Revised April 2015	Required at low points for watermains 450mm diameter or greater.								
Valve Boxes	Design Guidelines for the Corporation of the Town of Cobourg, Revised April 2015	400mm Diameter or Smaller - Installation of Valves Required in Valve Boxes Larger Than 400mm Diameter - Installation of Valves in Valve Chambers								
Hydrant Spacing	Design Guidelines for the Corporation of the Town of Cobourg, Revised April 2015	Residential - 150m Maximum Industrial, Commercial, Institutional - 75m Maximum								
Materials	Design Guidelines for the Corporation of the Town of Cobourg, Revised April 2015	150-200mm Diameter - PVC 400mm Diameter and Larger - Reinforced Concrete Pressure Pipe 200-400mm Diameter - Ductile Iron								

D

Appendix D: Cobourg Trails External Servicing Sanitary Sewer Design Sheet, CIMA+



MUNICIPALITY: Town of Cobourg

PROJECT: Cobourg Trails External Servicing - External Trunk Sewer

FROM: Elgin Street at Future Street 'A' Cobourg Trails Phase 1

TO: Willmott Street at Thompson Street (Connect to Existing Trunk)

PROJECT NO.: C14-0454

NOTES:

MINIMUM VELOCITY = 0.60 m/s

- 3)
- MAXIMUM VELOCITY = 3.00 m/s
- RESIDENTIAL INFILTRATION 0.26 l/s = 22.5 m3/Ha/DAY

INDUSTRIAL 2.08 I/s (local sewers) 1.04 I/s (trunk sewers)

RESIDENTIAL INFILTRATION 0.52 l/s = 45.0 m3/Ha/DAY (Foundation DraIn Connections)

4)

1)

2)

5) 6) USING NOMINAL METRIC PIPE DIAMTER (mm) 7) 8)

0.000	Contributing Catchment Area and Sewer Section Identification				RESIDE	NTIAL		COMMERCIAL		INDUST.	INSTIT.	ENVIRO.	FLOW IN LITRES PER SECOND						PROPOSED SEWER									
Contr	ibuting Catchment Area a	ind Se	wer Section Identif	rication	GROSS	POP.		PEAK	LOT	FLOOR	FLOOR	LOT	LOT	LOT	RESIDENT	TIAL FLOW	COMM.	INDUS.	INSTIT.	ENVIRO.	PUMPING	TOTAL	ACT.	SLOPE	Q	\	/	
	1		Maintona		AREA		POP.	FLOW	AREA	SPACE	AREA	AREA	AREA	AREA	INFIL.	SEWAGE	2.08	2.09	1.2	INFIL.	STATION	FLOW	PIPE	9/	1/2			
Street Name /			Maintena		(па)	(PERSONS/		FACTOR	(⊓a)	INDEX	(na)	(na)	(na)	(па)	0.26	0.004213	2.08	2.08	1.3	0.26	FLOW		SIZE	70	1/5	FULL	ACTUAL	% CAPACITY
Location	Catachment Area	ID		D/C		PER Ha)									l/s	l/s/person	l/s	l/s	l/s	l/s	l/s	l/s	(mm)			m/s	m/s	
		•	0/3		4.00	00.00	10.1		0.00	see note 7	0.00	0.01			see note 3													
		A		Cohourg Troile Dh1 2	4.66	90.29	421		0.00	50%	0.00	8.91	0	0														
Elgin Street		В	7 Sub	-Trunk	11.66	90.29	1053		0.00	50%	0.00	0	0	0														
	External and Internal Areas to	С	North East External T Ph1,3-7 \$	hrough Cobourg Trails Sub-Trunk	0.00	90.29	0		0.00	50%	0.00	19.21	0	0														
	Tribute Phases 1, 3-7	D	North External Through 7 Sub	n Cobourg Trails Ph1,3- -Trunk	2.52	90.29	228		0.00	50%	0.00	9.59	0	0														
	(Summed to SA-18 - E2)	Е	South External Throug 7 Sub	h Cobourg Trails Ph1,3 [.] -Trunk	2.27	90.29	205		0.00	50%	0.00	0	0	0														
		F1	Cobourg Trails Internal	Sub-Trunk (Phase 1, 3	52.78		3001		0.95	50%	0.48	0	2.63	0														
		G	West External Through 7 Sub	n Cobourg Trails Ph1,3- -Trunk	1.54	90.29	139		0.00	50%	0.00	0	0	0														
			SA-18	SA-E2	75.43		5,046	3.24	0.95		0.48	37.71	2.63	0.00	19.61	68.91	0.99	78.44	3.42	0.00	0.00	171.36	525	0.40	272.15	1.26	1.32	63%
			SA-E2	SA-E3	75.43		5,046	3.24	0.00		0.48	37.71	2.63	0.00	19.61	68.91	0.99	78.44	3.42	0.00	0.00	171.36	525	0.40	272.00	1.26	1.32	63%
			SA-E3	SA-E4	75.43		5,046	3.24	0.00		0.48	37.71	2.63	0.00	19.61	68.91	0.99	78.44	3.42	0.00	0.00	171.36	525	0.40	271.84	1.26	1.32	63%
			SA-E4	SA-E5	75.43		5,046	3.24	0.00		0.48	37.71	2.63	0.00	19.61	68.91	0.99	78.44	3.42	0.00	0.00	171.36	525	0.42	279.58	1.29	1.35	61%
	External Sub-Catchments	Н	Brook Road East S	Side Direct to Trunk	6.55	90.29	591		0.00	50%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.10														
	(Summed to E5 - E6)	I	Elgin Stree	t Sub-Trunk	5.13	90.29	463		0.00	50%	0.00	0.00	0.00	3.02														
		F2	Cobourg Trails Ph 7	via Elgin Street Sub unk	6.98		1,938		0.00	50%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00														
Brook Road			SA-E5	SA-E6	94.09		8,039	3.05	0.00		0.48	37.71	2.63	3.12	24.46	103.23	0.99	78.44	3.42	0.81	0.00	211.35	600	0.29	333.05	1.18	1.24	63%
			SA-E6	SA-E7	94.09		8,039	3.05	0.00		0.48	37.71	2.63	3.12	24.46	103.23	0.99	78.44	3.42	0.81	0.00	211.35	600	0.30	338.36	1.20	1.25	62%
	External Sub-Catchments (Summed to E7 - E8)	J	Cobourg Trails Pha	se 2 Direct to Trunk	5.30		301		0.00	50%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.25														
			SA-E7	SA-E8-A	99.39		8,340	3.03	0.00		0.48	37.71	2.63	3.37	25.84	106.55	0.99	78.44	3.42	0.88	0.00	216.11	600	0.29	330.70	1.17	1.24	65%
			SA-E8-A	SA-E8-B	99.39		8,340	3.03	0.00		0.48	37.71	2.63	3.37	25.84	106.55	0.99	78.44	3.42	0.88	0.00	216.11	600	0.31	340.84	1.21	1.27	63%
			SA-E8-B	SA-E9	99.39		8,340	3.03	0.00		0.48	37.71	2.63	3.37	25.84	106.55	0.99	78.44	3.42	0.88	0.00	216.11	600	0.30	333.82	1.18	1.25	65%
Phase 2 Internal			SA-E9	SA-E10	99.39		8,340	3.03	0.00		0.48	37.71	2.63	3.37	25.84	106.55	0.99	78.44	3.42	0.88	0.00	216.11	600	0.29	332.66	1.18	1.24	65%
Routing			SA-E10	SA-E11	99.39		8,340	3.03	0.00		0.48	37.71	2.63	3.37	25.84	106.55	0.99	78.44	3.42	0.88	0.00	216.11	600	0.30	333.69	1.18	1.25	65%
			SA-E11	SA-E12-A	99.39		8,340	3.03	0.00		0.48	37.71	2.63	3.37	25.84	106.55	0.99	78.44	3.42	0.88	0.00	216.11	600	0.30	335.67	1.19	1.25	64%
			SA-E12-A	SA-E12-B	99.39		8,340	3.03	0.00		0.48	37.71	2.63	3.37	25.84	106.55	0.99	78.44	3.42	0.88	0.00	216.11	600	0.30	334.59	1.18	1.25	65%

DESIGNEL CWS CHECKED MC MANNING'S "n" : 0.013 DATE: 01/26/22 TIME: 04:10 PM

EXISTING CONDITION INCLUDES COMMITTED DEVELOPMENT

COMMERCIAL FLOOR SPACE INDEX=50% UNLESS OTHERWISE KNOWN

SLOPES IN RED TEXT ARE USED FOR CAPACITY CALCULATIONS AND ARE FLATTER THAN SLOPES SHOWN ON DESIGN DRAWINGS

TO PROVIDE FLEXIBILITY FOR FUTURE ADJUSTMENT OF SEWER ALIGNMENT

MUNICIPALITY: Town of Cobourg

PROJECT: Cobourg Trails External Servicing - External Trunk Sewer

FROM: Elgin Street at Future Street 'A' Cobourg Trails Phase 1

TO: Willmott Street at Thompson Street (Connect to Existing Trunk)

PROJECT NO.: C14-0454

NOTES:

MINIMUM VELOCITY = 0.60 m/s

3)

1)

2)

- MAXIMUM VELOCITY = 3.00 m/s
- RESIDENTIAL INFILTRATION 0.26 l/s = 22.5 m3/Ha/DAY

INDUSTRIAL 2.08 I/s (local sewers) 1.04 I/s (trunk sewers)

RESIDENTIAL INFILTRATION 0.52 l/s = 45.0 m3/Ha/DAY (Foundation DraIn Connections)

4)

5) 6) USING NOMINAL METRIC PIPE DIAMTER (mm) 7) 8)

TO PROVIDE FLEXIBILITY FOR FUTURE ADJUSTMENT OF SEWER ALIGNMENT

					RESIDE	NTIAL		C	OMMERCIA	L	INDUST.	INSTIT.	ENVIRO.			FL	OW IN LITRES	S PER SECC	OND					PROPO	SED SEWE	R	
Contri	buting Catchment Area and Se	ewer Section Identif	fication	GROSS	POP.		PEAK	LOT	FLOOR	FLOOR	LOT	LOT	LOT	RESIDENT	TIAL FLOW	COMM.	INDUS.	INSTIT.	ENVIRO	PUMPING	τοται	ACT.	SLOPE	Q		V	
		1		AREA	DENSITY	POP.	FLOW	AREA	SPACE	AREA	AREA	AREA	AREA	INFIL.	SEWAGE				INFIL.	STATION	FLOW	PIPE					
Street Name /		Maintena	ance Hole	(Ha)	(PERSONS/		FACTOR	(Ha)	INDEX	(Ha)	(Ha)	(Ha)	(Ha)	0.26	0.004213	2.08	2.08	1.3	0.26	FLOW		SIZE	%	l/s	FULL	ACTUAL	% CAPACITY
Location	Catachment Area ID				PER Ha)									l/s	l/s/person	l/s	l/s	l/s	l/s	l/s	l/s	(mm)			m/s	m/s	
		U/S	D/S						see note 7					see note 3													
		SA-E12-B	SA-E13	99.39		8,340	3.03	0.00		0.48	37.71	2.63	3.37	25.84	106.55	0.99	78.44	3.42	0.88	0.00	216.11	600	0.29	332.38	1.18	1.25	65%
		SA-E13	SA-E14	99.39		8,340	3.03	0.00		0.48	37.71	2.63	3.37	25.84	106.55	0.99	78.44	3.42	0.88	0.00	216.11	600	0.30	338.43	1.20	1.26	64%
Phase 2 Internal Routing		SA-E14	SA-E15	99.39		8,340	3.03	0.00		0.48	37.71	2.63	3.37	25.84	106.55	0.99	78.44	3.42	0.88	0.00	216.11	600	0.30	335.00	1.18	1.25	65%
Kouting		SA-E15	SA-E16	99.39		8,340	3.03	0.00		0.48	37.71	2.63	3.37	25.84	106.55	0.99	78.44	3.42	0.88	0.00	216.11	600	0.30	333.71	1.18	1.25	65%
		SA-E16	SA-E17	99.39		8,340	3.03	0.00		0.48	37.71	2.63	3.37	25.84	106.55	0.99	78.44	3.42	0.88	0.00	216.11	600	0.32	347.56	1.23	1.29	62%
		SA-E17	SA-E18	99.39		8,340	3.03	0.00		0.48	37.71	2.63	3.37	25.84	106.55	0.99	78.44	3.42	0.88	0.00	216.11	600	0.29	329.20	1.16	1.23	66%
		SA-E18	SA-E19-A	99.39		8,340	3.03	0.00		0.48	37.71	2.63	3.37	25.84	106.55	0.99	78.44	3.42	0.88	0.00	216.11	600	0.31	341.39	1.21	1.27	63%
	External Sub-Catchments	SA-E19-A	SA-E19-B	99.39	00.00	8,340	3.03	0.00	500/	0.48	37.71	2.63	3.37	25.84	106.55	0.99	78.44	3.42	0.88	0.00	216.11	600	0.30	334.15	1.18	1.25	65%
	(Summed to E19-B - E20-A)			47.00	90.29	4,298	2.95	0.00	50%	0.00	8.00	0.00	12.18	20.22	151.00	0.00	06.45	2 42	4.04	0.00	205 02	675	0.20	457.00	1 20	1.25	659/
	External Sub-Catchments	Brook Road Wes	SA-E20-A	0.00	90.29	0	2.00	0.00	50%	0.40	40.37	2.03	0.00	30.22	151.90	0.99	90.45	3.42	4.04	0.00	295.02	075	0.30	407.22	1.20	1.55	0376
	(Summed to E20-A - E20-B)	SA-E20-A	SA-E20-B	146.99	30.23	12.637	2.85	0.00	5078	0.48	63.34	2.63	15.55	38.22	151.90	0.99	131.75	3.42	4.04	0.00	330.31	900	0.30	991.55	1.56	1.36	33%
	External Sub-Catchments	Brook Creek East Trib	utary South Sub-Trunk	50.19	90.29	4,532		0.00	50%	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.25														
	(Summed to E20-B - E21)	East Village North	- Northern Portion	16.06		1,499		0.00	50%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00														
Brook Road		SA-E20-B	SA-E21	213.24		18,668	2.68	0.00		0.48	63.34	2.63	31.80	55.44	210.98	0.99	131.75	3.42	8.27	0.00	410.84	750	0.30	613.19	1.39	1.48	67%
		SA-E21	SA-E22	213.24		18,668	2.68	0.00		0.48	63.34	2.63	31.80	55.44	210.98	0.99	131.75	3.42	8.27	0.00	410.84	750	0.30	612.47	1.39	1.48	67%
	External Sub-Catchments (Summed to E22- E23-A)	Future Kerr St	reet Sub-Trunk	0.04	90.29	3		5.33	50%	2.66	0.00	0.00	2.51														
		SA-E22	SA-E23-A	213.27		18,671	2.68	5.33		3.14	63.34	2.63	34.31	55.45	211.01	6.53	131.75	3.42	8.92	0.00	417.07	750	0.53	812.25	1.84	1.85	51%
	External Sub-Catchments (Summed to E23-A- E23-B) M1	East Village North	- Southern Portion	24.21		2,322		0.00	50%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00														
	M2	Allowance for Poter Flows from Sub-C	ntial Non-Residential Catchment M1 / M2	0.00		0.00		0.00	50%	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00														
		SA-E23-A	SA-E23-B	237.48		20,993	2.63	0.00		3.14	63.34	3.63	34.31	61.75	232.73	6.53	131.75	4.72	8.92	0.00	446.39	750	0.30	606.40	1.37	1.50	74%
		SA-E23-B	SA-E24	237.48		20,993	2.63	0.00		3.14	63.34	3.63	34.31	61.75	232.73	6.53	131.75	4.72	8.92	0.00	446.39	750	0.30	608.84	1.38	1.50	73%
Internal Mistral		SA-E24	SA-E25	237.48		20,993	2.63	0.00		3.14	63.34	3.63	34.31	61.75	232.73	6.53	131.75	4.72	8.92	0.00	446.39	750	0.30	609.77	1.38	1.51	73%
Developments Inc. Land		SA-E25	SA-E26	237.48		20,993	2.63	0.00		3.14	63.34	3.63	34.31	61.75	232.73	6.53	131.75	4.72	8.92	0.00	446.39	750	0.30	609.77	1.38	1.51	73%
		SA-E26	SA-E27	237.48		20,993	2.63	0.00		3.14	63.34	3.63	34.31	61.75	232.73	6.53	131.75	4.72	8.92	0.00	446.39	750	0.30	609.77	1.38	1.51	73%

DESIGNEL CWS CHECKED MC MANNING'S "n" : 0.013 DATE: 01/26/22 TIME: 04:10 PM

EXISTING CONDITION INCLUDES COMMITTED DEVELOPMENT

COMMERCIAL FLOOR SPACE INDEX=50% UNLESS OTHERWISE KNOWN

SLOPES IN RED TEXT ARE USED FOR CAPACITY CALCULATIONS AND ARE FLATTER THAN SLOPES SHOWN ON DESIGN DRAWINGS

MUNICIPALITY: Town of Cobourg

PROJECT: Cobourg Trails External Servicing - External Trunk Sewer

FROM: Elgin Street at Future Street 'A' Cobourg Trails Phase 1

1)

2)

3)

4)

TO: Willmott Street at Thompson Street (Connect to Existing Trunk)

PROJECT NO.: C14-0454

NOTES:

MINIMUM VELOCITY = 0.60 m/s

MAXIMUM VELOCITY = 3.00 m/s

RESIDENTIAL INFILTRATION 0.26 l/s = 22.5 m3/Ha/DAY

RESIDENTIAL INFILTRATION 0.52 l/s = 45.0 m3/Ha/DAY (Foundation DraIn Connections) INDUSTRIAL 2.08 I/s (local sewers) 1.04 I/s (trunk sewers)

USING NOMINAL METRIC PIPE DIAMTER (mm)

5)

6)

7)

8)

	Contributing Catchment Area and Sewer Section Identification				RESIDE	ENTIAL			COMMERCIA	L	INDUST.	INSTIT.	ENVIRO.			FLC	OW IN LITRES	S PER SECO	ND					PROPO	SED SEWE	R		
Contri	buting Catchment Area a	and So	ewer Section Identi	ification	GPOSS	POP	I	DEVK		FLOOP	EL OOP						COMM					TOTAL	АСТ			,	/	
					AREA	DENSITY	POP.	FLOW	AREA	SPACE	AREA	AREA	AREA	AREA	INFIL.	SEWAGE	COlvilvi.	IND03.	INSTIT.	INFIL.	STATION	FLOW	PIPE	SLOPE			v	
			Mainten	ance Hole I	(Ha)	(PERSONS/		FACTOR	(Ha)	INDEX	(Ha)	(Ha)	(Ha)	(Ha)	0.26	0.004213	2.08	2.08	1.3	0.26	FLOW		SIZE	%	l/s	FULL	ACTUAL	% CAPACITY
Street Name / Location	Catachment Area	ID				PER Ha)									l/s	l/s/person	l/s	l/s	l/s	l/s	l/s	l/s	(mm)			m/s	m/s	
			U/S	D/S		,				see note 7					see note 3								~ /					
			SA-E27	SA-E28	237.48		20,993	2.63	0.00		3.14	63.34	3.63	34.31	61.75	232.73	6.53	131.75	4.72	8.92	0.00	446.39	750	0.30	609.77	1.38	1.51	73%
Internal Mistral			SA-E28	SA-E29	237.48		20,993	2.63	0.00		3.14	63.34	3.63	34.31	61.75	232.73	6.53	131.75	4.72	8.92	0.00	446.39	750	0.30	609.77	1.38	1.51	73%
Developments Inc. Land			SA-E29	SA-E30	237.48		20,993	2.63	0.00		3.14	63.34	3.63	34.31	61.75	232.73	6.53	131.75	4.72	8.92	0.00	446.39	750	0.30	609.77	1.38	1.51	73%
			SA-E30	SA-E32	237.48		20,993	2.63	0.00		3.14	63.34	3.63	34.31	61.75	232.73	6.53	131.75	4.72	8.92	0.00	446.39	750	0.30	609.77	1.38	1.51	73%
		Р	Railway	Sub-Trunk	9.78	90.29	883		0.00	50%	0.00	10.59	0.00	0.76														
Railway Crossing	(Summed to E30 - E32)	Q	Massey Cre	eek Sub-Trunk	38.88	90.29	3,510		0.00	50%	0.00	1.63	0.00	20.03														
			SA-E32	SA-E33-A	286.14		25.387	2.55	0.00		3.14	75.56	3.63	55.10	74.40	272.62	6.53	157.16	4.72	14.33	0.00	529.75	900	0.30	991.55	1.56	1.58	53%
			SA-E32-A	SA E22 B	286.14		25 297	2.55	0.00		3.14	75.56	3.63	55 10	74.40	272.62	6.53	157.16	4 72	14.22	0.00	520.75	825	0.20	770.07	1.46	1.56	68%
Unopened Willmott			SA E22 P	SA-E33-D	200.14		25,507	2.55	0.00		2.14	75.50	2.00	55.10	74.40	272.02	6.52	157.10	4.72	14.00	0.00	529.75	025	0.29	797.90	1.40	1.50	67%
Street ROW			ЗА-ЕЗЗ-В	SA-E34	200.14		25,367	2.00	0.00		0.14	75.50	3.03	55.10	74.40	272.02	0.55	157.10	4.72	14.55	0.00	529.75	020	0.30	707.09	1.47	1.57	07%
			SA-E34	SA-E35	286.14		25,387	2.55	0.00		3.14	75.56	3.63	55.10	74.40	272.62	6.53	157.16	4.72	14.33	0.00	529.75	825	0.30	782.11	1.46	1.56	68%
	External Sub-Catchments (Summed to E35 - E36)	R	Willmott Street / King	Street Northeast Corner	5.40	90.29	488		0.00	50%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.88														
		S	Willmott Street / King	Street Southeast Corner	0.00	90.29	0		3.96	50%	1.98	0.00	0.00	0.00														
			SA-E35	SA-E36	291.54		25,874	2.54	3.96		5.12	75.56	3.63	55.98	75.80	276.96	10.65	157.16	4.72	14.55	0.00	539.84	825	0.30	781.21	1.46	1.57	69%
			SA-E36	SA-E37	291.54		25,874	2.54	0.00		5.12	75.56	3.63	55.98	75.80	276.96	10.65	157.16	4.72	14.55	0.00	539.84	825	0.31	797.13	1.49	1.59	68%
	External Sub-Catchments (Summed to E37- E38)	Т	210 Willi	mott Street	0.00	90.29	0		0.00	50%	0.00	4.63	0.00	0.00														
Willmott Street			SA-E37	SA-E38	291.54		25,874	2.54	0.00		5.12	80.19	3.63	55.98	75.80	276.96	10.65	166.80	4.72	14.55	0.00	549.47	825	0.30	779.69	1.46	1.58	70%
	External Sub-Catchments	U	560 Doo	dge Street	0.00	90.29	0		0.00	50%	0.00	5.71	0.00	0.32														
	(Summed to E38- E39)	V	Dodge Street	t Rear Property	0.00	90.29	0		0.00	50%	0.00	2.72	0.00	0.00														
			SA-E38	SA-E39	291.54		25,874	2.54	0.00		5.12	88.62	3.63	56.30	75.80	276.96	10.65	184.33	4.72	14.64	0.00	567.09	825	0.29	777.67	1.45	1.58	73%
	External Sub-Catchments (Summed to E39- E40)	W	180 Willi	mott Street	0.00	90.29	0		0.00	50%	0.00	1.53	0.00	0.00														
			SA-E39	SA-E40	291.54		25,874	2.54	0.00		5.12	90.15	3.63	56.30	75.80	276.96	10.65	187.51	4.72	14.64	0.00	570.27	825	0.30	786.15	1.47	1.60	73%

DESIGNEL CWS CHECKED MC MANNING'S "n" : 0.013 DATE: 01/26/22 TIME: 04:10 PM

EXISTING CONDITION INCLUDES COMMITTED DEVELOPMENT

COMMERCIAL FLOOR SPACE INDEX=50% UNLESS OTHERWISE KNOWN

SLOPES IN RED TEXT ARE USED FOR CAPACITY CALCULATIONS AND ARE FLATTER THAN SLOPES SHOWN ON DESIGN DRAWINGS

TO PROVIDE FLEXIBILITY FOR FUTURE ADJUSTMENT OF SEWER ALIGNMENT

MUNICIPALITY: Town of Cobourg

PROJECT: Cobourg Trails External Servicing - External Trunk Sewer

FROM: Elgin Street at Future Street 'A' Cobourg Trails Phase 1

1)

2)

3)

4)

TO: Willmott Street at Thompson Street (Connect to Existing Trunk)

PROJECT NO.: C14-0454

NOTES:

MINIMUM VELOCITY = 0.60 m/s

MAXIMUM VELOCITY = 3.00 m/s

RESIDENTIAL INFILTRATION 0.26 l/s = 22.5 m3/Ha/DAY RESIDENTIAL INFILTRATION 0.52 l/s = 45.0 m3/Ha/DAY (Foundation DraIn Connections)

INDUSTRIAL 2.08 I/s (local sewers) 1.04 I/s (trunk sewers)

5) EXISTING CONDITION INCLUDES COMMITTED DEVELOPMENT 6) USING NOMINAL METRIC PIPE DIAMTER (mm) 7) COMMERCIAL FLOOR SPACE INDEX=50% UNLESS OTHERWISE KNOWN SLOPES IN RED TEXT ARE USED FOR CAPACITY CALCULATIONS AND ARE FLATTER THAN SLOPES SHOWN ON DESIGN DRAWINGS 8)

Quarte				fiertien		RESIDE	NTIAL		C	OMMERCIA	L	INDUST.	INSTIT.	ENVIRO.			FLC		S PER SECC	ND					PROPOS	SED SEWF	R	
Contri	buting Catchment Area a	and Se	ewer Section Identi	fication	GROSS AREA	POP. DENSITY	POP.	PEAK FLOW	LOT AREA	FLOOR SPACE	FLOOR AREA	LOT AREA	LOT AREA	LOT AREA	RESIDENT	TIAL FLOW SEWAGE	COMM.	INDUS.	INSTIT.	ENVIRO. INFIL.	PUMPING STATION	TOTAL FLOW	ACT. PIPE	SLOPE	Q	,	V	
Street Name /			Maintena	ance Hole	(Ha)	(PERSONS/		FACTOR	(Ha)	INDEX	(Ha)	(Ha)	(Ha)	(Ha)	0.26	0.004213	2.08	2.08	1.3	0.26	FLOW		SIZE	%	l/s	FULL	ACTUAL	% CAPACITY
Location	Catachment Area	ID	U/S	D/S		PER Ha)				see note 7					l/s see note 3	l/s/person	l/s	l/s	l/s	l/s	l/s	l/s	(mm)			m/s	m/s	
	External Sub-Catchments (Summed to E40- E41)	Z	Willmott Street / Thon Co	npson Street Northeast rner	0.00	90.29	0		0.00	50%	0.00	3.93	0.00	0.00														
Willmott Street			SA-E40	SA-E41	291.54		25,874	2.54	0.00		5.12	94.08	3.63	56.30	75.80	276.96	10.65	195.69	4.72	14.64	0.00	578.45	825	0.96	1405.04	2.63	2.44	41%
			SA-E41	SA-E42	291.54		25,874	2.54	0.00		5.12	94.08	3.63	56.30	75.80	276.96	10.65	195.69	4.72	14.64	0.00	578.45	825	0.28	752.89	1.41	1.55	77%
			SA-E42	SA-0651	291.54		25,874	2.54	0.00		5.12	94.08	3.63	56.30	75.80	276.96	10.65	195.69	4.72	14.64	0.00	578.45	825	0.29	777.49	1.45	1.59	74%
			Brook Road SI	PS (81 L/s Firm)																	81.00							
	External Sub-Catchments		McGill Street SF	PS (246 L/s Firm)																	246.00					1		
	0681)	Х	Existing Residential V	Vest of Willmott Street	35.15		882		0.00	50%	0.00																	
		Y	East Villa	ge Phase 5	11.88		688		0.00	50%	0.00																	
			SA-0651	SA-0681	338.57		27,444	2.52	0.00		5.12	94.08	3.63	56.30	88.03	290.83	10.65	195.69	4.72	14.64	327.00	931.55	1200	0.13	1405.71	1.24	1.32	66%
Thompson Street (Existing Sewers)			SA-0681	SA-0682	338.57		27,444	2.52	0.00		5.12	94.08	3.63	56.30	88.03	290.83	10.65	195.69	4.72	14.64	327.00	931.55	1200	0.13	1405.71	1.24	1.32	66%
			SA-0682	SA-0683	338.57		27,444	2.52	0.00		5.12	94.08	3.63	56.30	88.03	290.83	10.65	195.69	4.72	14.64	327.00	931.55	1200	0.13	1405.71	1.24	1.32	66%
	External Sub-Catchments	AA	Thompson Street E	ast of Willmott Street	0.00	90.29	0		0.00	50%	0.00	24.08	0.00	0.53														
	1667)		SA-0683	SA-1667	338.57		27,444	2.52	0.00		5.12	118.16	3.63	56.83	88.03	290.83	10.65	245.77	4.72	14.78	327.00	981.77	1200	0.13	1405.71	1.24	1.34	70%
			SA-1667	SA-0684	338.57		27,444	2.52	0.00		5.12	118.16	3.63	56.83	88.03	290.83	10.65	245.77	4.72	14.78	327.00	981.77	1200	0.13	1405.71	1.24	1.34	70%
			SA-0684	SA-0685	338.57		27,444	2.52	0.00		5.12	118.16	3.63	56.83	88.03	290.83	10.65	245.77	4.72	14.78	327.00	981.77	1200	0.13	1405.71	1.24	1.34	70%

DESIGNEL CWS CHECKED MC MANNING'S "n" : 0.013 DATE: 01/26/22 TIME: 04:10 PM

TO PROVIDE FLEXIBILITY FOR FUTURE ADJUSTMENT OF SEWER ALIGNMENT

Appendix E: Cobourg Trails Phase 1 General Servicing Plan and Ultimate Condition Design Sheet, D.G. Biddle



								SAN	ITAF	RY SE	WE	r de	SIG	N Sł	IEET					РА	GE 1 OF	3	
											PH	IASE	<u> </u>										
									D.G.E	BIDDLI	E & A	ssoc		S LT	D.								
										consulti	na enaiı	neers											
MUNICPALITY	TOWN OF 0	COBOURG			DESIG	SN BY	B.C.				5 5					CRITER	RIA						
PROJECT	RONDEAU	(COBOURG) L1	ΓD.		CHK'E	DВY	M.B.C.									n	0.013		SIN	GLE F	AMILY	3.23 person	s/unit
PROJECT #	114057				DATE		14-Jan-2	2											ΤO	WNHO	USE	2.68 person	s/unit
l	OCATION				RESID	ENTIAL			c	COMMERC	IAL	INDUS TRIAL	INSTITUT' N			FLOW	(I/s)				[PIPE DATA	
STREET	FROM	то	GROSS	DEN-	POPU-	PFF	TOTAL	TOTAL	LOT	FLOOR	FLOOR	LOT	LOT	RES	SEWAGE	COMM	INDUS	INST	TOTAL				
	MH	MH	AREA	SITY	LATION		POPU-	AREA	AREA	SPACE	AREA	AREA	AREA	INFIL			1.04/		FLOW	SIZE	GRADE	CAPACITY	VELOCITY
			(ha)				LATION	(ha)	(ha)	INDEX	(ha)	(ha)	(ha)	0.26	0.0042	2.08	2.08	1.30	l/s	mm	%	l/s	m/s
				3.23						0.50													
DENTON DRIVE	SA-1	SA-2	0.78		42	3.80	42	0.78						0.20	0.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.87	200	1.00	34.21	1.06
DENTON DIATE	SA-2	SA-3	0.58		36	3.80	78	1.36						0.35	1.24	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.60	200	1.00	34.21	1.06
	SA-3	SA-4	0.34		13	3.80	91	1.70						0.44	1.45	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.89	200	1.00	34.21	1.06
																					L		
STREET E	SA-26	SA-27	0.43		16	3.80	16	0.43						0.11	0.26	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.37	200	1.00	34.21	1.06
	SA-27	SA-4	0.12		3	3.80	19	0.55						0.14	0.30	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.45	200	1.00	34.21	1.06
	SA 4	SA 5	0.60		26	2.90	146	2.95						0.74	2.22	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.07	200	1.00	34.21	1.06
DENTON DRIVE	SA-4	5A-5	0.00		20	3.80	140	2.00						0.74	2.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	3.66	200	0.50	24.21	0.75
	04-5	04-0	0.47		23	0.00	175	0.02						0.00	2.15	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	200	0.00	24.15	0.75
	SA-26	SA-28	0.22		3	3 80	3	0.22						0.06	0.05	0.00	0.00	0.00	0 11	200	1 00	34 21	1.06
	SA-28	SA-29	0.99		42	3.80	45	1.21						0.31	0.72	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.03	200	0.50	24.19	0.75
STREETE	SA-29	SA-30	0.21		7	3.80	52	1.42						0.37	0.83	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.20	200	1.00	34.21	1.06
	SA-30	SA-6	0.48		23	3.80	75	1.90						0.49	1.20	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.69	200	2.20	50.75	1.56
DENTON DRIVE	SA-6	SA-7	0.10		3	3.80	253	5.32						1.38	4.04	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.42	200	1.60	43.28	1.33
DENTON DIATE	SA-7	SA-8	0.06		0	3.80	253	5.38						1.40	4.04	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.44	200	2.70	56.22	1.73
																					<u> </u>		
	<mark>A-2</mark>	N-16	1.07		175	3.80	175	1.07						0.28	2.79	0.00	0.00	0.00	3.07				
	<mark>A-3</mark>	N-16	2.27		205	3.80	380	3.34	0.01	0.50	0.01			0.87	6.06	0.01	0.00	0.00	6.94		 		
		N 45	3.29		142	3.80	522	6.63			0.01			1.72	8.33	0.01	0.00	0.00	10.06		───		
	A-4	N-15	4.//		364	3.80	886	11.40			0.01			2.96	14.14	0.01	0.00	0.00	17.11		 		
	A-5	N-14	11.66		1053	3.79	1053	11.66			0.01			2.04	10.20	0.01	0.00	0.00	22.10	250	0.50	12 07	0.97
	4.6	N_13	2.98		84	3.14	2107	27.50			0.01			3.01	19.20	0.01	0.00	0.00	23.10	200	0.50	43.87	0.87
	A-0	N-13	6.80		545	3.00	2197	34.48			0.01			8.97	40.02	0.01	0.00	0.00	49.90	375	0.40	115.00	1.01
	A-8	N-12	1 47		100	3.80	100	1 47			0.01			0.38	1.60	0.01	0.00	0.00	1.98	515	0.40	110.00	1.01
	A-9	N-11	2.08		158	3 44	3000	38.04			0.01			9.89	43.37	0.00	0.00	0.00	53.27	375	0.40	115 68	1 01
	A-10	N-10	2.33		171	3.42	3171	40.37			0.01			10.50	45.57	0.01	0.00	0.00	56.08	375	0.40	115.68	1.01

								SAN	ITAF	RY SE	EWE	R DE	SIG	N SH	IEET					РА	GE 2 OF	3	
											PH	IASE	1										
									D.G.E	BIDDL	E & A	SSOC		S LT	D.								
									2.0.	consulti	na enair	neers											
MUNICPALITY PROJECT PROJECT #	RONDEAU (114057	(COBOURG) LT	D.		DESIC CHK'E DATE	SN BY D BY	B.C. M.B.C. 14-Jan-2	2								n	0.013		SIN TO\	GLE F. WNHO	AMILY USE	3.23 person 2.68 person	s/unit s/unit
L	OCATION				RESIDI	ENTIAL			C	OMMERC		INDUS TRIAL	INSTITUT' N			FLOW	(I/s)				1	PIPE DATA	
STREET	FROM	TO	GROSS	DEN-	POPU-	PFF	TOTAL	TOTAL	LOT	FLOOR	FLOOR	LOT	LOT	RES	SEWAGE	COMM	INDUS	INST	TOTAL				
	MH	MH	AREA	SITY	LATION		POPU-	AREA	AREA	SPACE	AREA	AREA	AREA	INFIL			1.04/		FLOW	SIZE	GRADE	CAPACITY	VELOCITY
			(ha)				LATION	(ha)	(ha)	INDEX	(ha)	(ha)	(ha)	0.26	0.0042	2.08	2.08	1.30	l/s	mm	%	l/s	m/s
	A-11	N-17	0.50	3.23	000	2.00	220	0.50		0.50		<u>19.21</u>		0.66	2.64								
	Δ-12	N-10	2.52		110	3.80	220	2.32 1 22	0.95	0.5	0.48	9.59		0.66	5.04 5.30	0 99	59 90	0.00	67 38	375	0.50	120 33	1 13
	A-12	A-14	1.54		139	3.80	139	1.54	0.00	0.5	0.40	28.80		0.40	2.22	0.00	59.90	0.00	62.52	515	0.00	120.00	1.10
FUTURE PHASES			4.66		421	3.80	560	6.20			0.01	8.91		1.61	8.94	0.00	00.00	0.00	01.01				
	A-14	N-9	7.34		268	3.80	828	13.54	0.95	0.5	0.48	37.71		3.52	13.21	1.00	78.44	0.00	96.17	375	0.50	129.33	1.13
	A-15	N-9	2.18		90	3.29	4427	60.31			0.48	37.71		15.68	61.24	1.00	78.44	0.00	156.35	450	0.50	210.31	1.28
	A-16	STUB	1.71		48	3.29	4475	62.02			0.48	37.71		16.13	61.82	1.00	78.44	0.00	157.38	450	0.50	210.31	1.28
DENTON DRIVE	STUB	SA-8	0.00		0	3.29	4475	62.02			0.48	37.71		16.13	61.82	1.00	78.44	0.00	157.38	450	0.50	210.31	1.28
	SV 8	SA 0	0.25		7	2.07	4725	67.65			0.49	27 71		17.50	64.07	1.00	79.44	0.00	161.00	450	0.60	220.29	1.40
	SA-0	SA-10	0.23		10	3.27	4735	67.05			0.40	37.71		17.53	65.09	1.00	78.44	0.00	162 20	450	0.00	230.38	1.40
STREET A	SA-10	SA-11	0.46		23	3.26	4768	68 43			0.48	37 71	2 63	17 79	65.36	1.00	78.44	3 42	166.01	450	0.00	257.58	1.10
	SA-11	SA-12	0.51		19	3.26	4787	68.94			0.48	37.71	2.63	17.92	65.59	1.00	78.44	3.42	166.37	450	1.40	351.91	2.14
	SA-12	SA-13	0.50		16	3.26	4803	69.44			0.48	37.71	2.63	18.05	65.79	1.00	78.44	3.42	166.69	450	1.40	351.91	2.14
	SA-11	SA-19	0.27		16	3.80	16	0.27						0.07	0.26	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.33	200	1.00	34.21	1.06
STREET B			0.32		21	3.80	37	0.59						0.15	0.59	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.74	000	4.00		1.00
	SA-19	SA-20	0.08		3	3.80	40	0.67						0.17	0.64	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.81	200	1.00	34.21	1.06
	SA-20	5A-22	0.61		32	3.80	72	1.28						0.33	1.15	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.48	200	1.00	34.21	1.06
STREET C	SA-25	SA-22	0.67		43	3.80	43	0.67						0.17	0.69	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.86	200	1.00	34.21	1.06
	5.120		0.07			0.00		0.01							0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			U.L.1	
	SA-22	SA-23	0.56		29	3.80	144	2.51						0.65	2.30	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.95	200	0.60	26.50	0.82
STREET B	SA-23	SA-24				3.80	144	2.51						0.65	2.30	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.95	200	1.00	34.21	1.06
	SA-24	SA-13	0.39		22	3.80	166	2.90						0.75	2.65	0.00	0.00	0.00	3.40	200	1.00	34.21	1.06
	CA 12	CA 11	0.44		10	2.05	4000	70.70			0.40	07.74	0.00	10.00	60.00	1.00	70.44	0.40	400.70	450	0.75	057.50	4.57
	5A-13 SA 14	SA-14 SA 15	0.44		19	3.25	4988 5004	12.10 73.22			0.48	37.71	2.03	10.92	00.00 68.10	1.00	78 14	3.42	109.78	450	0.75	257.58	2.14
	SA-14 SA-15	SA-10	0.45		10	3.24	5004	73.20			0.40	37.71	2.03	19.04	68 / 2	1.00	78 11	3.42	170.09	450	0.75	257 58	1 57
UNCERA	SA-16	SA-17	0.03		13	3 24	5036	74 17			0.48	37.71	2.03	19.20	68.57	1.00	78 44	3 42	170.40	450	0.80	266.02	1.67
	SA-17	SA-18	0.31		10	3.24	5046	74.48			0.48	37.71	2.63	19.37	68.69	1.00	78.44	3.42	170.91	450	0.60	230.38	1.40

														FA	GE 3 OF	3	
					PH	IASE	<u>1</u>										
			D.G.E	BIDDL	E & A	ssoc		S LTE).								
				consulti	ng engir	neers											
MUNICPALITYTOWN OF COBOURGIPROJECTRONDEAU (COBOURG) LTD.IPROJECT #114057I	DESIGN BY B.C. CHK'D BY M.B.C. DATE 14-Jan-2	2								CRITER n	8 IA 0.013		SIN TO ^V	IGLE F/ WNHOI	AMILY JSE	3.23 person 2.68 person	s/unit s/unit
LOCATION	ESIDENTIAL		С	OMMERC	CIAL	INDUS TRIAL	INSTITUT' N			FLOW	(I/s)				F	PIPE DATA	
STREET FROM TO GROSS DEN- POI MH MH AREA SITY LA (ba)	PU- PFF TOTAL TION POPU-	TOTAL AREA (ha)	LOT AREA (ha)	FLOOR SPACE INDEX	FLOOR AREA (ha)	LOT AREA (ha)	LOT AREA (ha)	RES : INFIL 0.26	SEWAGE 0 0042	2 08	INDUS 1.04/ 2.08	INST	TOTAL FLOW	SIZE mm	GRADE	CAPACITY	VELOCITY m/s
		()	(0.50	(1104)	(()	0.20	0.0012	2.00	2.00				,,,	., 0	iii b
SA-18 FUT SA-E2 ELGIN STREET FUT SA-E2 FUT SA-E2 FUT SA-E3	3.24 5046 3.24 5046 3.24 5046	74.48 74.48			0.48	37.71 37.71	2.63 2.63	19.37 19.37	68.69 68.69	1.00	78.44 78.44	3.42 3.42	170.91 170.91	525 525	0.40	283.75 283.75	1.27 1.27
WESI FUT SA-E3 FUT SA-E4 FUT SA-E4 FUT SA-E5	3.24 5046	74.48			0.48	37.71	2.63	19.37 19.37	68.69	1.00	78.44	3.42	170.91	525	0.40	283.75	1.27
FUT SA-E5 BROOK RD 6.63 1	938 3.11 6984	81.11			0.48	37.71	2.63	21.09	91.15	1.00	78.44	3.42	195.10	525	0.40	283.75	1.27
			-														
				-													
								-		-		-					
										1		<u> </u>					



Appendix F: Cobourg Trails Phase 2 Draft Plan, The Planning Partnership





Future Development	BIOCK 11-12	0.200
Community Park	Blocks 13	4.18
Stormwater Management	Blocks 14	1.14
Environmental Protection	Blocks 15	6.26
Buffer	Block 16	0.17
0.3m Reserve	Blocks 17-18	0.001
Public Right-of-Way		1.671
TOTAL		17.035 ha
Unit Table		No. of Units
13.72 m Single Detached 11.6 m Single Detached 9.2 m Single Detached 6.1 m Townhouse		Range of units 81-114
TOTAL		81-114 units
R.O.W.	Length (m)	Area (ha)
20.0m Local	161.27	0.32
17.0m Local	660.0	1.12
15.0m Local	144.0	0.216
Daylighting		0.015
TOTAL	965.27 metres	1.671 ha



Appendix G: Brook Creek Tributary Realignment Planform and Profile, Geo Morphix Ltd.



NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION



(EL)				В	ANK	FUL	L GRADI	ENT 0.83	%	
EA LEV	102					\frown				
VE MEAN SE	101		— TI — E (T	E INT(ELEV. 1 O BE (O CON	D EX 102.2 CONI ISTR	ISTII 5 FIRM UCT	NG /IED PRI TION)	OR		
m ABO	100									
ELEVATION (99	<u>LEGE</u> R P OW	<u>=ND</u> - RIF - PO - ON	FLE OL LINE V	VETL	ANE)			
PROPOSED LO FLOW ELEVATI	W ION	102.25 102.09	102.01	102.17 102.00	101.92	102.08	101.82		101.56	
DISTANC	E	000+0				0+020			0+040	
DETAIL TYPI	CAL	R	Р	R	Р		R		OW	



Standard CHANNEL CENTRELINE

── POOL (SEE DWG DET-1)

○ OVERWINTERING POOL (SEE DWG DET-1)

ONLINE WETLAND (SEE DWG DET-2)

DRY MOUND (SEE DWG DET-2)

◯ SUBMERGED MOUND (SEE DWG DET-2)

LEGEND

PROPOSED TIE-IN

(TO BE CONFIRMED

CONSTRUCTION)

INV. = 102.25

PRIOR TO

7 7 7 7 7 7 7 . . /. . . /. .

PROTECTION (SEE TECHNICAL DESIGN BRIEF AND DWG DET-1 FOR DETAILS)

EXISTING GAS MAIN TREATMENT

EXISTING

GAS MAIN

OFFLINE WETLAND (SEE DWG DET-2)

BRUSH MATTRESS (SEE DWG DET-2)

▶ BASKING LOG (SEE DWG DET-3)

ROOT WAD BANK ENHANCEMENT (SEE DWG DET-2)

□ PALLET TYPE WOOD PILE (SEE DWG DET-3)

BLANKET (BIONET C125BN OR APPROVED

EQUIVALENT) (SEE DWG DET-1)

100% BIODEGRADABLE EROSION CONTROL





PROFILE

H = 1:500; V=1:50



N.T.S

KEY MAP N.T.S.

GENERAL NOTES

- ALL CONTRACT DRAWINGS, SPECIFICATIONS AND APPLICABLE PERMITS MUST BE KEPT ON SITE DURING CONSTRUCTION FOR REFERENCE.
- THE CONTRACTOR MUST NOTIFY THE CONTRACT ADMINISTRATOR AND CONSERVATION AUTHORITY OF THE INTENT TO
- COMMENCE WORK AT LEAST 48 HOURS IN ADVANCE. 3. THE CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL UTILITY LOCATES. 4. LAYOUT MUST BE REVIEWED AND APPROVED BY THE CONTRACT ADMINISTRATOR.
- TIMING OF WORKS
- WORKS SHALL BE COMPLETED BETWEEN JULY 1ST TO MARCH 31ST. WORKS SHALL BE COMPLETED BETWEEN JULY 1ST TO MARCH 31ST.
 TREE CLEARING SHOULD BE COMPLETED OUTSIDE THE BIRD NESTING SEASON TO COMPLY WITH THE FEDERAL MIGRATORY BIRDS CONVENTION ACT. ANY TREES THAT REQUIRE REMOVAL OUTSIDE OF THIS TIMING WINDOW MUST FIRST BE INSPECTED BY A QUALIFIED BIOLOGIST TO DETERMINE THE PRESENCE OF NESTING BIRDS.
 THE WEATHER FORECAST SHOULD BE CONTINUALLY MONITORED TO ENSURE THAT WORKS ARE UNDERTAKEN ONLY DURING FAVOURABLE WEATHER FORECAST SHOULD BE CONTINUALLY MONITORED TO ENSURE THAT WORKS ARE UNDERTAKEN ONLY DURING
- FAVOURABLE WEATHER CONDITIONS.
- COMPLETE THE WORKS WITH MINIMAL AVOIDABLE INTERRUPTIONS ONCE THEY COMMENCE. SITE AND MATERIAL MANAGEMENT
- ALL CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT AND MATERIALS (IMPORTED OR EXCAVATED) MUST BE STORED AT LEAST 30 m AWAY FROM ANY WATERBODY IN A STABLE AREA ABOVE THE ACTIVE FLOODPLAIN, OR IN A DESIGNATED STAGING/STORAGE AREA.
 IN THE EVENT OF AN UNEXPECTED STORM, ALL UNFIXED ITEMS THAT HAVE THE POTENTIAL TO CAUSE A SPILL OR AN OBSTRUCTION TO FLOW MUST BE MOVED A STABLE AREA ABOVE ACTIVE FLOODPLAIN.
 STOCKPILES MUST BE LOCATED OUTSIDE THE ISOLATED WORK AREAS.
- STABILIZE STOCKPILED SOILS THAT ARE STORED FOR PROLONGED PERIODS WITH THE APPLICATION OF A NURSE CROP AT A
- RATE OF 60 kg/ha. STABILIZE, TEMPORARILY OR PERMANENTLY, ANY DISTURBED AREAS AS WORK PROGRESSES, OR SOON AS CONDITIONS
- ALLOW. ON SOILS THAT WILL BE EXPOSED FOR PROLONG PERIODS, TEMPORARILY INSTALL A BIODEGRADABLE EROSION CONTROL BLANKET ON EXPOSED SOILS, OR APPLY A NURSE CROP AT A RATE OF 60 KG/HA. MINIMIZE THE AREA OF DISTURBANCE TO THE EXTENT POSSIBLE.
- MINIMIZE THE AREA OF DISTURBANCE TO THE EXTENT POSSIBLE.
 ALL VEGETATION, ADJACENT TO THE WORK AREA, MUST BE PROTECTED AND DELINEATED WITH CONSTRUCTION FENCING OR TREE PROTECTION BARRIERS.
 ALL GRADES IN THE AREA REGULATED BY THE CONSERVATION AUTHORITY MUST BE MAINTAINED OR MATCHED, UNLESS OTHERWISE AUTHORIZED IN THE APPLICABLE PERMIT.

EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL

- ALL TEMPORARY EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL MEASURES MUST BE INSTALLED PRIOR TO START OF WORKS. SEDIMENT CONTROLS MUST BE INSPECTED DAILY TO ENSURE THAT THEY ARE IN GOOD REPAIR AND FUNCTIONING AS
- INTENDED.
- INTENDED.
 INTENDED.
- CONTRACT ADMINISTRATOR. ADDITIONAL EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL SUPPLIES MUST BE KEPT ON SITE IN ORDER TO FACILITATE IMMEDIATE REPAIRS AND/OR UPGRADES AS NEEDED. ALL TEMPORARY SEDIMENT CONTROLS MUST BE REMOVED AFTER THE CONTRACT ADMINISTRATOR DEEMS THE SITE TO BE

DELETERIOUS SUBSTANCE CONTROL/SPILL MANAGEMENT

- PREVENT THE RELEASE OF SEDIMENT, SEDIMENT-LADEN WATER, RAW CONCRETE, CONCRETE LEACHATE OR ANY OTHER
- DELETERIOUS SUBSTANCES INTO ANY WATERBODY, RAVINE OR STORM SEWER SYSTEM. ENSURE EQUIPMENT AND MACHINERY ARE IN GOOD OPERATING CONDITION (POWER WASHED), FREE OF LEAKS, EXCESS OIL, AND GREASE. NO EQUIPMENT REFUELLING OR SERVICING SHOULD BE UNDERTAKEN WITHIN 30 m OF ANY WATERCOURSE OR SURFACE
- WATER DRAIN ACE. A SPILL CONTAINMENT KIT MUST BE READILY ACCESSIBLE ON SITE IN THE EVENT OF A RELEASE OF A DELETERIOUS SUBSTANCE TO THE ENVIRONMENT. ONSITE STAFF MUST BE TRAINED IN ITS USE. 5. THE CONTRACT ADMINISTRATOR MUST BE NOTIFIED IMMEDIATELY IN THE EVENT OF A SPILL OF DELETERIOUS SUBSTANCE.
- WORK AREA ISOLATION
- ALL WORK IN ISOLATED WORK AREAS MUST BE COMPLETED IN THE DRY. AN ADEQUATE NUMBER OF PUMPS MUST BE USED FOR UNWATERING. THE UNWATERING DISCHARGE LOCATION MUST BE LOCATED AT LEAST 30 M FROM ANY WATERCOURSE OR WETLAND IN AN
- AREA WITH DENSE VEGETATIVE GROUNDCOVER, AND WHERE THE DISTANCE CAN RETURN TO THE WATERBODY AREA WITH DENSE VEGETATIVE GROUNDCOVER, AND WHERE THE DISCHARGE CAN RETURN TO THE WATERBODY DOWNSTREAM OF THE WORK AREA OVER THE GROUNDCOVER. FISH MUST BE REMOVED FROM THE WORK AREA ONCE ISOLATED. FISH SALVAGE MUST BE COMPLETED BY A QUALIFIED TECHNICIAN WITH A LICENSE FROM THE ONTARIO MINISTRY OF NATURAL RESOURCES AND FORESTRY

	2019-07-02	BM			FIRST SUBMISS	ION						
DEOLO		ВҮ										
DESIG		D										
DRAV	N BY: BM/AC		-07-02	G	Campbellville, On T: 416.920 WWW.geomor	RP ^{ygy} eet North tario L0P 1 0.0926 "phix.com	H		Х			
	TRIBUTARY OF BROOK CREEK RONDEAU (COBOURG) LTD.											
	TRIBUTARY REALIGNMENT PLANFORM AND PROFILE											

SCALE: AS NOTED

PROJECT No.: 18148

DRAWING No.: GEO-1 SHEET 1 OF 5

NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION



N.T.S

KEY MAP N.T.S.

GENERAL NOTES

ALL CONTRACT DRAWINGS, SPECIFICATIONS AND APPLICABLE PERMITS MUST BE KEPT ON SITE DURING CONSTRUCTION FOR REFERENCE.

- THE CONTRACTOR MUST NOTIFY THE CONTRACT ADMINISTRATOR AND CONSERVATION AUTHORITY OF THE INTENT TO COMMENCE WORK AT LEAST 48 HOURS IN ADVANCE. 3. THE CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL UTILITY LOCATES. 4. LAYOUT MUST BE REVIEWED AND APPROVED BY THE CONTRACT ADMINISTRATOR.
- TIMING OF WORKS
- WORKS SHALL BE COMPLETED BETWEEN JULY 1ST TO MARCH 31ST.
 TREE CLEARING SHOULD BE COMPLETED OUTSIDE THE BIRD NESTING SEASON TO COMPLY WITH THE FEDERAL MIGRATORY BIRDS CONVENTION ACT. ANY TREES THAT REQUIRE REMOVAL OUTSIDE OF THIS TIMING WINDOW MUST FIRST BE INSPECTED BY A QUALIFIED BIOLOGIST TO DETERMINE THE PRESENCE OF NESTING BIRDS.
 THE WEATHER FORECAST SHOULD BE CONTINUALLY MONITORED TO ENSURE THAT WORKS ARE UNDERTAKEN ONLY DURING FUNCTION FUNCTION FOR THE PRESENCE OF NESTING FOR A CONTINUALLY MONITORED TO ENSURE THAT WORKS ARE UNDERTAKEN ONLY DURING
- FAVOURABLE WEATHER CONDITIONS. 4. COMPLETE THE WORKS WITH MINIMAL AVOIDABLE INTERRUPTIONS ONCE THEY COMMENCE.
- SITE AND MATERIAL MANAGEMENT
- ALL CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT AND MATERIALS (IMPORTED OR EXCAVATED) MUST BE STORED AT LEAST 30 m AWAY FROM ANY WATERBODY IN A STABLE AREA ABOVE THE ACTIVE FLOODPLAIN, OR IN A DESIGNATED STAGING/STORAGE AREA.
 IN THE EVENT OF AN UNEXPECTED STORM, ALL UNFIXED ITEMS THAT HAVE THE POTENTIAL TO CAUSE A SPILL OR AN OBSTRUCTION TO FLOW MUST BE MOVED A STABLE AREA ABOVE ACTIVE FLOODPLAIN.
 STOCKPILES MUST BE LOCATED OUTSIDE THE ISOLATED WORK AREAS.
- STABILIZE STOCKPILED SOILS THAT ARE STORED FOR PROLONGED PERIODS WITH THE APPLICATION OF A NURSE CROP AT A
- RATE OF 60 kg/ha. STABILIZE, TEMPORARILY OR PERMANENTLY, ANY DISTURBED AREAS AS WORK PROGRESSES, OR SOON AS CONDITIONS
- ALLOW. ON SOILS THAT WILL BE EXPOSED FOR PROLONG PERIODS, TEMPORARILY INSTALL A BIODEGRADABLE EROSION CONTROL BLANKET ON EXPOSED SOILS, OR APPLY A NURSE CROP AT A RATE OF 60 KG/HA. MINIMIZE THE AREA OF DISTURBANCE TO THE EXTENT POSSIBLE.
- MINIMIZE THE AREA OF DISTURBANCE TO THE EXTENT POSSIBLE.
 ALL VEGETATION, ADJACENT TO THE WORK AREA, MUST BE PROTECTED AND DELINEATED WITH CONSTRUCTION FENCING OR TREE PROTECTION BARRIERS.
 ALL GRADES IN THE AREA REGULATED BY THE CONSERVATION AUTHORITY MUST BE MAINTAINED OR MATCHED, UNLESS OTHERWISE AUTHORIZED IN THE APPLICABLE PERMIT.

EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL

- ALL TEMPORARY EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL MEASURES MUST BE INSTALLED PRIOR TO START OF WORKS. SEDIMENT CONTROLS MUST BE INSPECTED DAILY TO ENSURE THAT THEY ARE IN GOOD REPAIR AND FUNCTIONING AS INTENDED.
- EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROLS MUST BE MAINTAINED DURING CONSTRUCTION, AND ANY REQUIRED REPAIRS OR REPLACEMENTS MUST BE COMPLETED WITHIN 24 HOURS AFTER THEY HAVE BEEN IDENTIFIED DURING THE MONITORING.
 EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROLS MAY REQUIRE PERIODIC ADJUSTMENTS TO REFLECT CHANGING SITE CONDITIONS. THE
- CONTRACTOR WILL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THESE ADJUSTMENTS TO ENSURE PROPER FUNCTION. ANY CHANGES TO THE EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL PLAN BEYOND MINOR ADJUSTMENTS MUST BE APPROVED BY THE CONTRACT ADMINISTRATOR.
- ADDITIONAL EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL SUPPLIES MUST BE KEPT ON SITE IN ORDER TO FACILITATE IMMEDIATE REPAIRS AND/OR UPGRADES AS NEEDED. ALL TEMPORARY SEDIMENT CONTROLS MUST BE REMOVED AFTER THE CONTRACT ADMINISTRATOR DEEMS THE SITE TO BE

DELETERIOUS SUBSTANCE CONTROL/SPILL MANAGEMENT

- PREVENT THE RELEASE OF SEDIMENT, SEDIMENT-LADEN WATER, RAW CONCRETE, CONCRETE LEACHATE OR ANY OTHER
- DELETERIOUS SUBSTANCES INTO ANY WATERBODY, RAVINE OR STORM SEWER SYSTEM. ENSURE EQUIPMENT AND MACHINERY ARE IN GOOD OPERATING CONDITION (POWER WASHED), FREE OF LEAKS, EXCESS OIL, AND GREASE.
- NO EQUIPMENT REFUELLING OR SERVICING SHOULD BE UNDERTAKEN WITHIN 30 m OF ANY WATERCOURSE OR SURFACE WATER DRAINAGE.
 A SPILL CONTAINMENT KIT MUST BE READILY ACCESSIBLE ON SITE IN THE EVENT OF A RELEASE OF A DELETERIOUS SUBSTANCE TO THE ENVIRONMENT. ONSITE STAFF MUST BE TRAINED IN ITS USE. THE CONTRACT ADMINISTRATOR MUST BE NOTIFIED IMMEDIATELY IN THE EVENT OF A SPILL OF DELETERIOUS SUBSTANCE.
- WORK AREA ISOLATION
- ALL WORK IN ISOLATED WORK AREAS MUST BE COMPLETED IN THE DRY. AN ADEQUATE NUMBER OF PUMPS MUST BE USED FOR UNWATERING. THE UNWATERING DISCHARGE LOCATION MUST BE LOCATED AT LEAST 30 M FROM ANY WATERCOURSE OR WETLAND IN AN AREA WITH DENSE VEGETATIVE GROUNDCOVER, AND WHERE THE DISCHARGE CAN RETURN TO THE WATERBODY DOWNSTREAM OF THE WORK AREA OVER THE GROUNDCOVER.
- FISH MUST BE REMOVED FROM THE WORK AREA ONCE ISOLATED. FISH SALVAGE MUST BE COMPLETED BY A QUALIFIED TECHNICIAN WITH A LICENSE FROM THE ONTARIO MINISTRY OF NATURAL RESOURCES AND FORESTRY.

	2019-07-02	BM			FIRST SUBMISSION
	DATE	BY			REVISIONS
DESIG	SNED BY: PV/L	.D			CHECKED BY: PV
DRAW	DRAWN BY: BM/AC				DATE: JULY 2019

DSCIENTI	GEO	Geom Earth Obser	O lorpholo Science rvations	R	Ρ	Н	I	Х
BER 7	36 Main Street North Campbellville, Ontario L0P							
		T: 41	6.920	.092	6			

www.geomorphix.com

TRIBUTARY OF BROOK CREEK RONDEAU (COBOURG) LTD.

BIOSWALE PLANFORM AND PROFILE

PROJECT No.: 18148 DRAWING No.: GEO-2 SCALED FOR PLOT ON ARCH D SHEET 2 OF 5 SCALE: AS NOTED

SPECIES	PERCENTAG
Sagittaria latifolia	10
Aster puniceus	5
Leersia oryzoides	20
Glyceria striata	10
Juncus canadensis	5
Scirpus atrovirens	15
Carex vulpinoidea	15
Bidens cernus	5
Scirpus validus	5
Phalaris aundinacea	10

SPECIES	PERCENTAG
Asclepias incarnata	10
Aster puniceus	5
Dulichium arundinaceum	2
Elymus virginicus	30
Iris versicolor	5
Juncus effusus	3
Leersia oryzoides	15
Onoclea sensibilis	10
Polygonum arifolium	5
Scirpus cyperinus	5
Sparghanium americanui	m 10

KEY MAP N.T.S.

GENERAL NOTES

N.T.S

- ALL CONTRACT DRAWINGS, SPECIFICATIONS AND APPLICABLE PERMITS MUST BE KEPT ON SITE DURING CONSTRUCTION
- FOR REFERENCE. THE CONTRACTOR MUST NOTIFY THE CONTRACT ADMINISTRATOR AND CONSERVATION AUTHORITY OF THE INTENT TO COMMENCE WORK AT LEAST 48 HOURS IN ADVANCE. THE CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL UTILITY LOCATES.
- . LAYOUT MUST BE REVIEWED AND APPROVED BY THE CONTRACT ADMINISTRATOR.
- TIMING OF WORKS
- WORKS SHALL BE COMPLETED BETWEEN JULY 1ST TO MARCH 31ST.
- WORKS SHALL BE COMPLETED BETWEEN JULY 1ST TO MARCH 31ST.
 TREE CLEARING SHOULD BE COMPLETED OUTSIDE THE BIRD NESTING SEASON TO COMPLY WITH THE FEDERAL MIGRATORY BIRDS CONVENTION ACT. ANY TREES THAT REQUIRE REMOVAL OUTSIDE OF THIS TIMING WINDOW MUST FIRST BE INSPECTED BY A QUALIFIED BIOLOGIST TO DETERMINE THE PRESENCE OF NESTING BIRDS.
 THE WEATHER FORECAST SHOULD BE CONTINUALLY MONITORED TO ENSURE THAT WORKS ARE UNDERTAKEN ONLY DURING FUNDABLE MEDIATION FOR A CONTINUALLY MONITORED TO ENSURE THAT WORKS ARE UNDERTAKEN ONLY DURING FAVOURABLE WEATHER CONDITIONS. COMPLETE THE WORKS WITH MINIMAL AVOIDABLE INTERRUPTIONS ONCE THEY COMMENCE.
- SITE AND MATERIAL MANAGEMENT
- ALL CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT AND MATERIALS (IMPORTED OR EXCAVATED) MUST BE STORED AT LEAST 30 m AWAY FROM ANY WATERBODY IN A STABLE AREA ABOVE THE ACTIVE FLOODPLAIN, OR IN A DESIGNATED STAGING/STORAGE AREA. IN THE EVENT OF AN UNEXPECTED STORM, ALL UNFIXED ITEMS THAT HAVE THE POTENTIAL TO CAUSE A SPILL OR AN OBSTRUCTION TO FLOW MUST BE MOVED A STABLE AREA ABOVE ACTIVE FLOODPLAIN. STOCKPILES MUST BE LOCATED OUTSIDE THE ISOLATED WORK AREAS.
- STABILIZE STOCKPILED SOILS THAT ARE STORED FOR PROLONGED PERIODS WITH THE APPLICATION OF A NURSE CROP AT A
- RATE OF 60 kg/ha. STABILIZE, TEMPORARILY OR PERMANENTLY, ANY DISTURBED AREAS AS WORK PROGRESSES, OR SOON AS CONDITIONS ALLOW. ON SOILS THAT WILL BE EXPOSED FOR PROLONG PERIODS, TEMPORARILY INSTALL A BIODEGRADABLE EROSION CONTROL BLANKET ON EXPOSED SOILS, OR APPLY A NURSE CROP AT A RATE OF 60 KG/HA.
- MINIMIZE THE AREA OF DISTURBANCE TO THE EXTENT POSSIBLE. ALL VEGETATION, ADJACENT TO THE WORK AREA, MUST BE PROTECTED AND DELINEATED WITH CONSTRUCTION FENCING
- ALL GRADES IN THE AREA REGULATED BY THE CONSERVATION AUTHORITY MUST BE MAINTAINED OR MATCHED, UNLESS OTHERWISE AUTHORIZED IN THE APPLICABLE PERMIT.

EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL

- ALL TEMPORARY EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL MEASURES MUST BE INSTALLED PRIOR TO START OF WORKS. SEDIMENT CONTROLS MUST BE INSPECTED DAILY TO ENSURE THAT THEY ARE IN GOOD REPAIR AND FUNCTIONING AS INTENDED.
- EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROLS MUST BE MAINTAINED DURING CONSTRUCTION, AND ANY REQUIRED REPAIRS OR
 REPLACEMENTS MUST BE COMPLETED WITHIN 24 HOURS AFTER THEY HAVE BEEN IDENTIFIED DURING THE MONITORING.
 EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROLS MAY REQUIRE PERIODIC ADJUSTMENTS TO REFLECT CHANGING SITE CONDITIONS. THE
- CONTRACTOR WILL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THESE ADJUSTMENTS TO ENSURE PROPER FUNCTION. ANY CHANGES TO THE EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL PLAN BEYOND MINOR ADJUSTMENTS MUST BE APPROVED BY THE
- CONTRACT ADMINISTRATOR. ADDITIONAL EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL SUPPLIES MUST BE KEPT ON SITE IN ORDER TO FACILITATE IMMEDIATE REPAIRS AND/OR UPGRADES AS NEEDED. ALL TEMPORARY SEDIMENT CONTROLS MUST BE REMOVED AFTER THE CONTRACT ADMINISTRATOR DEEMS THE SITE TO BE
- DELETERIOUS SUBSTANCE CONTROL/SPILL MANAGEMENT
- PREVENT THE RELEASE OF SEDIMENT, SEDIMENT-LADEN WATER, RAW CONCRETE, CONCRETE LEACHATE OR ANY OTHER DELETERIOUS SUBSTANCES INTO ANY WATERBODY, RAVINE OR STORM SEWER SYSTEM. ENSURE EQUIPMENT AND MACHINERY ARE IN GOOD OPERATING CONDITION (POWER WASHED), FREE OF LEAKS, EXCESS
- OIL, AND GREASE. NO EQUIPMENT REFUELLING OR SERVICING SHOULD BE UNDERTAKEN WITHIN 30 m OF ANY WATERCOURSE OR SURFACE
- WATER DRAINAGE. A SPILL CONTAINMENT KIT MUST BE READILY ACCESSIBLE ON SITE IN THE EVENT OF A RELEASE OF A DELETERIOUS SUBSTANCE TO THE ENVIRONMENT. ONSITE STAFF MUST BE TRAINED IN ITS USE. THE CONTRACT ADMINISTRATOR MUST BE NOTIFIED IMMEDIATELY IN THE EVENT OF A SPILL OF DELETERIOUS SUBSTANCE.
- WORK AREA ISOLATION
- ALL WORK IN ISOLATED WORK AREAS MUST BE COMPLETED IN THE DRY. AN ADEQUATE NUMBER OF PUMPS MUST BE USED FOR UNWATERING. THE UNWATERING DISCHARGE LOCATION MUST BE LOCATED AT LEAST 30 M FROM ANY WATERCOURSE OR WETLAND IN AN AREA WITH DENSE VEGETATIVE GROUNDCOVER, AND WHERE THE DISCHARGE CAN RETURN TO THE WATERBODY DOWNSTREAM OF THE WORK AREA OVER THE GROUNDCOVER.
- FISH MUST BE REMOVED FROM THE WORK AREA ONCE ISOLATED. FISH SALVAGE MUST BE COMPLETED BY A QUALIFIED ECHNICIAN WITH A LICENSE FROM THE ONTARIO MINISTRY OF NATURAL RESOURCES AND FORESTRY

	2019-07-02	BM	FIRST SUBMISSION		
	DATE	BY	REVISIONS		
SIGNED BY: PV/LD			CHECKED BY: PV		
					1

DRAWN BY: BM/AC

GEO	Μ	0	R	Ρ	Н	I
	Geom Earth Obser	orpholo Science vations	ду			

DATE: JULY 2019

36 Main Street North Campbellville, Ontario L0P 1B0

T: 416.920.0926 www.geomorphix.com

TRIBUTARY OF BROOK CREEK RONDEAU (COBOURG) LTD.

TRIBUTARY REALIGNMENT **RESTORATION DETAILS**

PROJECT No.: 18148 DRAWING No.: DET-2 SCALE: AS NOTED SHEET 4 OF 5

N.T.S

KEY MAP N.T.S.

GENERAL NOTES

- ALL CONTRACT DRAWINGS, SPECIFICATIONS AND APPLICABLE PERMITS MUST BE KEPT ON SITE DURING CONSTRUCTION FOR REFERENCE.
- THE CONTRACTOR MUST NOTIFY THE CONTRACT ADMINISTRATOR AND CONSERVATION AUTHORITY OF THE INTENT TO COMMENCE WORK AT LEAST 48 HOURS IN ADVANCE. THE CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL UTILITY LOCATES.
- . LAYOUT MUST BE REVIEWED AND APPROVED BY THE CONTRACT ADMINISTRATOR.
- TIMING OF WORKS
- WORKS SHALL BE COMPLETED BETWEEN JULY 1ST TO MARCH 31ST.
- WORKS SHALL BE COMPLETED BETWEEN JOLY 1ST TO MARCH 31ST.
 TREE CLEARING SHOULD BE COMPLETED OUTSIDE THE BIRD NESTING SEASON TO COMPLY WITH THE FEDERAL MIGRATORY BIRDS CONVENTION ACT. ANY TREES THAT REQUIRE REMOVAL OUTSIDE OF THIS TIMING WINDOW MUST FIRST BE INSPECTED BY A QUALIFIED BIOLOGIST TO DETERMINE THE PRESENCE OF NESTING BIRDS.
 THE WEATHER FORECAST SHOULD BE CONTINUALLY MONITORED TO ENSURE THAT WORKS ARE UNDERTAKEN ONLY DURING FAVOURABLE WEATHER FORECAST SHOULD BE CONTINUALLY MONITORED TO ENSURE THAT WORKS ARE UNDERTAKEN ONLY DURING FAVOURABLE WEATHER CONDITIONS. COMPLETE THE WORKS WITH MINIMAL AVOIDABLE INTERRUPTIONS ONCE THEY COMMENCE.
- SITE AND MATERIAL MANAGEMENT
- ALL CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT AND MATERIALS (IMPORTED OR EXCAVATED) MUST BE STORED AT LEAST 30 m AWAY FROM ANY WATERBODY IN A STABLE AREA ABOVE THE ACTIVE FLOODPLAIN, OR IN A DESIGNATED STAGING/STORAGE AREA. IN THE EVENT OF AN UNEXPECTED STORM, ALL UNFIXED ITEMS THAT HAVE THE POTENTIAL TO CAUSE A SPILL OR AN OBSTRUCTION TO FLOW MUST BE MOVED A STABLE AREA ABOVE ACTIVE FLOODPLAIN. STOCKPILES MUST BE LOCATED OUTSIDE THE ISOLATED WORK AREAS.
- STABILIZE STOCKPILED SOILS THAT ARE STORED FOR PROLONGED PERIODS WITH THE APPLICATION OF A NURSE CROP AT A
- RATE OF 60 kg/ha. STABILIZE, TEMPORARILY OR PERMANENTLY, ANY DISTURBED AREAS AS WORK PROGRESSES, OR SOON AS CONDITIONS ALLOW. ON SOILS THAT WILL BE EXPOSED FOR PROLONG PERIODS, TEMPORARILY INSTALL A BIODEGRADABLE EROSION
- CONTROL BLANKET ON EXPOSED SOILS, OR APPLY A NURSE CROP AT A RATE OF 60 KG/HA. MINIMIZE THE AREA OF DISTURBANCE TO THE EXTENT POSSIBLE. ALL VEGETATION, ADJACENT TO THE WORK AREA, MUST BE PROTECTED AND DELINEATED WITH CONSTRUCTION FENCING
- ALL GRADES IN THE AREA REGULATED BY THE CONSERVATION AUTHORITY MUST BE MAINTAINED OR MATCHED, UNLESS OTHERWISE AUTHORIZED IN THE APPLICABLE PERMIT.

EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL

- ALL TEMPORARY EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL MEASURES MUST BE INSTALLED PRIOR TO START OF WORKS. SEDIMENT CONTROLS MUST BE INSPECTED DAILY TO ENSURE THAT THEY ARE IN GOOD REPAIR AND FUNCTIONING AS
- INTENDED. EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROLS MUST BE MAINTAINED DURING CONSTRUCTION, AND ANY REQUIRED REPAIRS OR REPLACEMENTS MUST BE COMPLETED WITHIN 24 HOURS AFTER THEY HAVE BEEN IDENTIFIED DURING THE MONITORING. REPSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROLS MAY REQUIRE PERIODIC ADJUSTMENTS TO REFLECT CHANGING SITE CONDITIONS. THE
- CONTRACTOR WILL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THESE ADJUSTMENTS TO ENSURE PROPER FUNCTION. ANY CHANGES TO THE EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL PLAN BEYOND MINOR ADJUSTMENTS MUST BE APPROVED BY THE
- CONTRACT ADMINISTRATOR. ADDITIONAL EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL SUPPLIES MUST BE KEPT ON SITE IN ORDER TO FACILITATE IMMEDIATE REPAIRS AND/OR UPGRADES AS NEEDED. ALL TEMPORARY SEDIMENT CONTROLS MUST BE REMOVED AFTER THE CONTRACT ADMINISTRATOR DEEMS THE SITE TO BE

DELETERIOUS SUBSTANCE CONTROL/SPILL MANAGEMENT

- PREVENT THE RELEASE OF SEDIMENT, SEDIMENT-LADEN WATER, RAW CONCRETE, CONCRETE LEACHATE OR ANY OTHER DELETERIOUS SUBSTANCES INTO ANY WATERBODY, RAVINE OR STORM SEWER SYSTEM. ENSURE EQUIPMENT AND MACHINERY ARE IN GOOD OPERATING CONDITION (POWER WASHED), FREE OF LEAKS, EXCESS
- OIL, AND GREASE. NO EQUIPMENT REFUELLING OR SERVICING SHOULD BE UNDERTAKEN WITHIN 30 m OF ANY WATERCOURSE OR SURFACE
- WATER DRAINAGE. A SPILL CONTAINMENT KIT MUST BE READILY ACCESSIBLE ON SITE IN THE EVENT OF A RELEASE OF A DELETERIOUS SUBSTANCE TO THE ENVIRONMENT. ONSITE STAFF MUST BE TRAINED IN ITS USE. THE CONTRACT ADMINISTRATOR MUST BE NOTIFIED IMMEDIATELY IN THE EVENT OF A SPILL OF DELETERIOUS SUBSTANCE.
- WORK AREA ISOLATION
- ALL WORK IN ISOLATED WORK AREAS MUST BE COMPLETED IN THE DRY. AN ADEQUATE NUMBER OF PUMPS MUST BE USED FOR UNWATERING. THE UNWATERING DISCHARGE LOCATION MUST BE LOCATED AT LEAST 30 M FROM ANY WATERCOURSE OR WETLAND IN AN THE UNWATERING DISCHARGE LOCATION MUST BE LOCATED AT LEAST 30 M FROM ANT WATERCOURSE ON WEITERID IN AN AREA WITH DENSE VEGETATIVE GROUNDCOVER, AND WHERE THE DISCHARGE CAN RETURN TO THE WATERBODY DOWNSTREAM OF THE WORK AREA OVER THE GROUNDCOVER. FISH MUST BE REMOVED FROM THE WORK AREA ONCE ISOLATED. FISH SALVAGE MUST BE COMPLETED BY A QUALIFIED
- ECHNICIAN WITH A LICENSE FROM THE ONTARIO MINISTRY OF NATURAL RESOURCES AND FOREST

						-		
						-		
	2019-07-02	BM			FIRST SUBMISSION	-		
	DATE	BY			REVISIONS			
DESI	GNED BY: PV/L	D			CHECKED BY: PV			
DRAV	VN BY: BM/AC				DATE: JULY 2019			
PAUL V. VILLARD			ENTIS	G	EO MORPHIX Geomorphology Earth Science Observations	-		
PRACTISING MEMBER			7		36 Main Street North Campbellville, Ontario L0P 1B0			
ONTAR10 2019-07-02			-07-02		T: 416.920.0926 www.geomorphix.com			
TRIBUTARY OF BROOK CREEK RONDEAU (COBOURG) LTD.								
	то	ייוסו	┳╻┍			•		

I RIBUTARY REALIGNMENT **RESTORATION DETAILS**

PROJECT No.: 18148 SCALED FOR PLOT ON ARCH D SCALE: AS NOTED

Appendix H: GRCA Contingencies for Sanitary Sewer Alignment, GHD Correspondence

From:	Chris Ellingwood <chris.ellingwood@ghd.com></chris.ellingwood@ghd.com>
Sent:	Monday, November 1, 2021 12:26 PM
То:	Dan Campbell; Chris Ellingwood
Cc:	Jeff Solly; Trevor Mackenzie; Paul Watson; Mike Cai; Claire Wallis-South
Subject:	RE: C14-0454: Trunk Sanitary Sewer at North End of Tribute Phase 2 Cobourg

EXTERNAL EMAIL

That alignment is what we had discussed on site with GRCA.

As long the path through the trees is a narrow a possible. Delineating the construction limit and avoiding damaging any trees not necessary for removal. We had also talked about planting some additional native trees in the small opening or even removing a few invasive buckthorn shrubs to the north and replanting with native tree species. Usually agencies are looking for 1:1 ratio area compensation for tree removal in a buffer.

Chris Ellingwood, B.E.S. Senior Biologist

GHD Formerly at Niblett Environmental Associates Inc. Proudly employee-owned | <u>ghd.com</u>

T: 1 705-931-3929 | C: 1 705-768-9962 | E: <u>chris.ellingwood@ghd.com</u> now located at 347 Pido Road Unit 29 Peterborough Ontario K9J 6X7 Canada

→ The Power of Commitment

Connect

Please consider the environment before printing this email

From: Dan Campbell <Dan.Campbell@cima.ca>
Sent: Monday, November 1, 2021 9:51 AM
To: Chris Ellingwood <cellingwood@niblett.ca>
Cc: Jeff Solly <jeff.s@mytribute.ca>; Trevor Mackenzie <trevor.m@mytribute.ca>; Paul Watson <paul.w@mytribute.ca>;
Mike Cai <Mike.Cai@cima.ca>; Claire Wallis-South <Claire.Wallis-South@cima.ca>
Subject: RE: C14-0454: Trunk Sanitary Sewer at North End of Tribute Phase 2 Cobourg

You don't often get email from dan.campbell@cima.ca. Learn why this is important

Hi Chris,

Just wanted to circle back to you on this. Any feedback that you can provide would be appreciated.

Regards,

DAN CAMPBELL

Senior Project Manager, Associate Partner / Infrastructure – Municipal Engineering

Engineering for **people**

From: Dan Campbell

Sent: Thursday, October 21, 2021 10:06 AM

To: Chris Ellingwood <<u>cellingwood@niblett.ca</u>>

Cc: Jeff Solly <<u>jeff.s@mytribute.ca</u>>; Trevor Mackenzie <<u>trevor.m@mytribute.ca</u>>; Paul Watson <<u>paul.w@mytribute.ca</u>>; Mike Cai <<u>Mike.Cai@cima.ca</u>>; Claire Wallis-South <<u>Claire.Wallis-South@cima.ca</u>> Subject: C14-0454: Trunk Sanitary Sewer at North End of Tribute Phase 2 Cobourg

Hi Chris,

I understand that you've had some recent discussions with the team at Tribute regarding the environmental features and constraints along the north side of Phase 2 (on the west side of Brook Road South of Elgin Street) and it looks favorable to potentially impact the "peninsula" of 14A FOC4-1 that extends towards Brook Road between 15 CUM1-1 and 17 CUT to allow for the sloping required for Street B.

CIMA+ is working Tribute on an external trunk sewer that will pass through Phase 2. To mitigate against excessive sewer depths related to the embankment on Brook Road the hope would be that we could make a justifiable case to align the sewer though 15 CUM1-1 and cross the "peninsula" piece of 14A FOC4-1 where we would then run along the toe of the proposed slope for Street B at the north end of Phase 2.

To that end I've marked the sewer alignment on Figure 1 from your May 2016 Report and also overlayed the current Phase 2 draft plan concept.

Would appreciate your comment on the potential to make a justifiable case for this. Please let me know if you would like to discuss any details.

Regards,

DAN CAMPBELL Senior Project Manager, Associate Partner / Infrastructure – Municipal Engineering

T 905 697-4464 ext. 6906 **M** 289-685-1767 415 Baseline Road West, 2nd Floor, Bowmanville, ON L1C 5M2 CANADA

KINCENTRIC> Best Employer

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email, including any attachments, is confidential and may be privileged. If you are not the intended recipient please notify the sender immediately, and please delete it; you should not copy it or use it for any purpose or disclose its contents to any other person. GHD and its affiliates reserve the right to monitor and modify all email communications through their networks.

Appendix I: Environmental Impact Study – Cobourg Trails External Servicing, Cobourg, Northumberland County, Ontario, Cambium Inc.

J

Appendix J: Draft EA Opinion Letter, P. Becker Consulting





9 Darrowby Crescent Palgrave, Ontario L7E 0C8

> 416-529-3613 : pbecker@pathcom.com

January 14, 2022

Tribute Rondeau Limited Partnership c/o Jeff Sollly

Email: jeff.s@mytribute.ca

RE: Cobourg Class EA Opinion

P Becker Consulting was retained by Tribute Rondeau Limited Partnership to review the environmental assessment (EA) requirements and work completed to date for external water and wastewater infrastructure (trunk watermains and sanitary sewers) to be constructed within the Cobourg East Community Secondary Plan area in Cobourg, Ontario. This infrastructure will support Tribute (Cobourg) Limited's ("Tribute") Cobourg Trails development and ultimately other growth in the secondary plan area.

Patricia Becker, MES is an EA Specialist with over 30 years of EA experience (including 22 years as P Becker Consulting). Pat has worked for municipalities and the private sector in the areas of environmental assessment, environmental planning and approvals throughout Ontario. Through project work P Becker Consulting has been involved in completing numerous environmental assessments (both provincial (individual and class) and federal) for various types of projects (e.g., master plans, transportation, water and wastewater) and in obtaining all necessary approvals. For the water, wastewater and transportation projects, the Municipal Engineers Association Class EA process has been completed for both Schedule B and C projects.

The purpose of this letter is to provide an opinion on the Municipal Engineers Associate (MEA) Municipal Class EA (as amended in 2007, 2011 & 2015) requirements for the watermain and wastewater servicing proposed for Cobourg Trails. The servicing is based on the external servicing map provided dated November 15, 2021 (which is attached).

1 Proponency

One of the initial steps in the Class EA process requires determining who the proponent will be for the project(s). The COVID 19 Economic Recovery Act (2020) included changes to the Environmental Assessment Act definition of "proponent". Previously it was interpreted that the definition meant that the municipality had to be the proponent of the project where they would own and operate the infrastructure after the developer had built it. The current definition makes it clear that the proponent is someone who:

- a) carries out or proposes to carry out a project, or
- b) is the owner or person having charge, management or control of a project

The key is the word "or" so for the Cobourg Trails external servicing work the proponent can be the developer since they will carry out the project, which is the Class EA work and not necessarily the construction or operation of the water and wastewater infrastructure. Since Tribute or the Town can be the proponent the next step is to understand and decide who should be the proponent for the project.

- Ontario Regulation 345/93 designates private sector developers as subject to the EA Act where they are the proponent of Schedule C projects.
- A municipality and/or private sector developer(s) may jointly undertake a project for their mutual benefits, as <u>co-proponents</u> all terms and conditions of the Municipal Class EA <u>apply equally</u> to each co-proponent.
- Where a number of municipalities or a municipality and private sector developer(s) undertake a project for their mutual benefits but <u>select one of the parties to be the lead</u> <u>proponent to carry out the project planning, design and implementation, only the lead</u> <u>proponent shall be subject</u> to the terms and conditions of the Municipal Class EA.
- **Recommendation** Since Tribute is undertaking the design and implementation of the water and wastewater servicing they should be the proponent on the Class EA process. In these circumstances, generally the Town would work closely with Tribute throughout the Class EA process as the key stakeholder in the process.

2 MEA CLASS EA REQUIREMENTS

Once the proponent has been decided the next step is to determine which schedule in the MEA Municipal Class EA is applicable to the servicing projects.

There have been some additional modifications to the Class EA process due to legislative changes that happened in 2019 and 2020.

Based on the changes to the *Environmental Assessment Act* (EAA) found in the *More Homes, More Choice Act* (2019) it is now deemed that any Schedule A or A+ projects are now exempt from meeting the requirements of the EAA regardless of the proponent. These projects may proceed to implementation.

The other key change came with the passing of *COVID-19 Economic Recovery Act (2020)*. This change removed the opportunity for anyone to request a Part II Order for any project unless it's related to Aboriginal and treaty rights.

The following outlines the determination of the appropriate Schedule and Class EA requirements for the proposed water and wastewater servicing.

2.1 Water Servicing Class EA Requirements

Water projects require completion of a <u>Schedule A+</u> for the following:

• Establish, extend or enlarge a water distribution system and all works necessary to connect the system to an existing system or water source, provided all such facilities are in either an existing road allowance or an existing utility corridor, including the use of Trenchless Technology for water crossings.

A <u>Schedule B</u> would be required for the following:

• Establish, extend or enlarge a water distribution system and all works necessary to connect the system to an existing system or water source, where such facilities are not in either an existing road allowance or an existing utility corridor.

There are two separate trunk watermains and these could be considered to be different projects since the watermains are not linked together and they could be implemented separately. One trunk watermain is located to the north along Densmore Road/Danforth Road and the second trunk watermain is to the south along Elgin Street East and Brook Road North with a portion extending towards Barracks Road.

The trunk watermain to the north falls within an existing road allowance and involves trenchless technology for the watercourse crossings and can be considered to be a Schedule A+ project.

For the trunk watermain to the south, while most of the watermain is located within a road allowance, there is a portion that falls outside of an existing road allowance or utility corridor and is located on the Town of Cobourg recreation lands and Lakefront Utilities Services Inc. electrical substation lands. The watermain cannot be piecemealed into separate projects so the watermain would not be considered to be a Schedule A+ and Schedule B would apply.

Recommendation – For the 'north' trunk watermain that is a Schedule A+ the project can proceed to implementation without meeting any requirements of the Class EA process regardless of whether Tribute or the Town are the proponent. The *More Homes More Choice Act (2019)* has made all Schedule A and A+ projects exempt from the EA Act so there are no EA requirements for the developers or the Town to complete the project. The developers could proceed to project implementation. However, if the Town was the proponent previously the Schedule A+ required a notice to be issued prior to commencement of construction. It is a good idea to still meet this requirement by posting the notice on the Town's website. The notice is only intended to inform the public about the construction that will soon commence and is not two-way consultation so issuing this notice would not have any time implications on the project.

For the 'south' trunk watermain that is considered to be Schedule B (as noted above) it is recommended that Tribute be the proponent as the project would not be designated under the EA Act and could proceed to project implementation. If the Town is the proponent then the Schedule B process must be completed.

2.2 Wastewater Servicing Class EA Requirements

The MEA Class EA requires meeting the <u>Schedule A+</u> process for the following projects:

• Establish, extend, or enlarge a sewage collection system and all necessary works to connect the system to an existing sewage or natural drainage outlet, provided all such facilities are in either an existing road allowance or an existing utility corridor, including the use of Trenchless Technology for water crossings.

While portions of the sewer are located within a road allowance there are other portions that require an easement/agreement and fall outside of an existing road allowance or utility corridor.

The sewer cannot be piecemealed into separate projects so the sewer would not be considered to be a Schedule A+.

The following are <u>Schedule B</u> Class EA projects:

• Establish, extend or enlarge a sewage collection system and all works necessary to connect the system to an existing sewage outlet where such facilities are not in an existing road allowance or an existing utility corridor.

This means that since a portion of the sewer needs to be located outside of the road allowance, then the project is a Schedule B for the wastewater system.

Recommendation - Tribute should be the proponent as the project would not be designated under the EA Act and could proceed to project implementation. If the Town is the proponent then the Schedule B process must be completed.

2.3 Summary of Class EA Requirements

Based on the water and wastewater servicing being proposed these are considered to be Schedule A+ ('north' trunk watermain) and Schedule B projects ('south ' trunk watermains and sanitary sewers). For these projects, if Tribute is the proponent, then the project is exempt from the EA Act and the projects could proceed to implementation (e.g., detailed design and construction).

The MEA Municipal Class EA allows for the proponent to be a private sector developer or the Town. The Schedule A+ projects are exempt due to legislative changes made in 2019. The Schedule B projects would only be exempt from the EA Act provided Tribute is the proponent and not the Town.

2.4 Update on Proposed Changes to the MEA Class EA

In July 2019, amendments were proposed to the MEA Class EA document, along with other Class EA documents. These have not yet been approved by the Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks (MECP) so the existing MEA Municipal Class EA document (as amended in 2007, 2011 & 2015) outlines the current Class EA process to be followed. More significant changes were proposed for road project Schedules. While it is uncertain which if any of the proposed amendments will be approved, they do not affect the water and wastewater projects proposed for Cobourg Trails. Given the current situation with the MEA Class EA document, Tribute (as the proponent) can proceed with implementation of the water and wastewater projects that are identified to be Schedule A+ and Schedule B regardless of whether the proposed Class EA amendments are approved.

If you wish to discuss this matter further, please feel free to contact me.

Patricia Becker, MES Principal/EA Specialist

P Becker Consulting Cell: 416-529-3613



K

Appendix K: East Village North Block Plan, Monument Geomatics





DESCAME/NOTE: D IF RECIPICITY TO INFORMATION OWN MOVED BOTH ALS: PROVIDED IS AN INFORMATION END AND/OR DOTAL FLISS PROVIDED IS AN INFORMATION AND INFORMATION AND

Appendix L: External Servicing Preliminary Cost Estimate, CIMA+



Cobou Prelim	irg Trails External Servie inary Design Cost Estin	cing nate - External Trunk Sanitary Sewer			C	
ltem	Location	Description	Estimated Quantity	Unit	Unit Price	Total
Admi	nistrative and Contr	actual Items				
A.1		General				
	Various	Mobilization & Demobilization	1.00	L.S	\$233,808	\$233,808
	Various	Contract Administrators Field Office	16.00	mon	\$2,500	\$40,000
۹.2		Contract Documentation Items	•	-		
	Various	Performance Bond	1.00	L.S.	\$116,904	\$116,904
	Various	Labour & Materials Payment Bond	1.00	L.S.	\$25,000	\$25,000
	Various	Insurance	1.00	L.S.	\$87,678	\$87,678
	Various	Holdback Financing	1.00	L.S.	\$25,000	\$25,000
	Various	Provide traffic control for maintenance of traffic as per OTM Book 7 Temporary Condition	1.00	L.S.	\$233,808	\$233,808
	Various	Portable Message Signs	16.00	mon	\$1,200	\$19,200
	Various	Pre and Post Construction Survey & Layout (excl. Settlement Monitoring)				
	Various	Open Cut	15.00	day	\$1,500	\$22,500
	Various	Trenchless	10.00	day	\$1,500	\$15,000
Sub 1	otal Administrative	and Contractual Items				\$818,897
Trunk	s Sanitary Sewer – O	open Cut				
3.1		525mm dia. Reinforced Concrete Pipe, CL 100D, up to 3.0 to 4.5m in Depth (including earth excavation, bedding, cover and backfill; excl. road restoration)				
	Elgin Street	SA-E4 to SA-E5	75.7	m	\$950	\$71,915
	Elgin Street	SA-E3 to SA-E4	90.1	m	\$950	\$85,595
	Elgin Street	SA-E2 to SA-E3	90	m	\$950	\$85,500
	Elgin Street	SA-18 to SA-E2	89.9	m	\$950	\$85,405
3.2		600mm dia. Sanitary Sewer (excl. restoration) at 4.5 to 6.0m Depth	•			
	Brook Road N.	SA-E19-A to SA-E19B	124.9	m	\$1,450	\$181,105
	Phase 2	SA-E13 to SA-E14	82.1	m	\$1,160	\$95,236
	Phase 2	SA-E12B to SA-E13	68.25	m	\$1,160	\$79,170
	Phase 2	SA-E12A to SA-E12B	74.09	m	\$1,160	\$85,944

	Phase 2	SA-E8-B to SA-E9	54.13	m	\$1,160	\$62,791
	Phase 2	SA-E8-A to SA-E8-B	81.13	m	\$1,160	\$94,111
B.3		600mm dia. Sanitary Sewer (excl. restoration) at 6.0 to 7.5m Depth				
	Brook Road N.	SA-E18 to SA-E19-A	93.81	m	\$1,600	\$150,096
	Markham Metals	SA-E17 to SA-E18	59.14	m	\$1,600	\$94,624
	Markham Metals	SA-E16 to SA-E17	34.33	m	\$1,600	\$54,928
	Phase 2	SA-E10 to SA-E11	38.13	m	\$1,280	\$48,806
	Phase 2	SA-E15 to SA-E16	67.71	m	\$1,280	\$86,669
	Phase 2	SA-E14 to SA-E15	120.94	m	\$1,280	\$154,803
	Brook Road N.	SA-E5 to SA-E6	91.77	m	\$1,600	\$146,832
B.4		600mm dia. Sanitary Sewer (excl. restoration) 7.5m Depth Plus				

\$75,087

\$1,160

m

64.73

Cobourg Trails External Servicing - Preliminary Design

Phase 2

SA-E9 to SA-E10

	Phase 2	SA-E7 to SA-E8-A	65.5	m	\$1,440	\$94,320
	Phase 2	SA-E11 to SA-E12A	93.69	m	\$1,440	\$134,914
	Brook Road N.	SA-E6 to SA-E7	55.98	m	\$1,800	\$100,764
B.5		675mm dia. Sanitary Sewer (excl. restoration) at 6.0 to 7.5m Depth			•	
	Brook Road N.	SA-E19-B to SA-E20-A	108.2	m	\$2,000	\$216,400
B.6		750mm dia. Sanitary Sewer (excl. restoration) at 4.5 to 6.0m Depth	•		•	
B.7		750mm dia. Sanitary Sewer (excl. restoration) at 6.0 to 7.5m Depth				
	JMCD Lands	SA-E30 to SA-E31	70	m	\$1,680	\$117,600
	JMCD Lands	SA-E29 to SA-E30	125	m	\$1,680	\$210,000
	JMCD Lands	SA-E28 to SA-E29	125	m	\$1,680	\$210,000
	JMCD Lands	SA-E27 to SA-E28	125	m	\$1,680	\$210,000
B.8		750mm dia. Sanitary Sewer (excl. restoration) at 7.5m Depth Plus				
	JMCD Lands	SA-E26 to SA-E27	125	m	\$1,760	\$220,000
	JMCD Lands	SA-E25 to SA-E26	125.9	m	\$1,760	\$221,584
	JMCD Lands	SA-E24 to SA-E25	105.2	m	\$1,760	\$185,152
	JMCD Lands	SA-E23 to SA-E24	148.4	m	\$1,760	\$261,184
	Brook Road N.	SA-E22 to SA-E23	15.6	m	\$2,200	\$34,320
	Brook Road N.	SA-E21 to SA-E22 (Possible Trenchless)	92.5	m	\$2,200	\$203,500
	Brook Road N.	SA-E20-B to SA-E21	52.8	m	\$2,200	\$116,160
B.9		825mm dia. Sanitary Sewer (excl. restoration) at 3.0 to 4.5m Depth				
	Willmott Street	SA-E41 to SA-E42	14.50	m	\$1,400	\$20,300
	Willmott Street	SA-E40 to SA-E41	123.20	m	\$1,400	\$172,480
B.10		825mm dia. Sanitary Sewer (excl. restoration) at 4.5 to 6.0m Depth				
	Willmott Street	SA-E39 to SA-E40	120.00	m	\$1,800	\$216,000
	Willmott Street	SA-E38 to SA-E39	68.10	m	\$1,800	\$122,580
	Willmott Street	SA-E37 to SA-E38	119.90	m	\$1,800	\$215,820
	Willmott Street	SA-E36 to SA-E37	107.00	m	\$1,800	\$192,600
	Willmott Street	SA-E35 to SA-E35	124.90	m	\$1,800	\$224,820
	Willmott Street (Unopened)	SA-E34 to SA-E35	87.60	m	\$1,440	\$126,144
	Willmott Street (Unopened)	SA-E33-B to SA-E34	89.60	m	\$1,440	\$129,024
	Willmott Street (Unopened)	SA-E33-A to SA-E33-B	78.10	m	\$1,440	\$112,464
B.11		825mm dia. Sanitary Sewer (excl. restoration) at 6.0 to 7.5m Depth				
	Willmott Street	SA-0651 to SA-E42	23.90	m	\$2,200	\$52,580
	Willmott Street (Unopened)	SA-E31 to SA-E32	47.50	m	\$2,200	\$104,500
Sub T	otal Trunk Sanitary	Sewer – Open Cut		<u>.</u>		\$5,963,827
Trunk	Sanitary Sewer – T	renchless				
C.1		900mm dia. Sanitary Sewer (Conc. Jacking Pipe)				
	Rail Crossing	SA-E33-A to SA-E32 (Railway Crossing)	100.00	m	\$8,000	\$800,000
	Brook Road N.	SA-E20-A to SA-E20-B (Brook Creek East Trib. Crossing)	90.00	m	\$7,000	\$630,000
C.2		Shafts for Trenchless Installation		_		
	Rail Crossing	Railway Sending Shaft (South)	1.00	each	\$350,000	\$350,000

	Rail Crossing	Railway Recieivng Shaft (North)	1.00	each	\$275,000	\$275,000
	Brook Road N.	Brook Creek East Trib. Sending Shaft (South)	1.00	each	\$250,000	\$250,000
	Brook Road N.	Brook Creek East Trib. Sending Shaft (North)	1.00	each	\$225,000	\$225,000
C.3		Settlement Monitoring Program	<u>.</u>			
	Rail Crossing	Railway Crossing	1.00	each	\$60,000	\$60,000
	Brook Road N.	Brook Creek East Trib. Culvert Crossing	1.00	each	\$25,000	\$25,000
C.4		Railway Inspection/Flagging Costs				
	Rail Crossing	Canadian Pacific	30.00	day	\$1,500	\$45,000
	Rail Crossing	Canadian National	35.00	day	\$1,500	\$52,500
C.5		Dewatering				
	Rail Crossing	Railway Crossing	1.00	each	\$50,000	\$50,000
	Brook Road N.	Brook Creek East Trib. Crossing	1.00	each	\$75,000	\$75,000
Sub 1	Fotal Trunk Sanitary	v Sewer – Trenchless				\$2,837,500
Trunk	k Sanitary Sewer – I	Maintenance Holes				
D.1		1200mm dia. Sanitary Maintenance Hole at 3.0 to 4.5 m Depth				
	Phase 2	SA-E12A	1.00	each	\$9,600	\$9,600
	Phase 2	SA-E9	1.00	each	\$9,600	\$9,600
	Phase 2	SA-E8-B	1.00	each	\$9,600	\$9,600
	Elgin Street	SA-E4	1.00	each	\$12,000	\$12,000
	Elgin Street	SA-E3	1.00	each	\$12,000	\$12,000
	Elgin Street	SA-E2	1.00	each	\$12,000	\$12,000
D.2		1200mm dia. Sanitary Maintenance Hole at 4.5 to 6.0 m Depth, including safety landing		_		
	Brook Road N.	SA-E19-A	1.00	each	\$17,000	\$17,000
	Phase 2	SA-E13	1.00	each	\$13,600	\$13,600
	Phase 2	SA-E10	1.00	each	\$13,600	\$13,600
D.3		1200mm dia Sanitary Maintenance Hole at 6.0 to 7.5 m Depth, including safety landing				
	Markham Metals	SA-E17	1.00	each	\$22,000	\$22,000
	LUSI Substation	SA-E16	1.00	each	\$22,000	\$22,000
	Phase 2	SA-E15	1.00	each	\$17,600	\$17,600
	Phase 2	SA-E14	1.00	each	\$17,600	\$17,600
	Phase 2	SA-E8-A	1.00	each	\$17,600	\$17,600
D.4		1200mm dia Sanitary Maintenance Hole at 7.5m Plus, including safety landing				
	Brook Road N.	SA-E7	1.00	each	\$30,000	\$30,000
	Brook Road N.	SA-E6	1.00	each	\$30,000	\$30,000
D.5		1500mm dia. Sanitary Maintenance Hole at 3.0 to 4.5 m Depth				
	Willmott Street	SA-E41	1.00	each	\$15,000	\$15,000
	Phase 2	SA-E12B	1.00	each	\$12,000	\$12,000
D.6		1500mm dia. Sanitary Maintenance Hole at 4.5 to 6.0 m Depth, including safety landing				
	Willmott Street	SA-E40	1.00	each	\$20,000	\$20,000
	Willmott Street	SA-E39	1.00	each	\$20,000	\$20,000
	Willmott Street	SA-E38	1.00	each	\$20,000	\$20,000
	Willmott Street	SA-E37	1.00	each	\$20,000	\$20,000
	Willmott Street	SA-E36	1.00	each	\$20,000	\$20,000

	Willmott Street	SA-E35	1.00	each	\$20,000	\$20,000
	Willmott Street (Unopened)	SA-E34	1.00	each	\$16,000	\$16,000
	Willmott Street (Unopened)	SA-E33-B	1.00	each	\$16,000	\$16,000
	Brook Road N.	SA-E5	1.00	each	\$20,000	\$20,000
D.7		1500mm dia Sanitary Maintenance Hole at 6.0 to 7.5 m Depth, including safety landing	-			
	Willmott Street	SA-E42	1.00	each	\$25,000	\$25,000
	JMCD Lands	SA-E30	1.00	each	\$20,000	\$25,000
	JMCD Lands	SA-E29	1.00	each	\$20,000	\$25,000
	JMCD Lands	SA-E28	1.00	each	\$20,000	\$25,000
	JMCD Lands	SA-E27	1.00	each	\$20,000	\$25,000
	Brook Road N.	SA-E19-B	1.00	each	\$25,000	\$25,000
	Brook Road N.	SA-E18	1.00	each	\$25,000	\$25,000
	Phase 2	SA-E11	1.00	each	\$20,000	\$25,000
D.8		1500mm dia Sanitary Maintenance Hole at 7.5m Plus, including safety landing		•		
	JMCD Lands	SA-E26	1.00	each	\$28,000	\$28,000
	JMCD Lands	SA-E25	1.00	each	\$28,000	\$28,000
	JMCD Lands	SA-E24	1.00	each	\$28,000	\$28,000
	JMCD Lands	SA-E23A	1.00	each	\$28,000	\$28,000
	JMCD Lands	SA-E23B	1.00	each	\$28,000	\$28,000
	Brook Road N.	SA-E22	1.00	each	\$35,000	\$35,000
	Brook Road N.	SA-E21	1.00	each	\$35,000	\$35,000
D.9		1800mm dia Sanitary Maintenance Hole at 6.0 to 7.5 m Depth, including safety landing				
	Willmott Street (Unopened)	SA-E31	1.00	each	\$22,400	\$22,400
	Willmott Street (Unopened)	SA-E33-A	1.00	each	\$22,400	\$22,400
	Willmott Street (Unopened)	SA-E32	1.00	each	\$22,400	\$22,400
	Brook Road N.	SA-E20-A	1.00	each	\$28,000	\$28,000
D.10		1800mm dia Sanitary Maintenance Hole at 7.5m Plus, including safety landing				
	Brook Road N.	SA-E20-B	1.00	each	\$35,000	\$35,000
D.11		3000mm dia Sanitary Maintenance Hole at 6.0 to 7.5 m Depth, including safety landing				
	Willmott Street	SA-E43	1.00	each	\$60,000	\$60,000
Sub 1	otal Trunk Sanitary	Sewer – Maintenance Holes				\$1,065,000
Resto	oration					
E.1		County Road 3.5m Lane + 3m Partially Paved Shoulder				
	Brook Road N.	Brook Road N. East Shoulder Fut. Kerr Street to Brook Creek East Trib.	150.00	m	\$800	\$120,000
	Brook Road N.	Brook Road N. East Shoulder Brook Creek East Trib. to Markham Metals	240.00	m	\$800	\$192,000
	Brook Road N.	Brook Road N. West Shoulder Phase 2 to Elgin Street	160.00	m	\$800	\$128,000
	Elgin Street	Elgin Street North Lane	440.00	m	\$800	\$352,000
E.2		Existing Asphalt Road (Perpednicular Crossing)				
	Willmott Street	Thompson Street	20.00	m	\$1,200	\$24,000
	Willmott Street	King Street East	20.00	m	\$1,200	\$24,000
E.3		Commercial Entrance				

	Markham Metals	Markham Metals North Entrance	60.00	m	\$600	\$36,000
E.4		Boulevard with Entrances				
	Willmott Street	Willmott Street East Boulevard	661.50	m	\$150	\$99,225
E.5		Agricultural Field and Green Space				
	Willmott Street (Unopened)	King Street East to CPR	255.00	m	\$50	\$12,750
	Willmott Street (Unopened)	CNR Northerly to JMCD Lands	80.00	m	\$50	\$4,000
	JMCD Lands	JMCD Lands from Willmott Street to Brook Road North	825.00	m	\$50	\$41,250
	Markham Metals	Markham Metals Entrance to LUSI Substation	36.00	m	\$50	\$1,800
Sub ⁻	Total					\$1,035,025
Misc	ellaneous					
F.1		Utilities				
	Various	Non-Destructive Daylighting of Existing Utilities	10.00	each	\$1,500	\$15,000
	Various	Temporarily Support Existing Utilities at Crossings	10.00	each	\$5,000	\$50,000
2		Existing Sewers				
	Willmott Street	Remove Existing 300mm dia. Sewer	670.00	m	\$50	\$33,500
	Willmott Street	Temporary Flow By-pass / Conveyance	1.00	L.S.	\$20,000	\$20,000
	Willmott Street	Remove Existing Manhole	6.00	each	\$1,500	\$9,000
- .3		Dewatering				
	Elgin Street	Elgin Street Wetland Area	1.00	L.S.	\$250,000	\$250,000
4		Sediment and Erosion Control Measures				
	Various	Cover Existing Catchbasins	20.00	each	\$250	\$5,000
	Various	Staw Bale Check Dams	20.00	each	\$350	\$7,000
	Various	Rock Flow Check Dams	5.00	each	\$1,200	\$6,000
	Willmott Street (Unopened)	Light Duty Silt Fence - Willmott Street Unopened ROW (Both Sides)	710.00	m	\$15	\$10,650
	JMCD Lands	Light Duty Silt Fence - JMCD Lands (One Side)	950.00	m	\$15	\$14,250
	Brook Road N.	Light Duty Silt Fence - Brook Road North - South Portion (One Side)	550.00	m	\$15	\$8,250
	Brook Road N.	Light Duty Silt Fence - Brook Road North - North Portion (One Side)	180.00	m	\$15	\$2,700
	Elgin Street	Light Duty Silt Fence - Elgin Street (One Side)	350.00	m	\$15	\$5,250
	LUSI Sub-Station to	Light Duty Silt Fence - LUSI Sub-Station to Alexandria Dr. (One Side)	610.00	m	\$15	\$9,150
5		Elgin Street Culvert				
	Elgin Street	New 900mm dia. CSP Culvert (Incl. Excavation, Bedding and Backfill)	25.00	m	\$1,400	\$35,000
	Elgin Street	Remove Existing 900 mm dia. CSP Culvert	20.00	m	\$250	\$5,000
	Elgin Street	Road Restoration for Existing Culvert and New Culvert	45.00	m	\$800	\$36,000
	Elgin Street	Dewatering of Excavations	1.00	L.S.	\$10,000	\$10,000
6		Sewer Access Routes				
	Phase 2	Overbuild Trail To Access MH SA-E13 & MH SA-E12	260.00	m	\$200	\$52,000
	Phase 2	Gravel Access Road to MH SA-E8-B, SA-E8-A	145.00	m	\$230	\$33,350
7	Varies	Excess Soil Disposal	5731.29	m ³	\$30	\$171,939
Sub ⁻	Total Miscellaneous				<u>.</u>	\$789,039
						-
Estin	nate Summary					
		Administrative and Contractual Items				\$818,897
		Trunk Sanitary Sewer – Open Cut				\$5,963,827

Trunk Sanitary Sewer – Trenchless	\$2,837,500
Trunk Sanitary Sewer – Maintenance Holes	\$1,065,000
Restoration	\$1,035,025
Miscellaneous	\$789,039
Total (Excl. HST)	\$12,509,288

Prelim	ninary Design Cost Estim	nate - External Trunk Watermain			CI	M/ .+
Item	Location	Description	Estimated Quantity	Unit	Unit Price	Total
Admi	nistrative and Contra	actual Items				
A.1		General				
	Various	Mobilization & Demobilization	1.00	L.S	\$108,901	\$108,901
A.2		Contract Documentation Items				
	Various	Performance Bond	1.00	L.S.	\$54,450	\$54,450
	Various	Labour & Materials Payment Bond	1.00	L.S.	\$25,000	\$25,000
	Various	Insurance	1.00	L.S.	\$40,838	\$40,838
	Various	Holdback Financing	1.00	L.S.	\$25,000	\$25,000
	Various	Provide traffic control for maintenance of traffic as per OTM Book 7 Temporary Condition	1.00	L.S.	\$108,901	\$108,901
Sub 1	Total Administrative a	and Contractual Items				\$363,090
Trunk	k Watermain – Open (Cut				
B.1		400mm Concrete Pressure Pipe				
	Alexandria Dr	From Alexandria Dr. to West of Brook Creek West Tributary	114.50	m	\$960	\$109,920
	Community Center	Station	450.00	m	\$960	\$432,000
	Brook Road N	LUSI-Sub-station to Elgin Street	690.00	m	\$1,200	\$828,000
	Elgin Street	Phase 1 to Phase 7	870.00	m	\$1,200	\$1,044,000
B.2	Densmore/Danforth	300mm Gate Valve and Valve Box	5.00	each	\$3,500	\$17,500
B.3		400mm Gate Valve and Valve Box		_		
	Alexandria Dr / LUSI Sub-Station	Alexandria Dr. to LUSI Sub-Station	2.00	each	\$4,800	\$9,600
	Brook Road N	LUSI Sub-Station to Elgin Street	2.00	each	\$4,800	\$9,600
	Elgin Street	Phase 1 to Phase 7	2.00	each	\$6,000	\$12,000
B.4	Brook Road N.	Supply and Install 100mm Air Valve and Valve Chamber	1.00	each	\$15,000	\$15,000
B.5	Brook Road N. / Elgin St.	Supply and Install Drain Chamber	2.00	each	\$10,000	\$20,000
B.6		Supply and Install New Fire Hydrant	-	-	-	
	Densmore/Danforth	Parkhill Drive to Phase 4	9.00	each	\$7,500	\$67,500
	Elgin Street	Phase 1 to Phase 7	12.00	each	\$7,500	\$90,000
	Alexandira / LUSI Sub-Station	Alexandira Dr. to Brook Road N	5.00	each	\$6,000	\$30,000
	Brook Road N	LUSI Sub-Station to Elgin Street	5.00	each	\$7,500	\$37,500
B.7	Varies	Connect new watermain to exist. watermain (all sizes), incl. Field	3.00	each	\$7,000	\$21,000
Sub 1	Total Trunk Waterma	in – Open Cut				\$2,743,620
Trunk	< Watermain – Trencl	Iless				
C.1	Densmore/Danforth	Drill Method)	1200.00	m	\$1,300	\$1,560,000
C.2	Brook Creek West Tributary	Supply and Install 400mm Concrete Pressure pipe	40.00	m	\$1,200	\$48,000
	Brook Creek West	Excavation and Construction of Sending and Receiving Shaft	2.00	each	\$50,000	\$100,000
	Brook Creek West	Supply and Install 750mm ID Casing Pipe (Jack and Bore)	40.00	m	\$2,500	\$100,000
	Brook Creek West	Dewatering	1.00	L.S	\$30,000	\$30,000
	Brook Creek West	Restoration of Temporary Working Areas. Includes all Labour,	1.00	LS	\$20,000	\$20.000
	Tributary	Materials and Equipment required to fully restore the pit	1.00		Ψ20,000	ψ20,000

\$1,858,000

Rest	oration					
D.1		County Road 3.5m Lane + 3m Partially Paved Shoulder				
	Brook Road N.	Brook Road N. East Shoulder Markham Metals to Elgin Street (WM Restoration)	668.00	m	\$800	\$534,400
D.2		Existing Asphalt Road (Perpednicular Crossing)				
	Alexandria Dr.	Alexandria Drive (WM Restoration)	75.00	m	\$1,200	\$90,000
	LUSI Station	From Brook Rd to LUSI Substation (WM Restoration)	22.00	m	\$1,200	\$26,400
D.3		Commerical Entrance				
	LUSI Station	From Brook Road N. to LUSI Sub-Station (WM Restoration)	50.00	m	\$600	\$30,000
	Alexandria Dr.	From West of Brook Creek West Tributary to Alexandria Dr.	40.00	m	\$600	\$24,000
D.4		Agricultural Field and Green Space				
	LUSI Substation to Alexandria Dr.	LUSI Substation to the end of Community Center (end of Soccer Pitch) (WM Restoration)	375.00	m	\$50	\$18,750
Sub	Total Restoration			•		\$723,550
Misc	ellaneous					
E.1		Utilities				
	Various	Non-Destructive Daylighting of Existing Utilities	5.00	each	\$1,500	\$7,500
	Various	Temporarily Support Existing Utilities at Crossings	5.00	each	\$5,000	\$25,000
E.2		Sediment and Erosion Control Measures		-		
	Various	Cover Existing Catchbasins	10.00	each	\$250	\$2,500
	Various	Staw Bale Check Dams	10.00	each	\$350	\$3,500
	Various	Rock Flow Check Dams	2.00	each	\$1,200	\$2,400
	Brook Road N.	Light Duty Silt Fence - Brook Road North - North Portion (One Side)	668.00	m	\$15	\$10,020
	LUSI Sub-Station to Alexandria Dr.	Light Duty Silt Fence - LUSI Sub-Station to Alexandria Dr. (One Side)	610.00	m	\$15	\$9,150
E.3	Varies	Excess Soil Disposal	1993.50	m ³	\$30	\$59,805
Sub	Total Miscellaneous		•			\$119,875
Estir	nate Summary					
		Administrative and Contractual Items				\$363,090
		Trunk Watermain – Open Cut				\$2,743,620
		Trunk Watermain – Trenchless				\$1,858,000
		Restoration				\$723,550

Miscellaneous	\$119,875
Total (Excl. HST)	\$5,808,135



Appendix M: Cobourg Trails Development - EIS Addendum, GHD





Our ref: 11221659

21 January 2021

Paul Watson Tribute (Cobourg) Limited 1851 Ironstone Manor, Unit 1 Pickering, Ontario L1W 3W9

Cobourg Trails Development, Cobourg Ontario- Environmental Impact Study Addendum

Dear Mr. Watson,

GHD Limited (GHD) has prepared the following Environmental Impact Study Addendum Letter for Phase 2 and 3 of the Cobourg Trails Development, draft plan of subdivision. The original Environmental Impact Assessment report, dated October 5, 2017, was completed and submitted to review agencies for the past property owner and site plan. Since then, Tribute Communities has purchased the subject property, revised the site plans and is completing a resubmission for Phases 2 and 3. The following addendum letter discusses the new site plan, project interactions, impacts, mitigation measures, permitting and compliance with the 2017 EIS recommendations. In addition, a new figure has been provided to illustrate the new site plans and environmental setbacks.

Sincerely,

P. Celi

Chris Ellingwood Sr. Terrestrial and Wetland Biologist

Amanda Smith

Amanda Smith Sr. Fisheries Biologist

The Power of Commitment

1. Introduction

1.1 Background

GHD Limited (formerly Niblett Environmental Associates Inc.) was retained by Tribute (Cobourg) Limited to prepare and addendum to the original Environmental Impact Assessment report, dated October 5, 2017 (NEA, 2017). The addendum will provide an update to the 2017 EIS for Phase 2 and 3 of the Cobourg Trails Development, draft plan of subdivision resubmission. The majority of the property is located within the Cobourg East Community Secondary Plan area in the Town of Cobourg in the County of Northumberland.

In July of 2018, the Town of Cobourg's Municipal Council approved an Official Plan Amendment and Zoning By-law Amendment to develop a 1,604-1,924 unit community. The Draft Plan of Subdivision for Phase 1 Lands was approved as a block plan, with each block containing permissions for a unit range to allow for flexibility during the detailed design of each phase. Draft Plan of Subdivision approval was received in April 2018 for Phase 1 of the development, with servicing allocation for up to 216 units. This Draft Plan submission for Phase 2 and 3 represents the next two phases of the Cobourg Trails development. Phase 2 and Phase 3 represent vital phases to the sequencing of development not only for the entirety of Cobourg Trail, but also for the implementation of the Cobourg East Secondary Plan as well.

1.2 Location and Study Area

The subject properties encompass a total of approximately 107.3 ha (267 acres). The property is bounded on the south by Elgin Street East (County Road 20), Greer Road on the east and Danforth Road to the north. The property consists of Part Lots 11, 12 and 13, Concession 1 and Part lot 13, Concession A. The Bell property (17 ha/42 acres) is located south of Elgin Street East and west of Brook Road. Highway 401 lies just north (within 500 m) of the northern limit of study site.

1.3 Scope and Limitations

This report has been prepared by GHD for Tribute Communities and may only be used and relied on by Tribute Communities for the purpose agreed between GHD and Tribute Communities as set out in section 1 of this report.

GHD otherwise disclaims responsibility to any person other than Tribute Communities arising in connection with this report. GHD also excludes implied warranties and conditions, to the extent legally permissible.

The services undertaken by GHD in connection with preparing this report were limited to those specifically detailed in the report and are subject to the scope limitations set out in the report.

The opinions, conclusions and any recommendations in this report are based on conditions encountered and information reviewed at the date of preparation of the report. GHD has no responsibility or obligation to update this report to account for events or changes occurring subsequent to the date that the report was prepared.

The opinions, conclusions and any recommendations in this report are based on assumptions made by GHD described in this report. GHD disclaims liability arising from any of the assumptions being incorrect.

2. Proposed Development

2.1 Planning Summary

The proposed development for Phase 2 forms the southern extent of Cobourg Trails and comprises 17.126 hectares (42.32 acres), and Phase 3 forming the northern extent and comprises 20.71 hectares (51.18 acres). In total, the two phases will comprise between 241 – 274 units in single detached, semi detached, and townhouse forms. Phase 3 will also comprise a new 0.96 hectare commercial block, which will provide small scale local non-residential uses in form of retail and service uses to serve the community. Phase 3 will include 5.73 hectares of new parkland, which includes about 4.0 hectares of community space in Phase 2, and a 1.33 hectare neighbourhood park and 0.4 hectare village square in Phase 3. In addition, stormwater management facilities and new trails to the natural heritage system will continue to follow the planned open space network generally as envisioned by Council in April 2018.

The proposed development will feature a robust new road network, which will include a hierarchy of local and collector roads to service the proposed development. Overall, the proposed development will continue the planned vision for Cobourg Trail and generally meet the spirit and intent of the Cobourg East Secondary Plan and complete community principles.

2.2 Phase 2

Phase 2 is located south of Elgin St and west of Brook Road (Figure 1). The Phase 2 development plan will include single detached townhouses, a large community park (4.18 ha), one stormwater management pond, and an environmental protection area.

The stormwater management pond is located in the northwest of the phase (Block 14) measuring 1.14 ha. It will outlet to a tributary of Brook Creek. A total of approximately 6.34 ha of property in Phase 2 is designated as Environmental Protection (EP) (Blocks 15) and will be left in its natural state, preserving the woodlands, creeks and associated natural features and functions.

Servicing from Brook Road will include a 600 mm sanitary sewer to service the Phase 2 development. As this is gravity based, an alignment as far north as possible is proposed. To confirm this was feasible, GHD biologist met on site with GRCA staff, Town planner and Tribute Homes in October 2021 to view the proposed alignment.

The latest site grading plans (General Services Plan, D.G. Biddle & Associates Limited, Drawing D-1, Dec. 2021) shows that alignment of the sanitary sewer.

2.3 Phase 3

Phase 3 is located north of Elgin St, south of Danforth Road, and east of Midtown Creek. The Phase 3 development plan will include three types of residential homes; singles, semi-detached and part lots. It will also include a commercial block to the north, stormwater management ponds, village square in the northwest, and a neighbourhood park in the south.

Two stormwater management ponds will be located within this phase. One stormwater management pond measuring 1.18 ha will be located in the northwest corner and will outlet to Midtown Creek. The second stormwater pond measuring 2.58 ha will be located in the southeast and outlet into the adjacent woodlot and ultimately, to the tributary of Brook Creek.

A total of approximately 1.95 ha of property in Phase 3 is designated as Environmental Protection (EP) (Blocks 129) and will be left in its natural state preserving the woodlands, creeks and associated natural features and functions. Grading of the site will involve decreasing the elevation of the high drumlin tops and lowering the grades on roadways and existing side slopes.

3. Impact Assessment

A review of the NEA, 2017 EIS report impact and mitigation sections was completed determine if all recommends, setbacks/constraints, and mitigation measures were carried forward into the updated site plans for Phase 2 and 3 of the Cobourg Trails Development.

The original recommended constraints from the NEA, 2017 EIS report– Figure 3 have been brought forward and overlayed with the updated Phase 2 and 3 site plans (Figure 1). The updated Figure 1 shows that all constraints have been maintained in Phase 2 and 3 and no encroachment on the protected habitats has occurred.

3.1 Fish and Fish Habitat

The project fish and fish habitat impacts were discussed in the original EIS in *Section 6.4 Tributary to Brook Creek* and *6.5 Midtown Creek*. The following text replaces all of the original content related to Phase 2 and 3:

Section 6.4 Tributary to Brook Creek

The unnamed tributary to Brook Creek enters the site from the east under Greer Road through a CSP culvert, flowing west into the centre woodlot, existing in the southwest corner moving across the property in a southwestern direction to the proposed Brook Road allowance at Elgin Street East crossing the road through a CSP culvert. The tributary is intermittent and no fish were observed over two seasons upstream of Elgin Street West. In addition, the tributary channel is not considered to be fish bearing due to blockages in the flow pattern and barriers created from the proposed Brook Road allowance berm, which has inadvertently realigned the tributary just north of Elgin Street East. However, the tributary supports direct fish habitat observed downstream/south of Elgin Street East, where fish were sampled in the spring of 2006 and 2015.

Within both Phase 2 and 3, the entire Brook Creek tributary (30 m from the watercourse high-water mark) will be designated as Environmental Protection (EP) with the exception of receiving stormwater flows from one stormwater pond in Phase 2 and one stormwater pond in Phase 3. No other in-water or near-water works are proposed.

It is recommended that the stormwater outfalls are designed to flow into a wet meadow or wetland habitat prior to reaching the creek and a spreader or similar feature should be used to dissipate flows. Although the tributary is an intermittent and has a warm thermal regime, the receiving main stem of Brook Creek is a cold water system and measures should be made to minimize thermal impacts to Brook Creek from the proposed stormwater ponds. It is recommended that the pond outlet design incorporate a bottom draw or underground infiltration gallery to cool or minimize heating of discharged stormwaters. The outfall for the pond should not discharge directly into wet meadows and thickets known to support breeding frogs that are sensitive to pollution and contaminants.

The purpose of the Fisheries Act, Fish and Fish Habitat Program is to conserve and protect fisheries and aquatic ecosystems in Canada. Specifically, the fish and fish habitat protection provisions are intended to prevent the death of fish or the harmful alternation, disruption or destruction of fish habitat (HADD) from projects taking place in and around fish habitat. In addition, the Act administers relevant provisions of the federal Species at Risk Act.

If a project has the potential to cause the death of fish, harmful alteration, disruption or destruction of fish habitat than an authorization is required from the Minister of Fisheries and Oceans as per Paragraph 34.4(2)(b) or 35(2)(b) of the Fisheries Act Regulations.

The stormwater outlets may have the potential to cause a HADD if the detailed design cannot meet the *DFO Measures to Protect Fish and Fish Habitat.* The project detailed design is required to fully assess the potential impacts to fish and fish habitat with respect to the Fisheries Act.

However, to comply with the Fisheries Act, all project work near and below the high-water mark must follow the protective provisions of the Fisheries Act by implementing the DFO Measures to Protect Fish and Fish

Δ

Habitat. If all project undertakings can: prevent the death of fish, maintain riparian vegetation, carry out work on land only, maintain fish passage, ensuring proper sediment and erosion control, and prevent entry of deleterious substances in water, than a Fisheries Act review and Authorization may not be required.

If proposed works cannot integrate the DFO protective measures and have the potential to cause a HADD, a Request for Review document must be submitted to DFO for formal project assessment to determine the next steps in project compliance.

An example of work that may trigger a Request for Review includes: work below the high water mark, including outflow structures, temporary or permanent increase in existing footprint below the high water mark, or temporary or permanent fill placed below the high water mark.

Section 6.5 Midtown Creek

The headwater tributaries of Midtown Creek are located northwest of the proposed development and one reach bisects the north-west corner of the project, flowing south under Danforth Road in a south-westerly direction through dense grass meadows and narrow channel. The Midtown Creek headwaters provide direct and indirect fish habitat, amphibian and wildlife habitat.

The headwater tributaries of Midtown Creek have been zoned Environmental Protection (EP) and no development will occur within the EP boundary with the exception of stormwater flow from one stormwater pond in the northwest corner of the Phase 3 (Figure 1).

The same stormwater and Fisheries Act recommendation apply to Phase 2 and 3. It is recommended that the stormwater outfalls are designed to flow into a wet meadow or wetland habitat prior to reaching the creek and a spreader or similar feature should be used to dissipate flows. Midtown Creek is direct fish habitat and it is recommended that the pond outlet design incorporates a bottom draw or underground infiltration gallery to cool or minimize heating of discharged stormwaters. The outfall for the pond should not discharge directly into wet meadows and thickets known to support breeding frogs that are sensitive to pollution and contaminants.

The Fisheries Act also applies to Midtown Creek and all project work near and below the high-water mark must follow the protective provisions of the Fisheries Act by implementing the DFO Measures to Protect Fish and Fish Habitat. If all project undertakings can: prevent the death of fish, maintain riparian vegetation, carry out work on land only, maintain fish passage, ensuring proper sediment and erosion control, and prevent entry of deleterious substances in water, than a Fisheries Act review and Authorization may not be required.

If proposed works cannot integrate the DFO protective measures and have the potential to cause a HADD, a Request for Review document must be submitted to DFO for formal project assessment to determine the next steps in project compliance.

An example of work that may trigger a Request for Review includes: work below the high water mark, including outflow structures, temporary or permanent increase in existing footprint below the high water mark, or temporary or permanent fill placed below the high water mark.

4. Phase 2 Servicing from Brook Road

There has been discussions with Tribute (Cobourg) Limited and Ganaraska Region Conservation Authority (GRCA) regarding the environmental features and constraints along the north side of Phase 2 (on the west side of Brook Road South of Elgin Street) for a road improvement that regrades the slopes and for a trunk sewer within the treed areas of the site.

The sloping and road regrading along the west side of Brook Road will impact the "peninsula" of the ELC community 14A FOC4-1 that extends towards Brook Road between 15 CUM1-1 and 17 CUT to allow for the sloping required for Street B (Figure 2). This minor intrusion into a regenerating cultural thicket will not have a significant impact on the woodland and no impacts on the wetland.

5

In addition, CIMA+ is working with Tribute (Cobourg) Limited on an external trunk sewer that will pass through Phase 2. To mitigate against excessive sewer depths related to the embankment on Brook Road the plan is to align the sewer though 15 CUM1-1 and cross the "peninsula" piece of 14A FOC4-1 where we would then run along the toe of the proposed slope for Street B at the north end of Phase 2 (Figure 1). This location was walked with the GRCA biologist and Town of Cobourg planner.

The angled trunk sewer alignment will require removal of a cultural thicket with European buckthorn and hawthorns, as well as path through an eastern white cedar community. It is proposed, that the construction envelope would be limited to the extent possible to limit tree removal. The impact on those communities would be limited to approximately 532 m² based on a 6 m allowance on both sides of the alignment for construction activities. However as European buckthorn is the dominant species in that alignment and adjacent to it. An invasive species management plan, as part of the landscaping plan, would include removal of a small patch of buckthorn and replacement with native tree species. The new tree planting would occur outside of the alignment, as trees are not conducive to being planted in service corridors. Tree species to be planted will include native species typical of the Cobourg area, including but not limited to: trembling aspen (*Populus tremuloides*), bur oak (*Quercus macrocarpa*), eastern white cedar (*Thuga occidentalis*) and balsam poplar (*Populus balsamifera*).

Provided the path through the trees is as narrow as possible, impacts will be limited. Delineating the construction limit and avoiding damaging any adjacent trees not necessary for removal is recommended as part of the site preparation and surveying work. GHD has recommended additional plantings of native trees in the small opening or even removing a few invasive buckthorn shrubs to the north and replanting with native tree species. A compensation ratio of 1:1 is recommended for tree removal within a buffer.

5. Conclusions

The development of Phase 2 and 3 will not significantly impact the watercourse, woodlands, wetlands, and their features or functions if the EIS recommendations are implemented. This has been achieved through the careful design, protective constraints and preservation of the central woodland, Midtown Creek, Brook Creek, and wetland habitat. The key natural heritage features and their functions will be maintained by the proposed phase plans.



LEGEND



CITATIONS

- Lee. H.T., W.D. Bakowsky, J. Riley, J. Bowles, M. Puddister, P. Uhlig and S, McMurray, 1998. Ecological Land Classification for Southern Ontario: First Approximation and Its Application. Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources, Southcentral Science Section, Science Development and Transfer Flanch. SCSS Field Guide FG-02.
- Imagery: © County of Peterborough, 2018.



REVISION & WORK HISTORY REV BY DATE DESCRIPTION DATA DISCLAIMERS Map Projection: Transverse Mercator Horizontal Datum: North American 1963 Grid: NAD 1983 UTM Zone 17N Produced by GHD Limited under Licence with the Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry® Queen's Printer for Ontario, 2021(2020). SCALE 1 cm : 66 meters 60 90 120









INSET LEGEND Phase 2 Limit



5 m Buffer from Sanitary Sewer



CITATIONS

- Lee. H.T., W.D. Bakowsky, J. Riley, J. Bowles, M. Puddister, P. Uhlig and S, McMurray, 1998. Ecological Land Classification for Southern Ontario: First Approximation and Its Application. Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources, Southcentral Science Section, Science Development and Transfer Branch. SCSS Field Guide FG-02.
- ► Imagery: © County of Peterborough, 2018.





COBOURG TRAILS DEVELOPMENT Project No. Elgin Street & Brock Street North, Cobourg, ON Revision No. Pt Lots 11 & 12, Con 1, Geo. Township of Hamilton Date Town of Cobourg County of Northumberland Ganaraska Region Conservation Authority ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STUDY ADDENDUM PHASE 2 SERVICING & TREE **COMPENSATION PLAN**

11221659 01/21/2022

FIGURE 2

