## The Corporation of the Town of Cobourg

## MOTION

Date: April 30, 2018 No. $\quad 117-18$ Moved by: font seconded by:


WHEREAS the Committee of the Whole considered a Memo from the Director of Public Works, regarding the Capital Sidewalk Extension Program Priority Guidelines.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT Council approve the proposed Sidewalk Priority Guidelines for the Town of Cobourg to clearly identify the criteria by which new sidewalk locations will be evaluated and prioritized.


THE CORPORATION OF THE TOWN OF COBOURG Public Works \& Engineering Department 740 Division Street Bldg. \#7

Cobourg ON K9A OH6
Telephone: 905-372-9971
Fax: 905-372-0009

March 29, 2018

RE: Sidewalk Priority Plan

## 1 Background

The Town of Cobourg has an annual sidewalk capital program where new sidewalk is installed by Public Works staff or a private contractor. The budget for the program ranges from $\$ 75,000$ $\$ 100,000$ which would typically cover the cost of construction for 250 to 500 linear metres of sidewalk and includes labour, equipment, and materials for the sidewalk as well as any restoration of disturbed areas as needed.

In 2017, Council requested that Town staff prepare a master list of priorities for new sidewalk locations in order to eliminate subjectivity and dispute in the future.

The purpose of the enclosed master sidewalk plan is to clearly identify the criteria by which new sidewalk locations will be evaluated and prioritized.

The objective of the plan is for the Town to have a justifiable long term list of priority sidewalks endorsed by Council.

### 1.1 Transportation Master Plan

The Town of Cobourg's Official Plan (OP) and Transportation Master Plan (TMP) recommend that all collector and arterial roads have sidewalk on both sides and local roads have sidewalk on at least one side. Cul-de-sacs and short streets are the exception, unless the sidewalk forms part of a connecting link to a destination (ie. a sidewalk which leads to a walkway into a park).

## 2 Approach and Methodology

### 2.1 Criteria One: Existence of Sidewalk

a) No Sidewalk: The highest priority roads are those with no sidewalk on either side.

Scenario: All roads with no sidewalk on either side will have priority over roads with sidewalk on one side or partial sidewalks.

[^0]b) Partial Sidewalks on One Side, None on the Other: Sidewalks that end mid-block have been considered in the analysis only if the subject block has residential units existing on the entire side of the road with the partial sidewalk. However, if the sidewalk ends at a destination and no buildings exist beyond the end of the sidewalk then this block has been excluded from the analysis.

Scenario: Anne Street between College Street and University Street is included in the analysis whereas Furnace Street between the Curling Club and Ontario Street has been excluded from the analysis.
c) Partial Sidewalks on One Side, Complete Sidewalk on the Other: Roads that already have a complete sidewalk on one side of the road but are missing part of a sidewalk on the other side have been considered as long as there is a necessity to complete the partial sidewalk for existing adjacent residences.
Scenario: Munroe Street between Ryerson Commons and Division Street is considered a partial sidewalk that will be considered in the analysis where as King Street East between Coverdale Avenue and Maplewood Boulevard, the sidewalk on the north side ends at the last residence and will only be extended upon development of the vacant lands to east. This section between Coverdale and Maplewood has not been included in the analysis.

### 2.2 Criteria Two: Road Classification

The second highest priority of roads is based on the volume of traffic or classification. Those that are classified as Arterial are the highest, followed by Collector, and then Local roads.

Scenario: An arterial road with no sidewalk will have priority over a collector or local road with no sidewalk.

### 2.3 Criteria Three: Proximity to Various Entities

The third consideration is how close a road is to important community infrastructure that exists within the Town's GIS database. The following are what have been considered in the analysis:
a) Schools
b) Major Pedestrian Generators/Destinations ie. Hospital, library, YMCA, shopping, employment areas, downtown, etc.
c) Transit Stops
d) Parks
e) Local roads that Intersect with arterial or collector roads

It should be noted that specific private businesses such as medical clinics, nursing homes, dental offices, etc. are not identified in the Town's GIS. The major pedestrian generator/destination category typically encompasses the institutional and commercially zoned areas of the Town.

GIS can also identify which classes of roads intersect with other classes of roads so the data extracted for the final entity of Criteria 3 included local roads that start or end at arterial or collector roads. These local roads were assigned a higher weighting because they connect lower density areas to higher density areas where sidewalk is more likely to already exist.

Page 2 of 11

## Sidewalk Priority Plan

Public Works \& Engineering Department
Town of Cobourg
March 29, 2018

### 2.3.1 Buffer Distance

In order to identify the streets that are in close proximity to important community infrastructure, a buffer distance (radius) was assigned to all schools, major pedestrian generators, transit stops and parks. The buffer is essentially like drawing a circle around an object and then noting all of the streets that intersect with that circle.

The community infrastructure categories were assigned the following buffers and each scenario has been illustrated on a map below:

|  | Category | Buffer Distance |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| a) | Schools | 250 m |
| b) | Major Pedestrian Generators/Destinations | 250 m |
| c) | Transit Stops | 175 m |
| d) | Parks | 150 m |

The transit stop buffer distance is based on the Town's Wheels service restrictions and/or requirements for users who are not able to travel a distance of 175 metres to reach a transit stop.
Below is an illustration of the 175 m buffer around each transit stop.
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Typically, a block length in Cobourg is less than 250 m or approximately a 3 minute walk, which indicates that pedestrians on local roads will almost always be within 250 m of a more major road where sidewalk is more likely to exist.

Below is an illustration of the 250 m buffer around schools.
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Below is an illustration of the 250 m buffer around major pedestrian generators/destinations.
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The buffer around parks was reduced to 150 m ( 2 minute walk).
Below is an illustration of the 150 m buffer around parks.


Once all of the buffers were established and mapped, they were overlapped onto each other and the data for each layer was exported to a spreadsheet for analysis.

Below is an illustration of the buffers superimposed.

en Aren

### 2.4 Exclusions

For this study, the following roads have been excluded from the analysis:

1. Local roads that already have sidewalk on one side.
2. All roads that do not have curb and gutter.
3. Dead end streets or cul-de-sacs.
4. Special circumstances ie. Furnace Street there is an existing sidewalk from Victoria Street to the entrance of the curling club on the north side. There are no other residences or buildings on the north side of Furnace Street between the Curling Club and Ontario Street and therefore the extension of the sidewalk to Ontario Street will not be considered. Typical scenarios such as this have been excluded from the study.
The Sidewalk Priority Plan does not include repairs. Existing sidewalks that is in need of repair are identified and repaired by the Public Works Department and costs associated with the repairs are included in the annual operations budget. New sidewalks are only included in the Town's capital works budget.
Road reconstruction projects are also not included in the Sidewalk Priority Plan. Where ever possible, all streets that are reconstructed or rehabilitated will also be considered for new or replacement sidewalk.
Costs associated with the construction of new sidewalk in accordance with the Sidewalk Priority Plan do not include engineering fees, if required. Some of the more challenging streets in Town
that require substantial restoration and/or retaining walls may require a topographic survey and detailed engineering plans for construction and these costs have not been included in the financial analysis of this plan.
Along with the implementation of new infrastructure comes increased maintenance costs. Operations and maintenance costs associated with new sidewalks have not yet been considered in this plan. A subsequent investigation and report will be conducted to determine the current costs associated with all sidewalk maintenance in order to determine the required annual operating budget increases associated with the new infrastructure.

## 3 Evaluation

In order to evaluate all of the roads in Town that require sidewalk, each of the criteria were assigned a corresponding weight. Weighting is a common way to assess the relative merits of a range of options as opposed to a rating which is typically a score of results. Criteria that receive a higher weight are considered to have a higher priority to be serviced by a sidewalk.

The criteria were assigned the following weights for analysis:

|  | Criteria | Description | Assigned <br> Weight |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Existence of Sidewalk | 25 |  |  |  |
|  | No Sidewalks on Either Side | Roads with curb and gutter | 15 |  |  |
|  | Sidewalk on One Side Only | Roads with curb and gutter | 10 |  |  |
|  | Partial Sidewalk on One Side <br> No sidewalk on Other side | Roads with curb and gutter | 5 |  |  |
|  | Partial Sidewalk on One Side <br> Complete Sidewalk on Other <br> side | Roads with curb and gutter | 15 |  |  |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Road Classification | Roads with curb and gutter | 10 |  |  |
|  | Arterial (4 lanes) | Roads with curb and gutter | 5 |  |  |
|  | Arterial (2 lanes) | Roads with curb and gutter | 1 |  |  |
|  | Collector | Roads with curb and gutter | 20 |  |  |
|  | Local | Proximity to Various Entities | Within 250m of a school |  |  |
| $\mathbf{S}$ | School Zone | Within 250m of Hospital, library, <br> YMCA, Downtown, Beach, Shopping | 15 |  |  |
|  | Major Pedestrian <br> Generators/Destinations | Within 175m of transit stop | 10 |  |  |
|  | Transit | Within 150m of Parks | 5 |  |  |
|  | Parks | Any road classification | 10 |  |  |
|  | Intersecting with Arterial or <br> Collector Roads |  |  |  |  |

It should be noted that the weightings for Criteria 1 and 2 were specifically assigned, through an iterative process, so that the following circumstances would always be met if road classification and sidewalk existence were the only considerations:

1. An arterial (2 lane) and collector with no sidewalk on either side must always score higher than a local road with no sidewalk on either side.
2. A local road with no sidewalk must always score higher than an arterial (2 lane) or collector with sidewalk on one side.

## 4 Although the TMP recommends sidewalk on both sides for arterial and collectors and one side for locals, this strategy will ensure that local roads receive one sidewalk before a two lane arterial or collector receives a second sidewalk. However, any collectors or arterials that also fall under Criteria 3 may result in a collector or arterial receiving a second sidewalk before a local road receives one sidewalk. Analysis

All roads with curb and gutter have been mapped within the Town's Geographic Information System (GIS) and can be assigned their weighting for Criteria 1 and 2. For each of the entities of Criteria 3, a buffer was created to capture all of the roads within the buffers in order to assign an associated weight. Data was then extracted from GIS into a spreadsheet to sum up all of the weighting assigned to each section of road.

## Constructability

This evaluation has been completed strictly based on spatial GIS data that does not consider topography and the fact that there are often obstructions in the road allowance that will make building a sidewalk challenging and more costly. Obstructions such as overhead utilities and poles, fire hydrants, and trees will significantly add to the cost of constructing a sidewalk. Having to construct a retaining wall to make up a grade differential is not ideal, expensive and typically not desired on municipal property.

At any given time, there may be several streets that have the same total score on the priority list. Staff will inspect the subject streets to identify any additional factors that may determine which street should be the higher priority for the upcoming year of construction. The cost to construct the sidewalks may also impact its priority ie. If it is a very expensive section with many challenges, it may require additional funding before it can be constructed or it may have to be constructed over two or more years.

## Complete Streets

As illustrated in the priority list, the sections of road that are being evaluated are actually block lengths (intersection to intersection) and all connecting blocks on a single street have been grouped together and highlighted with the same colour. This is so that a single block will not be constructed in isolation. The block with the highest score in a grouping is what indicates the street's priority.

## How to Choose Which Side

For roads with no sidewalk, there are many factors involved in deciding which side of the road the new sidewalk will go on. This decision will be provided by staff recommendation after a thorough review of road.
Considerations will include but not be limited to the following:

1. Overhead utilities - avoid having to relocate hydro poles and hydrants due to costs.
2. Underground utilities - avoid building sidewalk over top in case buried utility requires maintenance in the future and sidewalk has to be removed and replaced.
3. Driveways - ideal to have sidewalk on the side with the least amount of driveways.
4. Trees - ideal to construct sidewalk where there are fewer trees impacted.
5. Transit stops - ideal to construct sidewalk on the same side as a transit stop, if possible.
6. Retaining walls - ideal to avoid any if possible due to safety and capital/maintenance costs.

## 5 Implementation

Based on a typical unit rate for construction of a linear metre of sidewalk, the priority list is also showing the cost estimate of each section of road and a cumulative cost estimate to complete all of the sidewalks on the priority list. In summary, with an annual budget of $\$ 100,000$, it will require over 27 years to complete the list of sidewalk priorities based on current market rates. This estimate is variable based on bid prices, time of year and weather, and difficulty or ease of construction.

Funding and government grants may arise from time to time that allow for additional construction projects and Town staff will continue to watch for these opportunities as well as identifying other budget alternatives.

The top priorities will be physically assessed in the fall of the preceding year to determine any constraints or extenuating circumstances that are unique and particular to the street that may otherwise change its priority ie. a street that is slated for reconstruction in the near future as part of the Town's Asset Management Plan would be deferred until that time. An annual report to Council will be prepared to document the results of the assessment in support of the next priority sidewalk.

## Town of Cobourg Sidewalk Standards

The following standards will apply for all new sidewalks constructed on existing streets.

1. All new sidewalks will be constructed parallel to the curb line and are not to be constructing around trees with the exception of any trees that are designated to be preserved by the Town Arborist.
2. The Town will not be constructing around private obstructions that have been installed on municipal property. Any private works must be relocated prior to sidewalk construction or they will be removed and disposed of by the Town or the Town's contractor. The Town will provide one site visit and one written notice to home owners at least ninety (90) days in advance of the sidewalk construction if private works are to be relocated.
3. Boulevards will be maximized where possible. All new sidewalks will have a minimum setback of 1.2 m from the back of the curb as a boulevard between the road and the sidewalk is required for snow storage.
4. Sidewalks will be 1.5 m wide and constructed in accordance with Ontario Provincial Standard Specifications and Drawings.
5. Tactile walking surface indicators will be installed on all curb ramps where new sidewalk is installed at an intersection.
6. Existing driveways and private walkways will be restored in kind. Private walkways are not permitted between the sidewalk and the curb.

## 6 Closing and Next Steps

The Sidewalk Priority Plan is a tool to implement the recommendations of the Transportation Master Plan, Official Plan, and make Cobourg a more accessible and pedestrian friendly town in a systematic and rational manner. The Plan will be reviewed and updated regularly to ensure that the criteria and weightings remain relevant and applicable. New criteria can also be added as data becomes available.
The next steps will be for the Town to develop an official policy for the implementation of new sidewalks in the Town of Cobourg, based on the subject Sidewalk Priority Plan.

| Stroot Namo | Loestion/Rosd Soction | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rood } \\ & \text { Clas } \end{aligned}$ | SIdowalk Localton | Sldowalk Wolght | Rood closs Woloht | School Wolght | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Malor Ped } \\ \text { Gon Wolaht } \end{array}\right\|$ | Transht | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \text { Parks } \\ \text { Wolight } \end{array}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Intorsoets with } \\ \text { ArvClir } \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Totsal Road } \\ \text { Seetion Seore } \end{array}\right\|$ | Total Road Score | $\begin{gathered} \text { Road } \\ \text { Section } \\ \text { Length } \\ (\mathrm{m}) \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { Total Road } \\ \text { Longth } \\(\mathrm{m})}}{\substack{ \\\hline}}$ | $3 /$ Rood Soction | 3/Total Road |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Noothwood Drivo | NORTHWOOD DR FROM WESTWOOD TO CARUSLE | LCL | NONE | 25 | 1 | 20 | 15 | 10 | 5 | 0 | 76 | 76 | 339 |  | S 67,800 |  |
| Spencer Street East | SPENCER STE FROM JOHN TO RYERSON COMMONS | LCL | NONE | 25 | 1 | 20 | 15 | 10 | 5 | 0 | 76 | 76 | 104 |  | S 20,800 |  |
| Lakeshore Dive | LAKESHORE DR FROM DARCY TO BAYVIEW | CLCT | NONE | 25 | 5 | 20 | 0 | 10 | 5 | 10 | 75 | 75 | 267 | 378 | S 53,400 | S 75,600 |
| Lakeshore Divivo | LAKESHORE DR FROM BAYVIEW TO ABBOTT | CLCT | NONE | 25 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 5 | 0 | 45 |  | 111 |  | S 22,200 |  |
| Abbott Soulevard | ABBOTT BV FROM LAKESHORE TO | LCL | NONE | 25 | 1 | 20 | 0 | 10 | 5 | 10 | 71 | 71 | 119 | 350 | S 23,800 | \$ 70,000 |
| Abbott Boulevard | ABBOTT BV FROM CORONATION TO KING | LCL | NONE | 25 | 1 | 20 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 66 |  | 161 |  | S 32,200 |  |
| Abboll Boulovard | ABBOTTBV FROM CORONATION SOUTH TO CORONATION NORTH | LCL | NONE | 25 | 1 | 20 | 0 | 10 | 5 | 0 | 61 |  | 70 |  | S 14,000 |  |
| D'Arcy Street | D'ARCY ST FROM ELGIN TO NICKERSON | LCL | NONE | 25 | 1 | 20 | 0 | 10 | 5 | 10 | 71 | 71 | 485 |  | S 97,000 |  |
| Haydon Crascent | HAYDEN CR FROM BURWASHEAST TO BURWASH WEST | LCL | NONE | 25 | 1 | 20 | 0 | 10 | 5 | 0 | 61 | 61 | 213 |  | s 42,600 |  |
| Nickerson Dive | NICKERSON DR FROM DARCY TOEND | LCL | NONE | 25 | 1 | 20 | 0 | 10 | 5 | 0 | 61 | 61 | 51 |  | S 10,200 |  |
| Spraggo Crescent | SPRAGGE CR FROM WESTWOOD TO BURWASH | LCL | NONE | 25 | 1 | 20 | 0 | 10 | 5 | 0 | 61 | 61 | 251 |  | s 50,200 |  |
| Barbara Stueet | BARBARA ST FROM SHIRLEY TO SANDMERE | LCL | NONE | 25 | 1 | 20 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 56 | 56 | 113 |  | S 22,600 |  |
| Cutis Crescent | CURTIS CR FROM EAST CARLISLE TO WEST CARUSLE | LCL | NONE | 25 | 1 | 0 | 15 | 10 | 5 | 0 | 56 | 56 | 330 |  | \$ 66,000 |  |
| Murray Crescent | MURRAY CR FROM BURWASH EAST TO BURWASH WEST | LCL | NONE | 25 | 1 | 20 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 56 | 56 | 91 |  | \$ 18,200 |  |
| Sandmbre Croscont. | SANOMERE CR EROM WESTWOODTO BAREARA | LCL | NONE | 25 |  | 20, | 9 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 56 | 86 | 512 | 8887 | 5103400 | \$ 1776400 |
| Sandmore Croscont | SANDMERE CR FROM MARBARATO WESTWCOD | LCL | NONE | 25 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 36 |  | $3 \%$ |  | \$ 74.000 |  |
| Bumham Strool | BURNHAM ST FROM WESTWOODTO QURNHAM MANOR | CLCT | ONE COMPLETE | 15 | 5 | 20 | 0 | 10 | 5 | 0 | 55 | 55 | 158 | 331 | \$ 31,600 | 5 66,200 |
| Bumham Streot | SURNHAMST EROM CN ROW TO WESTWOOD | CLCT | ONE COMPLETE | 15 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 5 | 0 | 35 |  | 120 |  | S 24,000 |  |
| Burmham Streot | BURNHAM ST FROM KING TO CP ROW | CLCT | ONE COMPLETE | 15 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 30 |  | 53 |  | s 10,600 |  |
| DAMgstume | DARCY BT PROMRREKINGHMMTO QUEEN | clet | ONE COMPLETE | 15 | 6 | 20 | 0 | 10 | 5 | 0 | 65 | 56 | 160 | 1421 | \% 32,000 | s 284.200 |
| D'Arcy Eutoed | DARCY ET FROM PERRV TO ROCKIHOHAM | clet | ONE COMPLETE | 15 | 5 | 20 | 0 | 10 | 5 | 0 | 55 |  | 101 |  | \$ 28.200 |  |
| Dracy Etuost | DARCY OT FROM OUEENTO KING | cler | ONE COMPLETE | 15 | 5 | 20 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 50 |  | 315 |  | \$ 83,000 |  |
| CAICy Bitrent | PARCY ST FROM LAKEMEW TO PERRY | cler | ONE COMPLETE | 15 | 5 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 45 |  | 516 |  | \$ 103,200 |  |
| DAFer Streot | PAARCY ST FROM BAY TO LAKEVIEW | clet | ONE COMPLETE | 15 | 5 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 45 |  | 86 |  | 5 17200 |  |
| D'Aler Strool | DARCY ST FROM LAKESHORE TO LAKE | clet | ONE COMPLETE | 15 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 25 |  | 15 |  | \% 3.000 |  |
| D'Arey Strot | DARCY ET FROM LAKE TO WATER | clet | ONE COMPLETE | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 |  | 138 |  | \$ 27,000 |  |
| Heath Straet | HEATH ST FROM WILUAM TO BURNHAM | CLCT | ONE COMPLETE | 15 | 5 | 20 | 0 | 10 | 5 | 0 | 55 | 55 | 259 |  | S 51,800 |  |


| Stroot Name | Location / Road Soction | Rood <br> class | SIdowalk Loeation | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sldowalk } \\ & \text { Woloht } \end{aligned}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Road Class } \\ \text { Wolght } \end{array}\right\|$ | School Wolaht | Major Pod Gon Welahe | Translt | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Paks } \\ & \text { Wolght } \end{aligned}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Intersocts with } \\ \text { Articlli } \end{array}\right\|$ | Total Road Soction Score | Total Road Score | Road Soction Length $(\mathrm{m})$ | Total Road Length (m) | $\$ 1$ Road | 81 Total Road |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| University Avenua East | UNIVERSITY AV E FROM DARCY TO CAMPBELL | ART | ONE COMPLETE | 15 | 10 | 20 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 55 | 55 | 186 | 468 | 5 33,200 | S 83,600 |
| Unversity Avenuo East | UNIVERSITY AV E FROM CAMPBELL TO MAJOR | ART | ONE COMPLETE | 15 | 10 | 20 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 55 |  | 181 |  | s 32,200 |  |
| Universily Avenue East | UNIVERSITY AV EFROM MAJOR TO COTTESMORE | ART | ONE COMPLETE | 15 | 10 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45 |  | 141 |  | ¢ 28,200 |  |
| Anne Street | ANNE ST FROM COLLEGE TO UNIVERSITY | LCL | ONE PART | 10 | 1 | 0 | 15 | 10 | 5 | 10 | 51 | 51 | 108 |  | S 21,800 |  |
| Bagot Street | BAGOT ST FROM SYDENHAM TO ALBERT | LCL | ONE COMPLETE ONE PART | 5 | 1 | 20 | 15 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 51 | 51 | 224 |  | S 44,800 |  |
| Queen Streat | QUEEN ST FROM CHURCH TO GREEN | CLCT | ONE COMPLETE | 15 | 5 | 0 | 15 | 10 | 5 | 0 | 50 | 50 | 237 | 1143 | \$ 47,400 | \$ 228,600 |
| Queen Streat | QUEEN ST FROM MCGILL TO CHURCH | CLCT | ONE COMPLETE | 15 | 5 | 0 | 15 | 10 | 5 | 0 | 50 |  | 329 |  | \$ 65,800 |  |
| Queen Street | QUEENST FROM GREEN TO HENRY | CLCT | ONE COMPLETE | 15 | 5 | 0 | 15 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 45 |  | 577 |  | \$ 115,400 |  |
| Booth Street | BOOTH ST FROM GLENHARE TOFREI | LCL | NONE | 25 | 1 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 46 | 46 | 142 |  | S 28,400 |  |
| Paut Currolly Way | ${ }^{\text {PAUL }}$ BAY CURRELLY WAY WY FROM PERRY TO | LCL | NONE | 25 | 1 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 46 | 46 | 351 |  | s 70,200 |  |
| Chapel Street | CHAPEL ST FROM John to College | clat | ONE COMPLETE | 15 | 5 | 0 | 15 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 45 | 177 |  | S 35,400 |  |
| Divislon Stroet | DIVISION ST FROM VERONICA SOUTH TO VERONICA NORTH | ART | ONE COMPLETE | 15 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 5 | 0 | 45 | 45 | 94 |  | \$ 18,800 |  |
| Heonan Street | HEENAN ST FROM FAIRBANKS TO. HEENAN CRT | LCL | NONE | 25 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 5 | 0 | 41 | 41 | 63 | 207 | \$ 12,600 | 5 41,400 |
| Heenan Stroel | REENANST FROMHEENAN CRTTO falrbanks | LCL | NONE | 25 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 5 | 0 | 41 |  | 144 |  | 5 28,800 |  |
| Henry Stueat | HENRY ST FROM JAMES TO UNIVERSITY | LCL | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { ONE COMPLETE } \\ \text { ONE PART } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 5 | 1 | 20 | 0 | 10 | 5 | 0 | 41 | 41 | 121 |  | s 24,200 |  |
| Monk Street | MONK ST FROM STUART TO TREMAINE | LCL | NONE | 25 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 5 | 0 | 41 | 41 | 388 |  | S 77,600 | . |
| Stinclair Stroet | SINCLAR ST FROM KERR TO BOULTON | LCL | ONE COMPLETE ONE PART | 5 | 1 | 20 | 0 | 10 | 5 | 0 | 41 | 41 | 27 |  | 5 5,400 |  |
| Willow Crescent | WILLOW CR FROM WESTWOOD NORTHTO WESTWOOD SOUTH | LCL. | NONE | 25 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 5 | 0 | 41 | 41 | 322 |  | S 64,400 |  |
| Cothosmore Avenue | COTTESMORE AV FROM KING TO UNIVERSITY | LCL | ONE COMPLETE | 5 | 1 | 20 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 36 | 48 |  | \$ 9,600 |  |
| Munroo Street | MUNROE ST FROM DIVISION TO WALTON | LCL | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { ONE COMPLETE } \\ \text { ONE PART } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 5 | 1 | 0 | 15 | 10 | 5 | 0 | ${ }^{36}$ | 36 | 100 |  | \$ 20,000 |  |
| rano Strot West | RING BT WHFROM TREMAINETO SINCLAIR | CLCT | QNE COMPLETE | 15 | 5 | a | 0 | 10 | 5 | - | 35 | 36 | 140 | 377 | ${ }_{5} 82000$ | § $249 \times 100$ |
| Onp Btroet Wast | GNMG ST W EROM OINCLAR TO STURET | ctet | ONE COMPIETE | is | 5 | 0 | 0 | 10 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 30 |  | 274 |  | \$ 64.600 |  |
| ano StroatWest | GANG STW FROM STUARTTO BURNFAK | CLCT | ONE COMPLETE | 15 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 30 |  | 333 |  | \% 006800 |  |
| Ontario Eitasi | ONTARIO ST FROM SUTHERLAND TO HUYCKE | CLCT | ONE COMPLETE | 15 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 5 | 0 | 15 | 35 | 98 | 1037 | 5 18.600 | \& 207,400 |
| Ontano siues | विनसRIO ST FROMKMCGUIRETO BUTIERLAND | clet | ONE COMPLETE | 15 | $\frac{5}{5}$ | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 10 | 5 | 0 | 35 |  | 340 |  | ${ }^{5}$ \% 68.000 |  |
| Ontinto Stroal | ONTARIO ST FROMELGINTO ADELE | CLCT | ONE COMPLETE | 15 | $\frac{5}{5}$ | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 10 | 0 | 0 | 30 |  | 122 |  | \% 24,400 |  |
| Ontaro strai | OTMARIO ST FFOMBUYCKE TOELLGIN | GLCT | ONE COMPLETE | 15 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 30 |  | 327 |  | \% 65.00] |  |


| Stroot Name | Location/Road Soction | Rood Class | Sldowalk Loeation | sldawalk Welaht | $\left.\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Roasd class } \\ \text { Wolght } \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | school Wolght | Major Pod Gon Wolght | Transit Wolght | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { Parks } \\ \text { Wolght } \end{array}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { Interssets } \\ \text { Artclifr }}}{\substack{\text { with }}}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Total Rosd } \\ \text { Soction Scoro } \end{gathered}\right.$ | Total Road Score | $\begin{gathered} \text { Road } \\ \text { soctlon } \\ \text { Length } \\ \text { (m) } \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { Total Roadd } \\ \text { Longth }}}{ }$ (m) | \$/Road Section | \$1Total Road |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Onlano Steal | ONTARIO ST FROMADELE TO MAITE | CLCT | ONE COMPLETE | 15 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 |  | 155 |  | \$ 3,000 |  |
| Carisio Stroel | CARLSLE ST FROM CURTIS TO NORTHWOOD | LCL | ONE COMPLETE ONE PART | 5 | 1 | 0 | 15 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 31 | 369 |  | s 77,800 |  |
| Frel Stroet | FREI ST FROM GLENHARE TO BOOTH | LCL | ONE COMPLETE | 5 | 1 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 26 | 26 | 66 |  | \$ 13,200 |  |
| Glenhare Stroot | GLENHARE ST FROM ADELE TO FREI | LeL | NONE | 25 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 26 | 178 |  | \$ 35,600 |  |
| Burnham Street | BURNHAM ST FROM MONKS COVE TO KING | LCL. | ONE COMPLETE ONE PART | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 5 | 0 | 21 | 21 | 150 |  | \$ 30,000 |  |
| Stuarn Stroot | STUART ST FROM MONK TO KING | LCL. | ONE COMPLETE ONE PART | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 5 | 0 | 21 | 21 | 434 |  | \$ 86,800 |  |
| Westwood Diva | WESTWOOD DR FROM BURNHAM TO WILLOW | LCL | ONE COMPLETE ONE PART | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 5 | 0 | 21 | 21 | 52 |  | S 10,400 |  |
| Huycke Streot | HUYCKE ST FROM BATTELL TO ONTARIO | LCL | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { ONE COMPLETE } \\ \text { ONE PART } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 16 | 307 |  | S 61,400 |  |
| Dolanty Road | DELANTY RD FROM CARROLL TO WILLMOTT | LCL | ONE COMPLETE ONE PART | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 11 | 11 | 220 |  | \$ 44,000 |  |
| Furnoco Straot | FURNACE ST FROM BALL TO VICTORIA | LCL | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { ONE COMPLETE } \\ \text { ONE PART } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 120 |  | S 24,000 |  |




[^0]:    For more information visit Cobourg.ca, 'like' us on Facebook, follow us on Twitter and watch us on YouTube.
    

